

Some common fixed point theorems of sequentially compact and complete cone -2- metric spaces of a contractive mapping

¹L. Shambhu Singh, ²Th. Chhatrajit Singh and ³K. Anthony Singh

^{1,3}Department of Mathematics

D.M.Collge of Science Imphal Manipur India-795001

²Department of Mathematics

Manipur Technical University, Imphal Manipur India-795004

Email: ¹laishramshambhu11@gmail.com, ²chhatrajit@gmail.com, ³anthony_kuman@yahoo.co.in

Abstract: In this paper, we prove some common fixed point theorems of sequentially compact and complete cone 2-metric space of a contractive mappings. We have proved four lemmas for the main theorems. Our results improve and generalize some of the results of B Singh etal(15) etc.

Key-words : Fixed points, common fixed points, compact and complete cone 2-metric spaces, ordered Banach space, contractive mapping, Normal cone with normal constant, sequentially compact cone 2-metric space.

Subject classification: 47 H 10, 54 H 25

1. Introduction

The notion of 2-metric space was introduced by S. Gahler in series of paper [1], [2],[3] etc. published in the 60's whose abstract properties were suggested by the area function for a triangle determined by a triplet in the Euclidean Space.

L.G. Huang and X. Zhang [10] introduced the concept of cone metric space as a generalization of a metric space on replacing real numbers by an ordered Banach Space and obtained some fixed points of contractive mappings. Using both the two concepts B.Singh etal [15] introduced cone 2-metric space and proved some common fixed point theorems. We now extend and generalize the results of [15] for a pair of self mappings using a contractive mapping.

We need the following definitions for our main theorems.

Definition 1.1 : A non-empty set X with at least three points together with a mapping $d : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, is called a 2-metric space if d satisfies.

- i) To each pair of points x and $y, x \neq y$ in X there exists a point $z \in X$ such that $d(x, y, z) \geq 0$.
- ii) $d(x, y, z) = 0$ if at least two of x, y and z are equal.
- iii) $d(x, y, z) = d(y, z, x) = d(z, x, y) \forall x, y, z \in X$
- iv) $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, w) + d(x, w, z) + d(w, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, w \in X$

It is denoted by the ordered pair (X, d) . The mapping d is called a 2-metric defined on X

Definition 1.2 [15]: A cone 2-metric space is defined as follows. Let E be a real Banach Space and P a subset of E . P is called a cone of E if

- i) P is closed, non-empty and $P \neq \{0\}$
- ii) $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+, a, b \geq 0$ and $x, y \in P \Rightarrow ax + by \in P$
- iii) $x \in P$ and $-x \in P \Rightarrow x = 0$

Given a cone $P \subset E$, we define a partial ordering \leq in E with respect to P by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. A cone P is normal is if for every $x, y \in P$ there exist a number $K > 0$ such that $x \leq y \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq K \|y\|$.

The least of K is the normal constant of the cone P . The symbol $x \ll y$ is used to denote that $y - x \in \text{Int}(P)$, interior of P in E .

A cone P is regular if for every non-decreasing sequence which is bounded above is convergent i.e. if $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence such that $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq \dots \leq y \leq \dots$ for some $y \in P$ then there exists some $x \in P$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ or $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Equivalently $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $\{x_n\}$ is a non-increasing sequence which is bounded from below..

Definition 1.3 : Let X be a non-empty set with at least three points. Let $d : X \times X \times X \rightarrow P$ be a mapping such that

i) $d(x, y, z) \geq 0, \forall x, y, z \in X$ and $d(x, y, z) = 0$ if at least two of x, y or z are equal.

ii) $d(x, y, z) = d(y, z, x) = d(z, x, y), \forall x, y, z \in X$.

iii) $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, w) + d(x, w, z) + d(w, x, z)$ for all $x, y, z, w \in X$.

Such a mapping 'd' is called a cone 2-metric and the pair (X, d) is called a cone 2-metric space.

Definition 1.4 : Let (X, d) be a cone 2-metric space with respect to a cone P in a real Banach Space E , Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and let $x \in X$. If for every $c \in \text{Int}(P)$ with $0 \ll c$ there exist a number $N(c)$ such that $d(x_n, x, a) \ll c \forall a \in X, n \geq N(c)$.

Then $\{x_n\}$ is called a convergent sequence converging to x . x is the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$. We denote it by $x_n \rightarrow x$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Definition 1.5 : Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a cone 2-metric space (X, d) . For each $c \in \text{Int}(P)$ there is a number $N(c)$ such $d(x_n, x_m, a) \ll c$ for all $a \in X$ and $n, m \geq N(c)$. Such a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy Sequence.

