Positive solutions of singular boundary value problems for second order impulsive differential equations

Ying He[*]

School of Mathematical Science and Technology, Northeast Petroleum University, 163318, P.R.China.

Abstract. This paper is devoted to study the positive solutions of nonlinear singular two-point boundary value problems for second-order impulsive differential equations.The existence of positive solutions are established by using the fixed point theorem in cones.

Key words. Positive solution; Singular two-point boundary value problem; Second-order impulsive differential equations; Fixed point theorem.

MR(2000) Subject Classifications: 34B15.

1. Introduction

Impulsive and singular differential equations play a very important role in modern applied mathematics due to their deep physical background and broad application. In this paper,we consider the existence of positive solutions of

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = g(x,u), & x \in I', \\ -\Delta u'|_{x=x_k} = I_k(u(x_k)), & k = 1, 2, \cdots, m, \\ R_1(u) = \alpha_1 u(0) + \beta_1 u'(0) = 0, \\ R_2(u) = \alpha_2 u(1) + \beta_2 u'(1) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

here $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2 \geq 0, \beta_1 \leq 0, \alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2 > 0, \alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 > 0$, $I = [0,1]$, $I' = I \setminus \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m\}$, $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_m < 1$, $R^+ = [0, +\infty)$, $g \in C(I \times R^+, R^+)$, $I_k \in C(R^+, R^+)$, $\Delta u'|_{x=x_k} = u'(x_k^+) - u'(x_k^-)$, $u'(x_k^+)$ (respectively $u'(x_k^-)$) denotes the right limit (respectively left limit) of $u'(x)$ at $x = x_k$.

In recent years, boundary problems of second-order differential equations with impulses have been studied extensively in the literature(see for instance [1-9] and their references).In[1],Lin and Jiang studied the second-order impulsive differential equation with no singularity and obtained two positive solutions by using the fixed point index theorems in cone. However they did not consider the case when the function is singular.Motivated by the work mentioned above,we study the positive solutions of nonlinear singular two-point boundary value problems for second order

---

[*]Corresponding author. E-mail adress:heying65338406 @ 163.com;

impulsive differential equations (1.1) in this paper.Our argument is based on the fixed point theorem in cones.

Moreover,for the simplicity in the following discussion,we introduce the following hypotheses.

$(H_1):$ There exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $g(x, u)$ and $I_k(u)$ are nonincreasing in $u \leq \varepsilon_0$,for each fixed $x \in [0, 1]$

$(H_2):$ For each fixed $0 < \theta \leq \varepsilon_0$

$$0 < \int_0^1 g(y, (\frac{\alpha_1 y - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 y}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2})\theta) dy < \infty$$

$(H_3):$ $\phi_1(x)$ is the eigenfunction related to the smallest eigenvalue λ_1 of the eigenvalue problem $-\phi'' = \lambda\phi, \; R_1(\phi) = R_2(\phi) = 0.$

$(H_4):$ $g^\infty + \dfrac{\sum\limits_{k=1}^m I^\infty(k)\phi_1(x_k)}{\int_0^1 (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2})\phi_1(x)dx} < \lambda_1,$

where $g^\infty = \limsup\limits_{u \to +\infty} \max\limits_{x \in [0,1]} \frac{g(x,u)}{u}, \quad I^\infty(k) = \limsup\limits_{u \to +\infty} \frac{I_k(u)}{u},$

Theorem 1. Assume that $(H_1) - (H_4)$ are satisfied. Then problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution u .Moreover,there exists a $\theta^* > 0$ such that

$$u(x) \geq \theta^*(\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2}), \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

2. Preliminary

In order to define the solution of (1.1) we shall consider the following space.

$PC'(I, R) = \{u \in C(I, R); u'|_{(x_k, x_{k+1})} \in C(x_k, x_{k+1}), \; u'(x_k^-) = u'(x_k), \; \exists \, u'(x_k^+), \; k = 1, 2, \cdots, m\}$

with the norm $\|u\|_{PC'} = \max\{\|u\|, \; \|u'\|\}$, here $\|u\| = \sup\limits_{x \in [0,1]} |u(x)|, \; \|u'\| = \sup\limits_{x \in [0,1]} |u'(x)|$. Then $PC'(I, R)$ is a Banach space.

