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#### Abstract

We are interested in this work to a numerical estimation of parameters intervening in the formation process of the sand dunes. They are in particular the phenomenological parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ which influences respectively the curvature and the slope. We formulate an optimal control problem (inverse problem) and we implement several numerical methods to approach the problem. Then we make a comparative study of these numerical methods in order to choose the best for the analysis.
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## 1 Introduction

Following the great noted climate changes, one attends a reactivation of the sand dunes remained motionless during thousands of years [1]. From now on, the Sahara are spread out by the wind and the dunes threaten the ecosystems. The comprehension of the characteristics of the formation of sand dunes and the phenomena related to their displacement become then an important issue. To determine the parameters concerned in the different models governing the formation of the sand dunes is a crucial step in the search for effective means fight against the stranding. The mathematical models which control the formation of the sand dunes are formed in general by systems of partial derivative equations [2,3,4] which reveal parameters unknown and inaccessible to direct measurements. To identify one or more unknown parameters controlling the formation of sand dunes generally amounts to solve an "inverse problem". The resolution of this kind of problem is based on minimization of an objective functional describing the difference between the observations (measurements) and simulations (numerical calculations).
The present paper study the development of numerical approaches for estimating the parameters of the model describing the formation of sand dunes. It is therefore an inverse problem of estimation of parameters of diffusion and transport.
The paper is structured as follows: in the second section we give the mathematical model which describes the formation of the sand dunes. In the third section we give a formulation of the inverse problem. The section four to six will be devoted to the numerical approximation of the inverse problem. In the section seven, we will proceed to numerical simulations followed by an analysis of the results and we finish by a conclusion.

## 2 Mathematical model

The mathematical model describing the formation of the sand dunes is given by the following system [2]:

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial \mathrm{h}(x, t)}{\partial \mathrm{t}}+\frac{\partial \mathrm{q}(x, t)}{\partial \mathrm{x}}=0 \quad \text { if } \quad \frac{\partial \mathrm{h}(x, t)}{\partial \mathrm{x}}<\tan (\gamma) & (x, t) \in \Omega \times[0, T]  \tag{2-1}\\ \frac{\partial \mathrm{q}(x, t)}{\partial \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{sat}}(x, t)-\mathrm{q}(x, t) \text { if } \mathrm{h}(x, t)>0, \frac{\partial \mathrm{q}\left(x_{i}, t_{j}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{x}}=0 & \quad \text { Elsewhere } \\ \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{sat}}(x, t)=1-\alpha \mathrm{D} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{~h}(x, t)}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}+\beta \frac{\partial \mathrm{h}(x, t)}{\partial x} & \\ \mathrm{~h}(x, t)=0 & \forall(x, t) \in \Gamma \times[0, T] \\ \mathrm{h}(x, 0)=h_{0}(x) & \forall x \in \Omega \times[0, T]\end{cases}
$$

- $\mathrm{h}(x, t)$ : Denotes the height of the dune at every point x of the space and time t ;
- $\mathrm{q}(x, t)$ : Denotes the flux of sand grains transported at any point and at any time;
- $\mathrm{q}_{\text {sat }}(x, t)$ : is the saturation flux;
- $h_{0}(x)$ : Denotes the initial condition

When all the parameters are given, the resolution of the model can be done analytically or numerically. In the last study, we have underlined the effect of the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ [2].

## 3 Formulation of the inverse problem

The inverse problem of identification of parameters is written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\min _{\alpha, \beta} S(\alpha, \beta)=\min _{\alpha, \beta} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|h(x, t, \alpha, \beta)-h_{m e s}(x, t)\right|^{2} d \mathrm{x} d t & \\
\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{\partial \mathrm{h}(x, t)}{\partial \mathrm{t}}-\alpha \mathrm{D} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{~h}(x, t)}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}+\beta \frac{\partial \mathrm{h}(x, t)}{\partial x}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t}), & (x, t) \in \Omega \times[0, T] \\
\mathrm{h}(x, t)=0 & \forall(x, t) \in \Gamma \times[0, T] \\
\mathrm{h}(x, 0)=h_{0}(x) & \forall x \in \Omega
\end{array}\right. \\
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { With } \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t})=q(x, t)-1
\end{array}
\end{array} .\right. \tag{3-1}
\end{align*}
$$

We can translate this problem of minimization in the following way: the couple of parameters $(\alpha, \beta)$ is sought so that the height $h$ resulting from the simulations approaches as much as possible measured height $h_{\text {mes }}$.