Definition 1.6 : If every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a cone 2-metric space has a convergent subsequence then X is said to be a sequentially compact cone 2-metric space. A compact space is a sequentially compact space.

2. Main results.

We prove some results in cone 2-metric spaces.

Lamma : 2.1 : Every regular cone is a normal cone with a normal constant.

Proof : Let $\{x_n\}$ be a non-decreasing sequence which is bounded. Then exists a real number $M \in N$ such that each $x_i \leq M, \forall i$ if $\{x_n\} \subset X$ i.e. $M - x_i \in \text{Int}(P)$ i.e $\|M - x_i\| \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

i.e $\{x_n\}$ is convergent. Also for some i and $j, i \leq j$

We have,

$$\|x_i\| \leq K \|x_j\|, \forall i \text{ and } j.$$

Such K has least value and hence P is a normal cone with a normal constant.

If $\{x_n\}$ be a non-increasing sequence and $x_{n+1} \leq x_n \forall n \in N$ then $\|x_{n+1}\| \leq K \|x_n\|, \forall n$ and hence P is normal cone with a normal constant K .

Lamma : 2.2 : Every convergent sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a cone 2-metric space has a convergent subsequence in X or Every subsequence of a convergent sequence in a cone 2-metric space converges.

Proof : Let $\{x_n\}$ be convergent to some $x \in X$. Then for each $c \in \text{Int}(P), P$ is normal cone with normal constant K . there exists a number $N(c)$ such that

$$d(x_n, x, a) \ll c, \forall n \geq N(c)$$

Choosing $c, 0 \ll c$ such that $K\|c\| \ll \epsilon, \text{ for } \epsilon > 0$.

We have

$$\|d(x_n, x, a)\| \leq K\|c\| \ll \epsilon \forall n \geq N(c)$$

$$\Rightarrow \|d(x_{n(\lambda)}, x, a)\| \leq \epsilon \forall n(\lambda) \geq N(c), a \in X$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_{n(\lambda)}, x, a) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} x_{n(\lambda)} = x$$

$\therefore \{x_{n(\lambda)}\} \subset \{x_n\}$ converges to x in X .

As $\{x_{n(\lambda)}\}$ is arbitrary, any subsequence of a convergent sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges.

Lemma 2.3 : Every convergent sequence is Cauchy in a cone 2-metric space but the converse is not true.

Proof : Let $\{x_n\}$ be convergent

There for each $c \in \text{Int}(P)$ there exists a number $N(c)$ such that $d(x_n, x, a) \ll c$ for all $a \in X, n \geq N(c)$, Now for some $m, n \geq N(c)$

$$\begin{aligned} & d(x_n, x, a) \\ & \leq d(x_n, x, a) + d(x, x_m, a) + d(x_n, x_m, x) \\ & < d(x_n, x, a) + d(x, x_m, a) + d(x_n, x_m, x) \\ & < \frac{c}{3} + \frac{c}{3} + \frac{c}{3} = c \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e. } d(x_n, x_m, a) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty$$

$\therefore \{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Conversely if $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence, then for some $c \in \text{Int}(P)$. We have,

$$\therefore d(x_n, x_m, a) \leq d(x_n, x_m, x) + d(x_n, x, a) + d(x, x_m, a), \forall n, m \geq N(c)$$

$$\therefore 0 < d(x_n, x, a), \forall n \geq N(c)$$

Hence, $\lim d(x_n, x, a) \neq 0$

$$\text{or } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \neq x$$

$\therefore \{x_n\}$ does not converge to x .

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.4 : If every subsequence of a sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to the same limit x in a cone 2-metric space (X, d) then x is the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X .

Proof :

Let $\{x_{n(\lambda)}\} \subset \{x_n\}$ be a subsequence such that $x_{n(\lambda)} \rightarrow x$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$

Therefore, given $c \in \text{Int}(P)$, there exists a number $N(c)$ and $x \in X$ such that $d(x_{n(\lambda)}, x, a) \ll c$ or equivalently.

$$d(x_{n(\lambda)}, x, a) < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \text{ if } K\|c\| \ll \epsilon \text{ and } \epsilon < 0 \text{ be chosen.}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(x_n, x, a) \\
& \leq d(x_n, x, x_{n(\lambda)}) + d(x_n, x_{n(\lambda)}, a) + d(x_{n(\lambda)}, x, a) \\
& \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon \\
& \text{i.e., } d(x_n, x, a) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \forall a \in X \text{ \& } n \geq N(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence the sequence $\{x_n\}$ also converges to x .