Definition 2.1: A function $u \in PC'(I, R) \cap C^2(I', R)$ is a solution of (1.1) if it satisfies the differential equation
$$u'' + g(x, u) = 0, \quad x \in I'$$
and the function u satisfies conditions $\Delta u'|_{x=x_k} = -I_k(u(x_k))$ and $R_1(u) = R_2(u) = 0.$

Let $Q = I \times I$ and $Q_1 = \{(x, y) \in Q | 0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1\}, \; Q_2 = \{(x, y) \in Q | 0 \leq y \leq x \leq 1\}$. Let $G(x, y)$ is the Green's function of the boundary value problem

$$-u'' = 0, \; R_1(u) = R_2(u) = 0.$$

2

Following from [6], $G(x, y)$ can be written by

$$G(x, y) := \begin{cases} \frac{(\alpha_1 x - \beta_1)(\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 y)}{\omega}, & (x, y) \in Q_1, \\ \frac{(\alpha_1 y - \beta_1)(\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x)}{\omega}, & (x, y) \in Q_2. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\omega = \alpha_1(\alpha_2 + \beta_2) - \beta_1 \alpha_2 > 0$

It is easy to verify that $G(x, y)$ has the following properties:

(i): $G(x, y) \geq 0, \quad (x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$

(ii): $G(x, y) \leq G(y, y), \quad (x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$

(iii): $G(x, y) \geq min\{\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1}, \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2}\} G(y, y)$

$\qquad \geq (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2}) G(y, y) \qquad (x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$

Consider the linear problem

$$-u''(x) = \lambda u(x), \quad R_1(u) = R_2(u) = 0.$$

By the theory of ordinary differential equations, we know that there exists an eigenfunction $\phi_1(x)$ with respect to the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that $\phi_1(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$.

Lemma 2.1[9]: If u is a solution of the equation

$$u(x) = \int_0^1 G(x, y)g(y, u(y))dy + \sum_{k=1}^m G(x, x_k)I_k(u(x_k)), \ x \in I. \tag{2.2}$$

then u is a solution of (1.1).

In fact by using inequalities (i), (ii) we have that

$$\|u\| \leq \int_0^1 G(y, y)g(y, u(y))dy + \sum_{k=1}^m G(x_k, x_k)I_k(u(x_k))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) \ &\geq \ (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2}) \int_0^1 G(y, y)g(y, u(y))dy \\ &+ \ (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2}) \sum_{k=1}^m G(x_k, x_k)I_k(u(x_k)) \\ &\geq \ (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2})\|u\|, \ x \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

3. Main Results

Existence in this work will be established by using a general cone fixed point theorem given in [2,3].

Lemma 3.1: Let $E = (E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and let $K \subset E$ be a cone in E, and $\|\cdot\|$

3

be increasing with respect to K. Also, r, R are constants with $0 < r < R$. Suppose that $\Phi : (\bar{\Omega}_R \backslash \Omega_r) \bigcap K \to K (\Omega_R = \{u \in E, \|u\| < R\})$ is a continuous, compact map and assume that the conditions are satisfied:

(i) $\|\Phi u\| > x$, for $u \in \partial \Omega_r \bigcap K$

(ii) $u \neq \mu \Phi(u)$, for $\mu \in [0, 1)$ and $u \in \partial \Omega_R \bigcap K$

Then Φ has a fixed point in $K \bigcap \{u \in E : r \leq \|u\| \leq R\}$.

Proof. Let K be a cone in E given by

$$K = \{u \in E; u(x) \geq (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2}) \|u\|, x \in I\}.$$

while E is the Banach space of continuous functions defined on $[0, 1]$ with the norm

$$\|u\| := max\{|u(x)| : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}.$$

Now, let $r > 0$ be such that

$$r < min\{\varepsilon_0, \int_0^1 G(\frac{1}{2}, y) g(y, \varepsilon_0) dy + \sum_{k=1}^m G(\frac{1}{2}, x_k) I_k(\varepsilon_0)\} \tag{3.1}$$

and let $R > r$ be chosen large enough later.