### 3.1 Discretization

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ be a non-zero natural integer and $\Omega=[a, b]$ the special domain. We define the discretization step of the domain $\Omega$ by $\Delta x=\frac{b-a}{n}$ and we subdivide the domain into subintervals $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]$ such that $x_{i}=$ $a+i \times \Delta x$ for $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$.

We also subdivide the time domain $T=\left[0, t_{f}\right]$ into k subintervals $\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right]$ with k a non-zero integer and $t_{j}=j \times \Delta t$ for $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, k\}$

Thus, the functional of minimization can be written as follows:
$S_{n, k}\left(\alpha, \beta, x_{i}, t_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left[h\left(x_{i}, t_{j}, \alpha, \beta\right)-h_{m e s}\left(x_{i}, t_{j}\right)\right]^{2}$
We can rewrite $S_{n, k}$ in the following matrix form:
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{P}, x_{i}, t_{j}\right)=\left[\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{P})-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{mes}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t})\right]^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{P})-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{mes}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t})\right]$
With:
$\mathbf{P}=(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}):$ The Parameter vector
$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{P})=\left(\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{j}}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)\right)_{\substack{\mathbf{1} \leq i \leq \mathbf{n} \\ \mathbf{1} \leq j \leq k}}$ : Matrix whose components are $\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{j}}, \alpha, \beta\right)$ with $\mathrm{i} \in$ $\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ and $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, k\}$
$\mathbf{H}_{\text {mes }}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}):\left(\mathbf{h}_{\text {mes }}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)\right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \mathrm{n} \\ 1 \leq j \leq k}}$ : Matrix whose components are $\mathrm{h}_{\text {mes }}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ with $\mathrm{i} \in$ $\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ and $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, k\}$

The minimum of $S_{n, k}$ is reached when its first derivate with respect to P is zero.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla S_{n, k}(P)=0 \Leftrightarrow 2[\mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{P})] \cdot\left[H\left(x_{i}, t_{j}, P\right)-H_{m e s}\left(x_{i}, t_{j}, t\right)\right]=0 \tag{3-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

With:
$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{P})$ is the first derivate of $h(x, t, P)$ with respect to parameter P , called also sensitive matrix or jacobian matrix.

$$
J(t, P)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial P_{1}} & \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial P_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial P_{m}}  \tag{3-6}\\
\frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial P_{1}} & \frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial P_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial P_{m}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \\
\frac{\partial h_{K}}{\partial P_{1}} & \frac{\partial h_{K}}{\partial P_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_{K}}{\partial P_{m}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## 4 Resolution Algorithms

### 4.1 Algorithm 1: Gauss-Newton

Let us suppose that the functional of minimization $S \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ the set of the functions of class twice continuously derivable.
We approach the Hessien of the functional of minimization by the formula: $H(S(P)) \approx 2[J(t, P)]^{T}[J(t, P)]$ [5] [6]. J (T, P) is the gradient of the functional of minimization.

## Algorithm 1

Parameter Initialization $P^{0}=\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\text {initial }}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\text {initial }}\right)$
from $\mathrm{r}=1$ until the stopping criterion is not validated do:
Step 1: Resolution of the model with the values of $P^{r}$ in order to obtain the theoretical answer of the system $h\left(x_{i}, t_{j}, P^{r}\right)$;

Step 2: Determination of the matrix of sensitivity J (T, $P^{r}$ );
Step 3: calculate the new value of the parameter $P^{r+1}$ :

$$
P^{r+1}=P^{r}+\left[J\left(t, P^{r}\right)^{T} J\left(t, P^{r}\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot J\left(t, P^{r}\right)^{T} \cdot\left(H\left(x, t, P^{r}\right)-H_{m e s}(x, t)\right)
$$

Step 4: Checking of the stopping criterion
$\mathrm{r} \leftarrow \mathrm{r}+1$

### 4.2 Algorithm 2: Levenberg-Marquardt

The Levenberg-Marquardt method consists of a regularization of the matrix of the sensitivities [7-10]