Remark 2.5 [15];[12] : If E be a real Banach space with cone P and if $a \leq \lambda a$ where $a \in P$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, then $a = 0$.

Theorem 2.6 : Let (X, d) be a sequentially compact cone 2- metric space and let P be a cone with a normal constant K . Suppose the mappings $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfy the following for all $x, y, z \in X$:

(2.6.1) $T(X)$ or $S(X)$ is closed subset of X .

$$(2.6.2) \quad d(Sx, Ty, z) \leq Kd(x, y, z) + \lambda d(Sx, x, z) + \mu d(Ty, y, z) + \beta \max\{d(Sx, y, z), d(Ty, x, z)\}$$

Where $0 \leq K, \lambda, \mu, \beta < 1$

and $K + \lambda + \mu + 2\beta < 1, K + \beta < 1, \mu + \beta < 1$

Then S and T have coincident points which are the unique common fixed points of S and T .

Proof : For $x_0 \in X$, define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ as follows

$$x_n = Tx_{n-1} \text{ if } n \text{ is odd}$$

$$x_{n+1} = Sx_n \text{ if } n \text{ is even.}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+1}, x_n, z) &= d(Sx_n, Tx_{n-1}, z) \\
&\leq Kd(x_n, x_{n-1}, z) + \lambda d(Sx_n, x_n, z) + \mu d(Tx_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, z) \\
&\quad + \beta \max\{d(Sx_n, x_{n-1}, z), d(Tx_{n-1}, x_n, z)\} \\
&\leq Kd(x_n, x_{n-1}, z) + \lambda d(x_{n+1}, x_n, z) + \mu d(x_n, x_{n-1}, z) \\
&\quad + \beta \max\{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, z), d(x_n, x_n, z)\} \\
&\leq Kd(x_n, x_{n+1}, z) + \lambda d(x_{n+1}, x_n, z) + \mu d(x_n, x_{n-1}, z) \\
&\quad + \beta d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, z) \\
&\Rightarrow (1 - \lambda - \beta)d(x_{n+1}, x_n, z) \leq (K + \mu + \beta)d(x_n, x_{n-1}, z) \\
&\Rightarrow d(x_{n+1}, x_n, z) \leq \left(\frac{K + \mu + \beta}{1 - \lambda - \beta}\right)d(x_n, x_{n-1}, z) \\
&\Rightarrow d(x_{n+1}, x_n, z) \leq \rho d(x_n, x_{n-1}, z) \\
&\quad \text{if } \rho = \frac{K + \mu + \beta}{1 - \lambda - \beta} < 1 \text{ i.e. if } K + \mu + \lambda + 2\beta < 1
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow d(x_{n+1}, x_n, z) \leq \rho d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, z) \\
& \leq \rho^2 d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, z) \leq \dots
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \rho^n d(x_n, x_0, z)$$

Now for some $m, n \in N, n > m$.

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m, z) &\leq d(x_n, x_m, x_{n-1}) + d(x_n, x_{n-1}, z) + d(x_{n-1}, x_m, z) \\ &\leq \rho^{n-1} d(x_1, x_0, z) + d(x_n, x_{n-1}, z) + d(x_{n-1}, x_m, z) \\ &\leq (\rho^{n-1} + \rho^{n-2}) d(x_1, x_0, z) + d(x_{n-2}, x_m, z) \\ &\leq (\rho^{n-1} \rho^{n-2} + \dots + \rho^m) d(x_1, x_0, z) \\ &\leq \rho^m (1 + \rho + \rho^2 \dots + \rho^{n-m-1}) d(x_1, x_0, z) \\ &\leq \frac{\rho^m}{1-\rho} d(x_1, x_0, z) \\ &\Rightarrow \|d(x_n, x_m, z)\| \leq \frac{\rho^m}{1-\rho} \|d(x_1, x_0, z)\| \\ &\Rightarrow \|d(x_n, x_m, z)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{by remark (2.5)} \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_m, z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $T(X)$ or $S(X)$ which has a convergent subsequence. Let

$\{x_{n(k+1)}\} \subset \{x_n\}$ be the convergent subsequence such that $x_{n(k+1)} \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$\therefore \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n(k+1)} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S x_{n(k)} \Rightarrow Sx = x$$