Let us define an operator $\Phi : (\bar{\Omega}_R \backslash \Omega_r) \bigcap K \to K$ by

$$(\Phi u)(x) = \int_0^1 G(x, y) g(y, u(y)) dy + \sum_{k=1}^m G(x, x_k) I_k(u(x_k)), \ x \in I.$$

First we show that Φ is well defined. To see this, notice that if $u \in (\bar{\Omega}_R \backslash \Omega_r) \bigcap K$ then $r \leq \|u\| \leq R$ and $u(x) \geq (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2}) \|u\| \geq (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2}) r, 0 \leq x \leq 1$. Also notice by (H_1) that

$$g(x, u(x)) \leq g(x, (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2}) r), \qquad when \ 0 \leq u(x) \leq r,$$

and

$$g(x, u(x)) \leq \max_{r \leq u \leq R} \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} g(x, u) \qquad when \ r \leq u(x) \leq R.$$

These inequalities with (H_2) guarantee that $\Phi : (\bar{\Omega}_R \backslash \Omega_r) \bigcap K \to K$ is well defined.

Next we show that $\Phi : (\bar{\Omega}_R \backslash \Omega_r) \bigcap K \to K$. If $u \in (\bar{\Omega}_R \backslash \Omega_r) \bigcap K$, then we have

$$\|\Phi u\| \leq \int_0^1 G(y, y) g(y, u(y)) dy + \sum_{k=1}^m G(x_k, x_k) I_k(u(x_k))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Phi u)(x) &\geq (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2}) \int_0^1 G(y, y) g(y, u(y)) dy \\
&+ (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2}) \sum_{k=1}^m G(x_k, x_k) I_k(u(x_k)) \\
&\geq (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2}) \|\Phi u\|, \ x \in [0, 1].
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.$\Phi u \in K$ so $\Phi : (\bar{\Omega}_R \backslash \Omega_r) \bigcap K \to K$.

It is clear that Φ is continuous and completely continuous.

We now show that

$$||\Phi u|| > ||u||, \qquad for\ u \in \partial\Omega_r \bigcap K \tag{3.2}$$

To see that,let $u \in \partial\Omega_r \bigcap K$,then $||u|| = r$ and $u(x) \geq (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2})r$ for $x \in [0,1]$. So by (H_1) and (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Phi u)(\frac{1}{2}) &= \int_0^1 G(\frac{1}{2}, y)g(y, u(y))dy + \sum_{k=1}^m G(\frac{1}{2}, x_k)I_k(u(x_k)) \\
&\geq \int_0^1 G(\frac{1}{2}, y)g(y, r)dy + \sum_{k=1}^m G(\frac{1}{2}, x_k)I_k(r) \\
&\geq \int_0^1 G(\frac{1}{2}, y)g(y, \varepsilon_0)dy + \sum_{k=1}^m G(\frac{1}{2}, x_k)I_k(\varepsilon_0) \\
&> r = ||u||.
\end{aligned}
$$

so (3.2) is satisfied.

On the other hand, from (H_2), there exist $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda_1 - f^\infty$ and $H > p$ such that

$$(\lambda_1 - \varepsilon - g^\infty) \int_0^1 (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2})\phi_1(x)dx > \sum_{k=1}^m (I^\infty(k) + \varepsilon)\phi_1(x_k);$$

$$g(x, u) \leq (g^\infty + \varepsilon)u,\ I_k(u) \leq (I^\infty(k) + \varepsilon)u \quad \forall\ x \in [0,1],\ u \geq H. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $C = \max\limits_{r \leq u \leq H} \max\limits_{0 \leq x \leq 1} g(x, u) + \sum\limits_{k=1}^m \max\limits_{r \leq u \leq H} I_k(u)$, it is clear that

$$g(x, u) \leq g(x, (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2})r) + C + (g^\infty + \varepsilon)u,$$

$$I_k(u) \leq I_k((\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2})r) + C + (I^\infty(k) + \varepsilon)u, \forall\ x \in [0,1], u \geq 0.$$