## Algorithm 2:

Initialization of the vector parameter starting $P^{0}=\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\text {initial }}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\text {initial }}\right)$
from $\mathrm{r}=1$ until the stopping test is not validated do:
Step 1: Resolution of the model with the values of $P^{r}$ in order to obtain the theoretical answer of the system $h\left(x_{i}, t_{j}, P^{r}\right)$;

Step 2: Calculation of $\mathrm{S}\left(P^{r}\right)$ and determination of the matrix of sensitivity $\mathrm{J}\left(\mathrm{T}, P^{r}\right)$;
Step 3: Calculation the new value of the parameter $P^{r+1}$

$$
P^{r+1}=P^{r}+\left[J\left(t, P^{r}\right)^{T} J\left(t, P^{r}\right)+\mu^{r} \cdot \Lambda^{r}\right]^{-1} \cdot J\left(t, P^{r}\right)^{T} \cdot\left(H\left(x, t, P^{r}\right)-H_{m}(x, t)\right)
$$

$\Lambda$ : Positive definite matrix.
$\mu$ : Positive real.
Step 4: Resolution of the model with the new values of the parameters $P^{r+1}$ in order to obtain the new theoretical answer of the system $h\left(x_{i}, t_{j}, P^{r+1}\right)$ and calculation of $\mathrm{S}\left(P^{r+1}\right)$;

Step 5: If $\mathrm{S}\left(P^{r}\right)>\mathrm{S}\left(P^{r+1}\right), \mu^{r} \leftarrow 10 \times \mu^{r}$ and return at Step 3;
If not $\mu^{r} \leftarrow 0.1 \times \mu^{r}$;
Step 6: Checking of the stopping criterion
$\mathrm{r} \leftarrow \mathrm{r}+1$

## 5 Algorithm 3: The conjugate gradient

The fundamental idea of this method consists in determining the news reiterated $P^{k+1}$ starting from the last $P^{k}$ iteration by [11]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{k+1}=P^{k}-\gamma^{k} d^{k} \tag{4-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With:
$P^{k}$ : The parameter vector estimated at the iteration k .
$\gamma^{k}$ : The descent depth at the iteration k .
$d^{k}$ : The descent direction at the iteration k .

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{k}=\nabla S\left(P^{k}\right)+\beta^{k} d^{k-1} \tag{4-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

With:

$$
\beta^{k}=\frac{\left\|\nabla S\left(P^{k}\right)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|\nabla S\left(P^{k-1}\right)\right\|^{2}} \quad \text { and } \beta^{0}=0
$$

### 5.1 Problem of sensitivity

This problem consists in determining the variation $\delta h(x, t)$ of the height induced by a variation of the parameter $\delta P$. Considering the system of partial derivative equation satisfied by $h(x, t)+\eta \delta h(x, t)$ then when $\eta \rightarrow 0$, the problem of sensitivity is given by:

- For a variation of the parameter $P_{1}=\alpha$
$\left\{\begin{array}{lr}\frac{\partial \delta h(x, t)}{d t}-P_{1} D \frac{\partial^{2} \delta h(x, t)}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}-\delta P_{1} D \frac{\partial^{2} \delta h(x, t)}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}+\beta \frac{\partial \delta h(x, t)}{\partial x}=0 & (x, t) \in \Omega \times[0, T] \\ \delta h(x, t)=0 & \forall(x, t) \in \Gamma \times[0, T] \\ \delta h(x, 0)=0 & \forall x \in \Omega\end{array}\right.$
- For a variation of the parameter $P_{2}=\beta$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{\partial \delta h(x, t)}{\partial t}-\alpha D \frac{\partial^{2} \delta h(x, t)}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}+P_{2} \eta \frac{\partial \delta h(x, t)}{\partial x}+\eta \delta P_{2} \frac{\partial h(x, t)}{\partial x}=0 & (x, t) \in \Omega \times[0, T]  \tag{4-4}\\
\delta h(x, t)=0 & \forall(x, t) \in \Gamma \times[0, T] \\
\delta h(x, 0)=0 & \forall x \in \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