To prove $x = Tx$. If not, $x \neq Tx$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } d(x, Tx, z) &= d(Sx, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n, z) \\ &= d\left(Sx, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n, z\right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Sx, Tx_n, z) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left\{Kd(x, x_n, z) + \lambda d(Sx, x, z) + md(Tx_n, x_n, z) + \beta \max(d(Sx, x_n, z), d(Tx_n, x, z))\right\} \\ &\leq \left(Kd(x, x, z) + \lambda d(Sx, x, z) + md(Tx, x, z) + \beta \max(d(Sx, x, z), d(Tx, x, z))\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore d(x, Tx, z) \leq (m + \beta) d(Tx, x, z)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x, Tx, z) = 0 \quad \text{by remark [2.5]}$$

$$\Rightarrow Tx = x$$

Thus, $Sx = Tx = x \Rightarrow$ The coincident points of S and T is the common fixed point of the mappings S and T.

We prove that the fixed point is unique .

If possible, let z' be another fixed point of S and T, then $z = z'$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, z', a) &= d(Sz, Tz', a) \\ &\leq Kd(z, z', a) + \lambda d(Sz, z, a) + ud(Tz', z', a) + \beta \max\{d(Sz, z', a), d(Tz', z', a)\} \\ &\leq Kd(z, z', a) + \lambda d(z, z, a) + ud(z', z', a) + \beta \max\{d(z, z', a), d(z', z', a)\} \\ &\leq (K + \beta) d(z, z', a) \\ \text{i.e., } d(z, z', a) &\leq (k + \beta) d(z, z', a) \\ \text{i.e., } d(z, z', a) &= 0 \quad \text{by remark(2.5)} \\ \therefore z &= z' \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the fixed point s of S and T is unique.

Theorem 2.7 : Let (X, d) be a complete cone 2-metric space and let P be a normal cone with normal constant K suppose the mappings $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfy the contractive conditions :

$$(2.6.1) \quad d(SxTy, z) \leq Kd(x, y, z) + \lambda d(Sx, x, z) + \mu d(Ty, y, z) + \beta \max\{d(Sx, y, z), d(Ty, x, z)\}$$

where K, λ, μ and β are constants and $0 \leq K, \lambda, \mu, \beta < 1$ and

$$(2.6.2) \quad K + \lambda + \mu + 2\beta < 1, \text{ with } K + \beta < 1, \mu + \beta < 1$$

Thus S and T have coincident points which are the unique common fixed point of the mappings S and T

Proof : For $x_0 \in X$, We define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ as

$$x_n = Tx_{n-1} \text{ if } n \text{ is odd.}$$

$$x_{n+1} = Sx \text{ if } n \text{ is even.}$$

Then continuing as in the proof of Theorem 2.6 are can prove that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy

Since X is complete the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a unique limit i.e. if $z \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Then $Sz = Tz = z$, Such a fixed point is unique.

The details of the proof are omitted.

Theorem 2.8 : Let (X, d) be a complete cone -2 metric space and Let P be a normal cone with a normal constant K Suppose the mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies for all $x, y, z \in X : d(Tx, Ty, Z) \leq Kd(x, y, z) + \lambda d(Tx, x, z) + \mu d(Ty, y, z) + \beta \max\{d(Tx, y, z), d(Ty, x, z)\}$

For some constants K, λ, μ, β such that $0 \leq k, \lambda, \mu, \beta < 1$ and

$$K + T + \mu + 2\beta < 1, \lambda + \mu + \beta < 1, K + \beta < 1$$

Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof: For some $x_0 \in X$ define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X by

$$x_1 = Tx_0$$

$$x_2 = Tx_1 = T^2x_0, \dots, x_{n+1} = Tx_n = T^{(n+1)}x_0$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_n, z) &= d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}, z) \\ &\leq Kd(x_n, x_{n-1}, Z) + \lambda d(Tx_n, x_n, z) + \mu d(Tx_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, z) + \beta \max\{d(Tx_n, x_{n-1}, z), d(Tx_{n-1}, x_n, z)\} \\ &\Rightarrow d(x_{n+1}, x_n, x) \leq Kd(x_n, x_{n-1}, x) + \lambda d(x_{n+1}, x_n, z) + \mu d(x_n, x_{n-1}, z) + \beta \max\{d(x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}, Z), d(x_n, xn, z)\} \\ &\Rightarrow (1 - \lambda - \beta) d(x_{n+1}, x_n, z) \leq (K + \mu + \beta) d(x_n, x_{n-1}, z) \\ &\Rightarrow d(x_{n+1}, x_n, z) \leq \rho d(x_n, x_{n-1}, x), \text{ if } \rho = \left(\frac{k + \mu + \beta}{1 - \lambda - \beta} \right) < 1 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $K + \mu + \lambda + 2\beta < 1$