Next we show that if R is large enough ,then $\mu\Phi u \neq u$ for any $u \in K \bigcap \partial\Omega_R$ and $0 \leq \mu < 1$. If this is not true ,then there exist $u_0 \in K \bigcap \partial\Omega_R$ and $0 \leq \mu_0 < 1$ such that $\mu_0\Phi u_0 = u_0$. Thus $||u_0|| = R > r$ and $u_0(x) \geq (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2})R$. Clearly $\mu_0 > 0$. Note that $u_0(x)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u_0''(x) + \mu_0 g(x, u_0(x)) = 0, & x \in I', \\ -\Delta u_0'|_{x=x_k} = \mu_0 I_k(u_0(x_k)), & k = 1, 2, \cdots, m, \\ \alpha_1 u_0(0) + \beta_1 u_0'(0) = 0 \\ \alpha_2 u_0(1) + \beta_2 u_0'(1) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Multiply equation (3.4) by $\phi_1(x)$ and integrate from 0 to 1, use integration by parts in the left side, notice that

$$\int_0^1 \phi_1(x)u_0''(x)dx = \int_0^{x_1} \phi_1(x)du_0'(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \phi_1(x)du_0'(x) + \int_{x_m}^1 \phi_1(x)du_0'(x)$$

5

$$
= \quad \phi_1(x_1)u_0'(x_1 - 0) - \phi_1(0)u_0'(0) - \int_0^{x_1} u_0'(x)\phi_1'(x)dx
$$

$$
+ \quad \sum_{k=1}^{m-1}[\phi_1(x_{k+1})u_0'(x_{k+1} - 0) - \phi_1(x_k)u_0'(x_k + 0) - \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} u_0'(x)\phi_1'(x)dx] + \phi_1(1)u_0'(1)
$$

$$
- \quad \phi_1(x_m)u_0'(x_m + 0) - \int_{x_m}^1 u_0'(x)\phi_1'(x)dx
$$

$$
= \quad -\sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta u_0'(x_k)\phi_1(x_k) - \int_0^1 \phi_1'(x)u_0'(x)dx + \phi_1(1)u_0'(1) - \phi_1(0)u_0'(0).
$$

Also notice that

$$
\int_0^1 \phi_1'(x)u_0'(x)dx = \int_0^1 \phi_1'(x)du_0(x)
$$

$$
= \phi_1'(1)u_0(1) - \phi_1'(0)u_0(0) - \int_0^1 u_0(x)\phi_1''(x)dx
$$

$$
= \phi_1'(1)u_0(1) - \phi_1'(0)u_0(0) + \lambda_1 \int_0^1 u_0(x)\phi_1(x)dx.
$$

thus,by the boundary conditions, we have

$$
\int_0^1 \phi_1(x)u_0''(x)dx = -\sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta u_0'(x_k)\phi_1(x_k) - \phi_1'(1)u_0(1) + \phi_1'(0)u_0(0) - \lambda_1 \int_0^1 u_0(x)\phi_1(x)dx
$$

$$
+ \quad \phi_1(1)u_0'(1) - \phi_1(0)u_0'(0)
$$

$$
= \quad -\sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta u_0'(x_k)\phi_1(x_k) - \lambda_1 \int_0^1 u_0(x)\phi_1(x)dx
$$

$$
= \quad \sum_{k=1}^{m} \mu_0 I_k(u_0(x_k))\phi_1(x_k) - \lambda_1 \int_0^1 u_0(x)\phi_1(x)dx.
$$

So we obtain

$$
\lambda_1 \int_0^1 u_0(x)\phi_1(x)dx = \mu_0 \sum_{k=1}^{m} I_k(u_0(x_k))\phi_1(x_k) + \mu_0 \int_0^1 g(x, u_0(x))\phi_1(x)dx
$$

$$
\leq \quad \sum_{k=1}^{m}(I^\infty(k) + \varepsilon)\phi_1(x_k)u_0(x_k) + C \sum_{k=1}^{m} \phi_1(x_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} I_k(\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1}\frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2})r)\phi_1(x_k)
$$

$$
+ \quad (g^\infty + \varepsilon)\int_0^1 \phi_1(x)u_0(x)dx + C \int_0^1 \phi_1(x)dx + \int_0^1 \phi_1(x)g(x, (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1}\frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2})r)dx
$$