The descent depth $\gamma^{k}$ is the value corresponding to the optimal pitch in the direction of descent of the new value of unknown parameters. This magnitude $\gamma^{k}$ minimize the following criterion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{k}=\arg \left[\min \left(S\left(P^{k}-\gamma^{k} d^{k}\right)\right)\right] \tag{4-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

After developments and calculations, the depth of descent $\gamma^{k}$ calculated at each iteration k is obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{k}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left[h\left(x, t, P^{k}\right)-h_{\text {mes }}\left(x_{i}, t_{j}\right)\right] \delta h\left(x, t, P^{k}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left[\delta h\left(x, t, P^{k}\right)\right]^{2}} \tag{4-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Depth of descent $\gamma^{k}$ is calculated at each iteration according to the solution of the problem of sensitivity $\delta h\left(x, t, P^{k}\right)$.

## 6 Adjoint problem

### 6.1 Formulation

The goal of the adjoint problem is to obtain the expression of the gradient in order to be able to determine the direction of descent. This problem consists in building a function $\Psi(x, t)$ called "multiplier of Lagrange" which allow to determine the expression of the gradient $\nabla \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{P})$ of the functional of minimization $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{P})$. The Lagrange formula is defined by [12-14]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(P, h, \Psi)=S(P, h)+R(P, h, \Psi) \tag{5-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With
$R(P, h, \Psi)$ : Corresponds to the system of equation of the model multiplied by the Lagrange multiplier.
The expression of the variation of Lagrange $\delta L(P, h, \Psi)$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta L(P, h, \Psi)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial P} \delta P+\frac{\partial L}{\partial h} \delta h+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Psi} \delta \Psi \tag{5-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we fix $\Psi(x, t)$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Psi} \delta \Psi=0 \Rightarrow \delta L(P, h, \Psi)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial P} \delta P+\frac{\partial L}{\partial h} \delta h \tag{5-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to obtain the gradient of the criterion it is necessary to choose suitably $\Psi(x, t)$. The multiplying choice of the function of Lagrange $\Psi(x, t)$ is chosen so that the following equation is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial h} \delta h=0 \Rightarrow \delta L(P, h, \Psi)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial P} \delta P \tag{5-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $h$ is solution of the equations defining the mathematical model, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(P, h, \Psi)=S(P) \Longrightarrow \delta L(P, h, \Psi)=\delta S(P, h) \tag{5-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.2 Determination of the adjoint problem equations

In this part we will determine the equations of the adjoint problem for each unknown parameter.

- For the parameter of diffusion $P_{1}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}$

The Lagrange formula is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(P_{1}, h, \Psi\right)=S\left(P_{1}, h\right)+R\left(P_{1}, h, \Psi\right) \tag{5-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression of the variation of Lagrange is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta L\left(P_{1}, h, \Psi\right)=\delta S\left(P_{1}, h\right)+\delta R\left(P_{1}, h, \Psi\right) \tag{5-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

With:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta S\left(P_{1}, h\right)=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[h\left(x_{i}, t, P_{1}\right)-h_{m e s}\left(x_{i}, t\right)\right] \delta h(x, t) \delta_{D}\left(x-x_{i}\right) \Psi d \mathrm{x} d t \\
& \delta R\left(P_{1}, h, \Psi\right)=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{\partial \delta h}{d t}-P_{1} D \frac{\partial^{2} \delta h}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}-D \delta P_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}+\beta \frac{\partial \delta h}{\partial x}\right] \Psi d \mathrm{x} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have :

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta L\left(P_{1}, h, \Psi\right)= & \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[h\left(x, t, P_{1}\right)-h_{m e s}(x, t)\right] \delta h(x, t) d \mathrm{x} d t  \tag{5-8}\\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{\partial \delta h}{d t}-P_{1} D \frac{\partial^{2} \delta h}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}-D \delta P_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}+\beta \frac{\partial \delta h}{\partial x}\right] \Psi d \mathrm{x} d t
\end{align*}
$$