$$\text{Also, } d(x_n, x_{n-1}, x) \leq \rho d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, x)$$

$$\text{Thus, } d(x_{n+1}, x_n, z) \leq \rho^n d(x_1, x_0, x)$$

For some $m, n \in N$ and $n > m$

We can prove that

$$d(x_n, x_m, z) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty$$

$\therefore \{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and hence it converges to some $x \in X$.

To prove $x = Tx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n$. Assume that $x \neq Tx$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & d(Tx, x, z) \\ & \leq d(Tx, x, Tx_n) + d(Tx, Tx_n, z) + d(Tx_n, Tx_n, z) \\ & \leq d(Tx, Tx_n, x) + d(Tx, Tx_n, z) + d(Tx_n, x, z) \\ & \leq [Kd(x, x_n, x) + \lambda d(Tx, x, x) + \mu d(Tx_n, x_n, x) + \beta \max\{d(Tx, x_n, x), d(Tx_n, x, x)\}] \\ & \quad + [Kd(x, x_n, z) + \lambda d(Tx, x, z) + \mu d(Tx_n, x_n, z)] \\ & \quad + \beta \max\{d(Tx, x_n, z), d(Tx_n, x, z)\} + d(Tx_n, x, z) \\ & \leq \mu d(Tx_n, x_n, x) + \beta d(Tx, x_n, x) + Kd(x, x_n, z) \\ & \quad + \lambda d(Tx, x, z) + \mu d(Tx_n, x_n, z) \\ & \quad + \beta \max\{d(Tx, x_n, z), d(Tx_n, x, z)\} + d(Tx_n, x, z) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, x, z) & \leq \mu d(Tx, x, z) + \beta d(Tx, x, x) + Kd(x, x, z) \\ & \quad + \lambda d(Tx, x, z) + \mu d(Tx, x, z) + \beta \max\{d(Tx, x, z), d(Tx, x, z)\} \\ & \Rightarrow d(Tx, x, z) \leq (\lambda + \mu + \beta)d(Tx, x, z) \\ & \Rightarrow d(Tx, x, z) = 0 \text{ as } \lambda + \mu + \beta < 1 \\ & \Rightarrow Tx = x \end{aligned}$$

i.e. x is a fixed point of T .

This fixed point is unique. Let, if possible, $Ty = y$ for some $y \in X$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & d(x, y, z) \\ & = d(Tx, Ty, z) \\ & \leq Kd(x, y, z) + \lambda d(Tx, x, z) + \mu d(Ty, y, z) \quad \text{using (2.8.1)} \\ & \quad + \beta \max\{d(Tx, y, z), d(Ty, x, z)\} \\ & \leq Kd(x, y, z) + \lambda d(x, x, z) + \mu d(y, y, z) \\ & \quad + \beta \max\{d(x, y, z), d(y, x, z)\} \\ & \leq (K + \beta)d(x, y, z) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $d(x, y, z) \leq (K + \beta)d(x, y, z)$, $K + \beta < 1$.

$\therefore d(x, y, z) = 0 \forall z \in X$ using remark (2.5)

i.e. $x = y$

\therefore The fixed point of T is unique in (X, d) .

Corollary Theorem 2.9:

Let (X, d) be a complete cone 2-metric space and P be a normal cone with a normal constant K . Suppose $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies,

$d(Tx, Ty, c) \leq Kd(x, y, c) + \lambda d(Tx, x, c) + \mu d(Ty, y, c)$ for some $k, \lambda, \mu \in [0, 1)$ with $k + \lambda + \mu < 1$, then T has a unique fixed point on X and for every $x \in X$,

the sequence $\{T^n x\}$ converges to the fixed point. This is the main Theorem 2.1 of [15] as a particular case of our theorem 2.7.

Proof: Putting $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 2.8 above, we get a sequence $\{T^n x_0\} \subset X$ which is a Cauchy sequence and hence converges to a point $x \in X$ as X is x_0 -orbitally complete. The uniqueness of such fixed point follows easily.