Consequently,we obtain that

$$
(\lambda_1 - g^\infty - \varepsilon)\int_0^1 u_0(x)\phi_1(x)dx \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m}(I^\infty(k) + \varepsilon)\phi_1(x_k)u_0(x_k)
$$

$$
+ \quad \int_0^1 \phi_1(x)g(x, (\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1}\frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2})r)dx + C(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \phi_1(x_k) + \int_0^1 \phi_1(x)dx)
$$

$$
+ \quad \sum_{k=1}^{m} I_k(\frac{\alpha_1 x - \beta_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1}\frac{\alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2})r)\phi_1(x_k)
$$

$$\leq \quad \|u_0\| \sum_{k=1}^{m}(I^\infty(k)+\varepsilon)\phi_1(x_k) + \int_0^1 \phi_1(x)g(x,(\frac{\alpha_1 x-\beta_1}{\alpha_1-\beta_1}\frac{\alpha_2+\beta_2-\alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2+\beta_2})r)dx$$

$$+ \quad C(\sum_{k=1}^{m}\phi_1(x_k)+\int_0^1\phi_1(x)dx)+\sum_{k=1}^{m}I_k(\frac{\alpha_1 x-\beta_1}{\alpha_1-\beta_1}\frac{\alpha_2+\beta_2-\alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2+\beta_2})r)\phi_1(x_k)$$

We also have

$$\int_0^1 u_0(x)\phi_1(x)dx \geq \|u_0\|\int_0^1(\frac{\alpha_1 x-\beta_1}{\alpha_1-\beta_1}\frac{\alpha_2+\beta_2-\alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2+\beta_2})\phi_1(x)dx$$

Thus

$$\|u_0\| \leq \frac{\int_0^1 \phi_1(x)g(x,(\frac{\alpha_1 x-\beta_1}{\alpha_1-\beta_1}\frac{\alpha_2+\beta_2-\alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2+\beta_2})r)dx+C(\sum_{k=1}^{m}\phi_1(x_k)+\int_0^1\phi_1(x)dx)+\sum_{k=1}^{m}I_k(\frac{\alpha_1 x-\beta_1}{\alpha_1-\beta_1}\frac{\alpha_2+\beta_2-\alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2+\beta_2})r)\phi_1(x_k)}{(\lambda_1-g^\infty-\varepsilon)\int_0^1(\frac{\alpha_1 x-\beta_1}{\alpha_1-\beta_1}\frac{\alpha_2+\beta_2-\alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2+\beta_2})\phi_1(x)dx-\sum_{k=1}^{m}(I^\infty(k)+\varepsilon)\phi_1(x_k)}$$

$$=: \bar{R}.$$

Let $R > max\{\overline{R},H\}$. Then for any $u \in K\bigcap \partial\Omega_R$ and $0 \leq \mu < 1$, we have $\mu\Phi u \neq u$. Hence all the hypotheses of Lemma 3.1 hold.then Φ has a fixed point u in $K\bigcap\{u \in E: r \leq \|u\| \leq R\}$, and $u(x) \geq (\frac{\alpha_1 x-\beta_1}{\alpha_1-\beta_1}\frac{\alpha_2+\beta_2-\alpha_2 x}{\alpha_2+\beta_2})r$ for $x \in [0,1]$. Let $\theta^* := r$; then we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

References

[1] X. Liu, D. Q. Jiang, Multiple positive solutions of Dirichlet boundary value problems for second order impulsive differential equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2006,**321**: 501-514.

[2] L. Liu, F. Y. Li, Multiple positive solution of nonlinear two-point boundary value problems. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1996, **203**: 610-625.

[3] I. Rachunkova, J. Tomecek,   Impulsive BVPs with nonlinear boundary conditions for the second order differential equations without growth restrictions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2004, **292**: 525-539.

[4] Z. Wei, Periodic boundary value problems for second order impulsive integrodifferential equations of mixed type in Banach spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1995, **195**: 214-229.

[5] S. G. Hristova , D. D. Bainov, Monotone-iterative techniques of V. Lakshmikantham for a boundary value problem for systems of impulsive differential-difference equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1996, **1997**: 1-13.

[6] X. Liu, D.Guo, Periodic Boundary value problems for a class of second-Order impulsive integro-differential equations in Banach spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. 1997, **216**: 284-302.

[7] V. Lakshmik ntham, D.D. Bainov and P.S. Simeonov, Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations. World Scientific, Singapore, (1989).

[8] W. Ding , M. Han, Periodic boundary value problem for the second order impulsive functional differential equations. Appl. Math. Comput. 2004, **155 A**: 709-726.

[9] R.P.Agarwal , D. O'Regan, Multiple nonnegative solutions for second order impulsive differential equations. Appl. Math. Comput. 2000, **114**: 51-59.

[10] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.