We can rewrite the variation of Lagrange formula in this form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta L\left(P_{1}, h, \Psi\right)= \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[h\left(x_{i}, t, P_{1}\right)-h_{m e s}\left(x_{i}, t\right)\right] \delta h(x, t) \delta_{D}\left(x-x_{i}\right) d \mathrm{x} d t  \tag{5-9}\\
&+\int_{\Omega} \delta h\left(x, t_{f}\right) \Psi\left(x, t_{f}\right) d \mathrm{x}-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[\delta h \frac{\partial \Psi}{d t}\right] d \mathrm{x} d t-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[P_{1} D \delta h \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}\right] d \mathrm{x} d t \\
&-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} D \delta P_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}} \Psi d \mathrm{x} d t+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[\beta \delta h \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right] d \mathrm{x} d t
\end{align*}
$$

The multiplier of Lagrange is chosen so that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial h} \delta h=0 \tag{5-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which leads to the adjoint problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \Psi(x, t)}{d t}+P_{1} D \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi(x, t)}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}-\beta \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathrm{x}}=E(x, t)  \tag{5-11}\\
\Psi\left(x, t_{f}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

When h is solution of the mathematical model and $\Psi$ is solution of the associated problem, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta L=\delta S=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \delta P_{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \Psi d \mathrm{x} d t \tag{5-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the gradient of the criterion in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla S=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \Psi d \mathrm{x} d t \tag{5-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## - For the parameter of $\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{2}}=\boldsymbol{\beta}$ diffusion

The Lagrangian is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(P_{2}, h, \Psi\right)=S\left(P_{2}, h\right)+R\left(P_{2}, h, \Psi\right) \tag{5-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression of the variation of the Lagrangian is written:
$L\left(P_{2}, h, \Psi\right)=\delta S\left(P_{2}, h\right)+\delta R\left(P_{2}, h, \Psi\right)$
With:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta S\left(P_{2}, h\right)=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[h\left(x_{i}, t, P_{2}\right)-h_{m e s}\left(x_{i}, t\right)\right] \delta h(x, t) \delta_{D}\left(x-x_{i}\right) d \mathrm{x} d t \\
& \delta R\left(P_{2}, h, \Psi\right)=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{\partial \delta h}{d t}-\alpha D \frac{\partial^{2} \delta h}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}+P_{2} \frac{\partial \delta h}{\partial x}+\delta P_{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\right] \Psi d \mathrm{x} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta L\left(P_{2}, h, \Psi\right)= & \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[h\left(x, t, P_{2}\right)-h_{m e s}(x, t)\right] \delta h(x, t) d \mathrm{x} d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{\partial \delta h}{d t}-\alpha D \frac{\partial^{2} \delta h}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}+P_{2} \frac{\partial \delta h}{\partial x}+\delta P_{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\right] \Psi d \mathrm{x} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

We can rewrite the variation of the Lagrangian in this form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta L\left(P_{2}, h, \Psi\right)=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[h\left(x_{i}, t, P_{1}\right)-h_{m e s}\left(x_{i}, t\right)\right] \delta h(x, t) \delta_{D}\left(x-x_{i}\right) d \mathrm{x} d t  \tag{5-15}\\
& +\int_{\Omega} \delta h\left(x, t_{f}\right) \Psi\left(x, t_{f}\right) d \mathrm{x}-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}^{t}\left[\delta h \frac{\partial \Psi}{d t}\right] d \mathrm{x} d t+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[P_{2} \delta h \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right] d \mathrm{x} d t \\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}\left[\alpha D \delta h \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}\right] d \mathrm{x} d t+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \delta P_{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \Psi d \mathrm{x} d t
\end{align*}
$$

The multiplier of Lagrange is chosen so that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial h} \delta h=0 \tag{5-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

That implies the multiplier of Lagrange is solution of the following associated problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \Psi(x, t)}{d t}+\alpha D \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi(x, t)}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}-P_{2} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathrm{x}}=E(x, t)  \tag{5-17}\\
\Psi\left(x, t_{f}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

When h is solution of the mathematical model and $\Psi$ is solution of the adjoint problem, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta L=\delta S=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \delta P_{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \Psi d \mathrm{x} d t \tag{5-18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the gradient of the criterion in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla S=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \Psi d \mathrm{x} d t \tag{5-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 7 Numerical Simulations



Figure 1-c: Evolution of the estimate parameter $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ by conjugate gradient


Figures 1 and 2 describe the evolution of the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$. In this first simulation the values of initialization are taken close to the target values. The target values of the required parameters are $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=4$ [3] and the values of initialization of the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ fixed respectively at 0.25 and 2.75 . One notes that the various algorithms converge towards the target solutions, however this convergence does not unroll same manner. The algorithm of Gauss-Newton and the algorithm of the conjugate gradient converge after only 5 iterations while for the algorithm of Levenberg-Marquardt, one needs at least 13 iterations. Indeed, the algorithm of Levenberg-Marquardt requires a considerable computing time mainly due to the calculation of the matrices of sensitivity to each stage of the algorithm which can become petitioning important calculations.

Tables 1-3 illustrate the errors of approximation obtained by the different methods of resolution of the inverse problem.

Table 1. Error by Newton-Gauss method

| Itération | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Error between <br> calculated height and <br> measured height | 36.0504 | 11.1731 | 2.1067 | 0.1415 | $2.8359 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.6168 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.310 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| Approximation error <br> of parameter $\alpha$ | 0.75 | 0.4268 | 0.1022 | $1.0528 \times$ <br> $10^{-3}$ | $1.3217 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.6489 \times$ | $1.07 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| Approximation error <br> of parameter $\beta$ | 1.25 | 0.1191 | 0.1627 | 0.0173 | $2.7419 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.1197 \times 10^{-9}$ | $2.22 \times 10^{-13}$ |

Table 2. Error by Levenberg-Marquardt method

| Itération | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Error between <br> calculated height and <br> measured height | 36.0504 | 36.0504 | $\ldots$ | 0.0133 | $1.8999 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.6208 \times 10^{-9}$ | $1.6101 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| Approximation error | 0.75 | 0.7499 | $\ldots$ | $4.4860 \times$ | $6.5030 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.8868 \times$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| of parameter $\alpha$ |  |  |  |  | $10^{-4}$ |  | $10^{-11}$ |

Table 3. Error by conjugate gradient

| Itération | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Error between <br> calculated height and <br> measured height | 36.0504 | 4.5782 | 0.5833 | $1.2107 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.3705 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.3971 \times 10^{-9}$ | $1.5105 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| Approximation error <br> of parameter $\alpha$ | 0.75 | 0.1037 | 0.0296 | $1.4759 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.5104 \times$ | $5.0726 \times$ | $2.7936 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| Approximation error |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of parameter $\beta$ | 1.25 | 0.0912 | 0.1162 | $4.9635 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.1257 \times 10^{-8}$ | $8.7831 \times$ | $2.22 \times 10^{-14}$ |

The results obtained, show that as the iteration increases the error between the calculated height and the measured height decreases. Indeed, the procedure of estimate of the parameters allows as well as possible to approach the required parameters which are determining in the rebuilding of the phenomenon of formation of the sand dunes.


In this second simulation, the values of initialization are taken far away from the target values. Values of initialization of the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ fixed respectively at 3 and 6 . It is noted that the algorithm of NewtonGauss does not convergence towards the target solutions. Indeed, the disadvantage of this method resides on the approximation of Hessien according to the gradient of the functional. While the algorithm of Levenberg-Marquardt and the conjugate gradient ensure a convergence towards the target solutions. In spite
of results of convergence, the method of Levenberg-Marquardt is characterized by its slow behavior when the value of initialization is far from the required solution. The major advantage of the algorithm of conjugate gradient is that it is fast and converges independently of the initialization values.

## Conclusion

The objective of this work is the identification of the parameters involved the model describing the formation of the sand dunes. It is an inverse problem solved by the methods of Newton-Gauss, LevenbergMarquardt and the conjugate gradient. At first the various methods to solve the problem are presented.

Simulations showed that one of the advantages of Gauss-Newton's method is that it does not require the calculation of Hessien, which makes calculation faster. Nevertheless, this method is limited because it does not ensure convergence when the initialization values are chosen far from the target solutions. The algorithm of Levenberg-Marquardt converges whatever the initialization values; but this convergence proves to be slow because of the matrices to reverse. As for the algorithm of the conjugate gradient, fast convergence makes its interesting. One of the advantages of this method is that combined with the method of the adjoint problem, it allows the exact calculation of the gradient.
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