SOME LIMINF RESULTS FOR INCREMENTS OF STABLE SUBORDINATORS

¹ Abdelkader Bahram ,² Bader Almohaimeed

¹ College of Science, Department of Mathematics, Djillali Liabes University, SBA, Algeria ^{1,2}College of Science, Department of Mathematics, Qassim University, Saudi Arabia ¹Email:menaouar_1926@yahoo.fr ²Email:bsmhiemied@qu.edu.sa

Abstract. Let $\{X(t), 0 \le t < \infty\}$ be a stable subordinator defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A})$. In this paper, we study almost sure limit inferior for increments of stable subordinators and we obtain similar results for delayed sums.

Key words and phrases: Gaussian Process, Stable Subordinators, delayed sums.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60F15, 60G17

1 INTRODUCTION

Let $\{X(t), 0 \le t < \infty\}$ be a stable subordinator with exponent α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A})$. Let $a_t, t > 0$, be a non-negative valued function of t such that (i) $0 < a_t \le 1$, (ii) $a_t \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$ (iii) $a_t/t \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. let Y(t) = $X(t + a_t) - X(t), t > 0$ and Y(0) = 0. Define $\lambda_{\beta}(t) = \theta_{\alpha} a_t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (\log t)^{\beta} (\log a_t)^{1-\beta})^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}$, where $\theta_{\alpha} = (B(\alpha))^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}, B(\alpha) = (1-\alpha)\alpha^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} (\cos(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}))^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}, 0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 \le \beta \le 1$. Observe that the process has the property that $t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}X(t)$ and X(1) are identically distributed. A real valued increasing process $\{X(t), t > 0\}$ with stationary independent increments is

A real valued increasing process $\{X(t), t > 0\}$ with stationary independent increments is called a subordinator. For any given t, the characteristic function of X(t) is the form

$$E(e^{\{iuX(t)\}}) = exp\left\{-t|u|^{\alpha}\left(1 - \frac{ui}{|u|}tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\right)\right\}, \quad 0 < \beta < 1.$$

Throughout the paper ε , c, δ and K (integer), with or without suffix, stand for positive constants; i.o. means infinitely often; we shall define for each $u \ge 0$ the functions $\log u = \log(\max(u, 1))$, $\log \log u = \log \log(\max(u, 3))$, $g(t) = (t \log t)/a_t$ and $g_{\beta}(t) = \frac{t}{a_t}(\log t)^{\beta}(\log a_t)^{1-\beta}$ with $0 \le \beta \le 1$, so that $\lambda_{(t,\beta)} = (2a_t \log g_{\beta}(t))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Vasudeva and Divanji [6] have obtained the following limit inferior for the increments of stable subordinators. Under certain condition on a_t , it was shown that $\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{Y(t)}{\lambda_1(t)} = 1a.s.$

Hwang et al.[2] and Bahram and Shehawy [1] studied this subsequence principle for increments of Gaussian processes in obtaining limsup. In this paper we study an almost sure limit inferior behaviour for increments of stable subordinators for proper selection of subsequences and extended to delayed sums.

2 Main results

Theorem 2.1 Let a_t , t > 0 be a non-decreasing function of t such i) $0 < a_t \leq t$, ii) $a_t \to \infty$, as $t \to \infty$ and iii) $a_t/t \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Let (t_k) be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that

(1)
$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{t_{k+1} - t_k}{a_{t_k}} < 1$$

Then

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{Y(t_k)}{\lambda_{\beta}(t_k)} = \varepsilon^* \quad a.s.$$

where

$$\varepsilon^* = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : \sum_k (g_\beta(t_k))^{-\varepsilon^{-\gamma}} < \infty, \quad 0 \le \beta \le 1\} \quad and \quad \gamma = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} < 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Theorem 2.2 Let a_t , t > 0 be a nondecreasing function of t such i) $0 < a_t < t$, ii) $a_t \rightarrow \infty$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and iii) $a_t/t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let (t_k) be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that

(2)
$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{t_{k+1} - t_k}{a_{t_k}} > 1$$

Then

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{Y(t_k)}{\lambda_{\beta}(t_k)} = 1 \quad a.s.,$$

where $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$.

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we need to give the following Lemma

Lemma 2.1 (see [4] or [6]) Let X_1 be a positive stable random variable with characteristic function

$$E(exp\{iuX_1\}) = exp\left\{-|u|^{\alpha}\left(1 - \frac{iu}{|u|}tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\right)\right\}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad