

ON LINEAR OPERATORS WITH CLOSED RANGE

S. KAMEL HOSSEINI

ABSTRACT. Linear operators between Banach spaces such that the closedness of range of an operator implies the closedness of range of another operator are discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X and Y be normed spaces, $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ will denote the normed space of all continuous linear operators from X to Y . $R(T)$ and $N(T)$ will denote the range and null spaces of a linear operator T respectively. $\mathcal{CL}(X, Y)$ will denote all continuous closed range linear operators from X to Y . There are many important applications of closed range unbounded operators in the spectral study of differential operators and also in the context of perturbation theory (see e.g. [1], [2]). In this paper, we deal with continuous linear operators between Banach spaces.

A characterization of closed range bounded linear operator between two Banach spaces is given in [3]. Using this characterization, we derive results which have conclusions of having closed range of an operator when the other operator has closed range. In this section we assume that the spaces are Banach unless otherwise specified.

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is called Weyl if it is Fredholm of index zero. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is called Browder if it is Fredholm of finite ascent and descent. In section 2, we define norm equivalent operators, and find some properties of norm equivalent operators. In section 3, We study Linear operators between Banach spaces such that the closedness of range of an operator implies the closedness of range of another operator. In section 4, pseudo-inverse of operators are discussed.

2. NORM EQUIVALENT OPERATORS

Definition 2.1. Let $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$. Two operators S and T are said to be norm equivalent and denoted by $T \sim S$ if there exist two positive real numbers k_1 and k_2 such that $k_1\|Sx\| \leq \|Tx\| \leq k_2\|Sx\|$, for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ and $[T] = \{S \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y) : S \sim T\}$. Then \sim is an Equivalence relation, and $[T]$ is Equivalence class of T .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A05; Secondary 47A30.

Key words and phrases. closed range operators, norm equivalent operators, pseudo-inverse of operator.

Proof. It is trivial. \square

Proposition 2.3. *Let $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ be norm equivalent. Then the following claims are true:*

- (a) *T is bounded below if and only if S is bounded below;*
- (b) *T is closed range if and only if S is closed range;*
- (c) *T is one-to-one if and only if S is one-to-one;*
- (d) *αT and βS are norm equivalent, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. It is trivial. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ be norm equivalent and let $T(X) = S(X)$. Then the following claims are true:*

- (a) *T is Fredholm if and only if S is Fredholm;*
- (b) *T is semi-Fredholm if and only if S is semi-Fredholm;*

Proof. By hypothesis, $N(T) = N(S)$, $R(T) = R(S)$ and $\text{ind } T = \text{ind } S$. Therefore, the proof is trivial. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let H be a Hilbert space and $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Let T_1 and T_2 be norm equivalent. Let $T_1 = V_1 P_1$ and $T_2 = V_2 P_2$ be polar decompositions of T_1 and T_2 . Then $R(P_1)$ is closed if and only if $R(P_2)$ is closed.*

Proof. By hypothesis, there exist two positive real numbers k_1 and k_2 such that $k_1 \|T_1 x\| \leq \|T_2 x\| \leq k_2 \|T_1 x\|$, for all $x \in H$. Then for $x \in H$, $\|P_i x\| = \|T_i x\|$ for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, $k_1 \|P_1 x\| \leq \|P_2 x\| \leq k_2 \|P_1 x\|$. Consequently, P_1 and P_2 are norm equivalent. Hence, $R(P_1)$ is closed if and only if $R(P_2)$ is closed. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ and let $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(Y, Z)$. If T and S are norm equivalent, then $R(TP)$ is closed if and only if $R(SP)$ is closed.*

Proof. By hypothesis, there exist two positive real numbers k_1 and k_2 such that $k_1 \|Ty\| \leq \|Sy\| \leq k_2 \|Ty\|$, for all $y \in Y$. Therefore, $k_1 \|TPx\| \leq \|SPx\| \leq k_2 \|TPx\|$, for all $x \in X$. Consequently, TP and SP are norm equivalent. Hence, $R(TP)$ is closed if and only if $R(SP)$ is closed. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be norm equivalent and let $TS = ST$. Then*

- (a) *ascent $T < \infty$ if and only if ascent $S < \infty$.*
- (b) *T is nilpotent if and only if S is nilpotent.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.6 \square

Proposition 2.8. *Let $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ and let $P \in \mathcal{B}(Y, Z)$ be an isometry. If T and S are norm equivalent, then $R(PT)$ is closed if and only if $R(PS)$ is closed.*

Proof. By hypothesis, there exist two positive real numbers k_1 and k_2 such that $k_1 \|Sx\| \leq \|Tx\| \leq k_2 \|Sx\|$, $\|PTx\| = \|Tx\|$ and $\|PSx\| = \|Sx\|$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore, $k_1 \|PTx\| \leq \|PTx\| \leq k_2 \|PTx\|$, for all $x \in X$. Consequently, PT and PS are norm equivalent. Hence, $R(PT)$ is closed if and only if $R(PS)$ is closed. \square

Proposition 2.9. *Let $T_1, S_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1, Y_1)$ be norm equivalent and let $T_2, S_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2, Y_2)$ be norm equivalent. Then $R(T_1 \oplus T_2)$ is closed if and only if $R(S_1 \oplus S_2)$ is closed.*

Proof. By hypothesis, for $i=1,2$, there exist two positive real numbers k_1^i and k_2^i such that $k_1^i \|T_i x_i\| \leq \|S_i x_i\| \leq k_2^i \|T_i x_i\|$, for all $x_i \in X_i$. Therefore,

$$k_1(\|T_1 x_1\| + \|T_2 x_2\|) \leq \|S_1 x_1\| + \|S_2 x_2\| \leq k_2(\|T_1 x_1\| + \|T_2 x_2\|),$$

where $k_1 = \min\{k_1^1, k_1^2\}$ and $k_2 = \max\{k_2^1, k_2^2\}$. Hence, $T_1 \oplus T_2$ and $S_1 \oplus S_2$ are norm equivalent. Therefore, $R(T_1 \oplus T_2)$ is closed if and only if $R(S_1 \oplus S_2)$ is closed. \square

3. CLOSED RANGE OPERATORS

Theorem 3.1. *Let H be a Hilbert space and let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ having polar decomposition $T = VP$. Then $R(T)$ is closed if and only if $R(P)$ is closed.*

Proof. By hypothesis, P and T are norm equivalent. Therefore, according to [1, Lemma 2.2] $R(T)$ is closed if and only if $R(P)$ is closed. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $R(T)$ is closed if and only if $R(\lambda T)$ is closed.*

Proof. Let $R(T)$ be closed. Then There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for given $x \in X$, there is a $y \in X$ such that $Tx = Ty$ and $\|y\| \leq c\|Tx\|$. Therefore, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ we have $\lambda Tx = \lambda Ty$ and $\|y\| \leq \frac{c}{|\lambda|} \|\lambda Tx\|$. Consequently $R(\lambda T)$ is closed.

Coverseily, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $R(\lambda T)$ be closed, then $R(T) = R(\frac{1}{\lambda} \lambda T)$ is closed. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ be onto and let $S \in \mathcal{B}(Y, Z)$. If $R(ST)$ is closed, then $R(S)$ is closed.*

Proof. Let $y_1 \in Y$. Then there is $x_1 \in X$ such that $Tx_1 = y_1$. By hypothesis, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for $x_1 \in X$, there is $x_2 \in X$ such that $STx_1 = STx_2$ and $\|x_2\| \leq c\|STx_1\|$. Therefore, $Sy_1 = Sy_2$ where $y_2 = Tx_2$ and $\|y_2\| \leq c\|T\|\|Sy_1\|$. Consequently, $R(S)$ is closed. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ be invertible and let $S \in \mathcal{B}(Y, Z)$ be closed range. Then $R(ST)$ is closed.*

Proof. By hypothesis, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for given $x_1 \in X$, there is a $y_2 \in Y$ such that $STx_1 = Sy_2$ and $\|y_2\| \leq c\|STx_1\|$. Also, There is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for y_2 , there is a $x_2 \in X$ such that $Tx_2 = y_2$ and $\varepsilon\|x_2\| \leq \|Tx_2\| = \|y_2\| \leq c\|STx_1\|$. Therefore, $STx_1 = STx_2$ and $\|x_2\| \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon}\|STx_1\|$. Consequently $R(ST)$ is closed. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ be invertible and let $S \in \mathcal{B}(Y, Z)$. Then $R(ST)$ is closed if and only if $R(S)$ is closed.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ and let $S \in \mathcal{B}(Y, Z)$ be one-to-one. If $R(ST)$ is closed, then $R(T)$ is closed.*

Proof. By hypothesis, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for given $x_1 \in X$, there is a $x_2 \in X$ such that $STx_1 = STx_2$ and $\|x_2\| \leq c\|STx_1\|$. Therefore, $Tx_1 = Tx_2$ and $\|x_2\| \leq c\|S\|\|Tx_1\|$. Consequently, $R(T)$ is closed. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ be closed range and let $S \in \mathcal{B}(Y, Z)$ be bounded below. Then $R(ST)$ is closed.*

Proof. By hypothesis, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for given $x \in X$, there is a $y \in X$ such that $Tx = Ty$ and $\|y\| \leq c\|Tx\|$. Also, There is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon\|Tx\| \leq \|S(Tx)\|$. Therefore, $S(Tx) = S(Ty)$ and $\|y\| \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon}\|STx\|$. Consequently $R(ST)$ is closed. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ and let $S \in \mathcal{B}(Y, Z)$ be invertible. Then $R(ST)$ is closed if and only if $R(T)$ is closed.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 3.7. \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be closed range and let S be invertible. then $R(ST)$ and $R(TS)$ are closed.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.8. \square

Corollary 3.10. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be bounded below and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $R(T^n)$ is closed.*

Proof. By hypothesis, There is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon\|x\| \leq \|Tx\|$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore, $\varepsilon^n\|x\| \leq \|T^n x\|$ for all $x \in X$. Consequently, T^n is bounded below, then $R(T^n)$ is closed. \square

Theorem 3.11. *$\mathcal{CL}(X)$ is open in $\mathcal{B}(X)$.*

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{CL}(X)$ and let $A = \{ST : S \in \mathcal{B}(X) \text{ is invertible}\}$. Then A is open and $T \in A$. Moreover $A \subseteq \mathcal{CL}(X)$ by Corollary 3.9. \square

Corollary 3.12. *Let $T, T_n \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Let $\lim T_n = T$. If $T \in \mathcal{CL}(X)$ then, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T_n \in \mathcal{CL}(X)$ for all $n > N$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.11. \square

Proposition 3.13. *Let $T_i \in \mathcal{B}(X_i, Y_i) \quad i = 1, 2$. If $R(T_1)$ and $R(T_2)$ are closed, then*

- (a) $R(T_1 \oplus T_2)$ is closed;
- (b) $R(T_1 \otimes T_2)$ is closed.

Proof. (a) By hypothesis, there is a constant $c_i > 0$ such that for given $x_{1i} \in X_i$, there is a $x_{2i} \in X_i$ such that $T_i x_{1i} = T_i x_{2i}$ and $\|x_{2i}\| \leq c_i \|T_i x_{1i}\|$. Therefore, $\|(x_{21}, x_{22})\| \leq \max\{c_1, c_2\} \|(T_1 \oplus T_2)(x_{11}, x_{12})\|$. Consequently, $R(T_1 \oplus T_2)$ is closed.

(b) By hypothesis, there is a constant $c_i > 0$ such that for given $x_{1i} \in X_i$, there is a $x_{2i} \in X_i$ such that $T_i x_{1i} = T_i x_{2i}$ and $\|x_{2i}\| \leq c_i \|T_i x_{1i}\|$. Therefore, $\|(x_{21} \otimes x_{22})\| \leq c_1 c_2 \|(T_1 \otimes T_2)(x_{11} \otimes x_{12})\|$. Consequently, $R(T_1 \otimes T_2)$ is closed. \square

We know that if T_1 is a linear bounded operator on a Hilbert space H_1 and T_2 is a linear bounded operator on a Hilbert space H_2 there exists a unique linear bounded operator T on $H_1 \otimes H_2$ such that

$$T(x_1 \otimes x_2) = T_1 x_1 \otimes T_2 x_2$$

for all x_1 in H_1 and x_2 in H_2 . This operator is called a tensor product of operators T_1 and T_2 and denoted by $T_1 \otimes T_2$.

Corollary 3.14. *Let $T_1 \in \mathcal{B}(H_1)$ and let $T_2 \in \mathcal{B}(H_2)$. If $R(T_1)$ and $R(T_2)$ are closed, then $R(T_1 \otimes T_2)$ is closed.*

Proof. Let $R(T_1)$ and $R(T_2)$ be closed. Then $R(T_1 \otimes T_2)$ is closed by proposition 3.13. \square

Theorem 3.15. *Let $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ and let $T \neq 0$. If $k_1 |f(Tx)| \leq |f(Sx)| \leq k_2 |f(Tx)|$, for all $x \in X$, for all $f \in Y^*$, and for some $k_1 > 0$ and $k_2 > 0$, then*

- (a) *S is a Ferholm operator if and only if T a Ferholm operator;*
- (b) *S is a Weyl operator if and only if T a Weyl operator;*
- (c) *S is a Browder operator if and only if T a Browder operator;*
- (d) *S is a compact operator if and only if T a compact operator;*
- (e) *S is an invertible operator if and only if T an invertible operator.*