Example: Let $E = R^2$ and $P = \{(x, y) \in R^2 : x, y \geq 0\}$ be a normal cone in E . Let X be $X = \{(x, 0) : 0 \leq x \leq 1\} \cup \{(0, y) : 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$. Define a mapping d by $d : X \times X \times X \rightarrow E$

$d(a_1, a_2, a_3) = d_1(b_1, b_2), a_i \in X, \forall i = 1, 2, 3$ & $b_1, b_2 \in \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ such that $\|b_1 - b_2\| = \min\{\|a_1 - a_2\|, \|a_2 - a_3\|, \|a_3 - a_1\|\}$ and

$$d_1((x, 0), (y, 0)) = \left(\frac{3}{2}|x - y|, |x - y| \right),$$

$$d_1((0, x), (0, y)) = \left(|x - y|, \frac{1}{2}|x - y| \right),$$

$$d_1((x, 0), (0, y)) = d_1((0, y), (x, 0)) = \left(\frac{3}{2}x + y, x + \frac{1}{2}y \right)$$

Then (X, d) is a complete cone 2-metric space. Define the mappings $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$S(x, 0) = T(x, 0) = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}x \right)$$

$$S(0, y) = T(0, y) = \left(\frac{1}{12}y, 0 \right)$$

then the mappings S and T satisfy the contractive condition

$$d(Sx, Ty, z) \leq kd(x, y, z) + \lambda d(Sx, x, z) + \mu d(Ty, y, z) + \beta \max\{d(Sx, y, z), d(Ty, x, z)\}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ with the constants assuming the values $k = \frac{1}{4}, \lambda = \mu = \frac{1}{6}$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{12}$.

The mappings S and T have a coincident point $(0, 0)$ which is the unique common fixed point. One can check that S and T are not contractive in the 2-metric space on X .

Acknowledgement: The first author L. Shambhu Singh is financially supported by UGC-MRP project No.-F.5-334/2014-2015/NERO/2367 dated 18/2/2015.

References

1. Gähler, S. 2 metrische Raume and other topologische structure, Math Nachr, 26, (1963), PP. 115-148.
2. Gähler, S, Uber die uniformesior barkat 2-metriche Raume, Math. Nachr, 28(1966), PP. 235-244.
3. Gähler, S., Zur geometric 2-metriche Raume, Math. Nachr, 28(1966), 11, PP.665-667
4. Boyd, D.W., Wong, T.S.W., On non-linear contractions, Proc.Amer.Soc.20(1969), PP.458-464.
5. Sessa, S. On a weak commutatively condition in fixed points considerations, Publ. Inst. Math. 32,(1982), PP. 149-153.
6. Naidu, S.V.R. and Prasad, J.R. , Fixed point Theorem in 2-metric spaces, Indian J.Pure Appl. Math., (1986), 17, PP. 974-993.

7. Imdad, M. Khan, M.S. and Khan, M.D., A common fixed point theorem in 2-metric space, *Math. Japonica*, 36(5), (1991), PP. 907-914.
8. Rhoades, B.E., Some theorems on weakly contractive maps., *Non-linear Anals* 47(2001), PP. 2683-2693.
9. Singh, M.R., Singh, L.S. and Murthy P.P., common fixed points of set –valued mappings, *Int. J.Math. Sci.* 25(6), (2001), PP. 411-415.
10. Huang, L.G., Zhang, X. Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 332(2007), PP. 1468-1476.
11. Murthy, P.P., Kenan Tas, New common fixed point theorem of Gregus type for R-weakly commuting mappings in 2-metric space, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, Vol. 38(3), (2009), PP. 285-291.
12. Shobha Jain, Shishir Jain and Lal Bahadur, Weakly Compatible maps in Cone Metric Spaces, *Rediconti Del Semnario Mathematica*, 3,(2010), PP. 13-23.
13. Asim R., Aslam M. Zafer, A.A. , Fixed point theorem for certain contraction in D-metric space, *Int Journal of Math. Analysis*, Vol. 5. No. 39, (2011), PP. 1921-1931.
14. Shambu Singh L. Ranjit Singh M. , New common fixed point theorem of Set-valued mapping in 2-metric spaces, *International Journal of Math. Sci&Engg. Appls. (IJMSEA)*, ISSN 0973-9424, Vol. 5. No. III. PP. 156-173.
15. B.Singh, Shishir Jain, Prakash Bhagat, Cone 2-metric spaces and fixed point theorem of contractive mappings, *Commentationes Mathematicae*, Vol. 52, No. 2 (2012), PP. 143-151.