Proof. By hypothesis, $S = \alpha T$ for some $\alpha \neq 0$. Therefore, $N(T) = N(S)$, $R(T) = R(S)$, $indT = indS$, $ascentT = ascentS$ and $descentT = descentS$. Hence, the proof is trivial. \square

4. PSEUDO-INVERSE OF OPERATOR

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$. A pseudo-inverse of T is a $S \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ such that $TST = T$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $T_i \in \mathcal{B}(X_i, Y_i)$ and let $S_i \in \mathcal{B}(Y_i, X_i)$ be a pseudo-inverse of T_i ($i = 1, 2$). Then*

$$S_1 \oplus S_2 \text{ is a pseudo-inverse of } T_1 \oplus T_2;$$

Proof. Let $x_1 \in X_1$ and $x_2 \in X_2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1 \oplus T_2)(S_1 \oplus S_2)(T_1 \oplus T_2)(x_1, x_2) &= (T_1 \oplus T_2)(S_1 \oplus S_2)(T_1 x_1, T_2 x_2) \\ &= (T_1 \oplus T_2)(S_1 T_1 x_1, S_2 T_2 x_2) \\ &= (T_1 S_1 T_1 x_1, T_2 S_2 T_2 x_2) \\ &= (T_1 x_1, T_2 x_2) \\ &= (T_1 \oplus T_2)(x_1, x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $S_1 \oplus S_2$ is a pseudo-inverse of $T_1 \oplus T_2$.

□

Proposition 4.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ and let $S \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ be a pseudo-inverse of T . Then*

- (a) *If T is Fredholm operator, then S is Fredholm operator;*
- (b) *If S is compact operator, then T is compact operator.*

Proof. (a) Let T be Fredholm operator. Then TST is a Fredholm operator. Therefore, TS is a Fredholm operator. Hence, S is Fredholm operator.

(b) Let S be a compact operator. Therefore, TST is a compact operator. Hence, T is compact operator. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and let $S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be a pseudo-inverse of T . Then*

- (a) *If T is Fredholm operator, then S is Fredholm operator;*
- (b) *If T is Weyl operator, then S is Weyl operator;*
- (c) *If T is invertible operator, then S is invertible operator and $S = T^{-1}$.*

Proof. (a) It follows from Theorem 4.3 (a).

- (b) Let T be a Weyl operator. Then S is Fredholm operator. Also

$$0 = \text{ind}T = \text{ind}T + \text{ind}S + \text{ind}T = 0 + \text{ind}S + 0.$$

Therefore, $\text{ind}S = 0$. Consequently, S is Weyl operator.

(c) Let T be invertible operator. Then $TS = I$ and $ST = I$. Therefore, S is invertible operator and $S = T^{-1}$. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and let $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a pseudo-inverse of T . Then*

- (a) *S^* is a pseudo-inverse of T^* ;*
- (b) *If T is unitary operator, then S is unitary operator;*

Proof. (a) Let $TST = T$. Then $T^*S^*T^* = (TST)^* = T^*$. Therefore, S^* is a pseudo-inverse of T^* .

(b) Let T be unitary operator, then T is invertible and $S = T^*$. Therefore, $SS^* = S^*S = I$. Consequently, S is unitary operator; □

Proposition 4.5. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$. let $P_T = \{S \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X) : TST = T\}$. Then, P_T is convex;*

Proof. (a) Let $S_1, S_2 \in P_T$ and let $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then, $T(\lambda S_1 + (1 - \lambda)S_2)T = \lambda TS_1T + (1 - \lambda)TS_2T = T$. Therefore, $\lambda S_1 + (1 - \lambda)S_2 \in P_T$. Consequently, P_T is convex. □

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Goldberg, Unbounded Linear Operators - Theory and Applications, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [2] S.H. Kulkarni, M.T. Nair and G. Ramesh, Some Properties of Unbounded Operators with Closed Range, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), 118(4), November 2008, 613-625.

- [3] C. Ganesa Moorthy and P. Sam Johnson, Composition of Closed Range Operators, Journal of Analysis, 12, (2004), 165-169.
- [4] W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New Delhi, 1991.
- [5] J. A. Virtanen, Operator Theory, Fall 2007.
- [6] P. Sam Johnson and S. Balaji, Journal of Applied Mathematics & Bioinformatics, vol.1, no.2, 2011, 175-182

S.K. HOSSEINI

E-mail address: `kamelhosseini@chmail.ir`

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PAYAME NOOR UNIVERSITY , P. O. BOX
19395-3697, TEHRAN, I. R. IRAN.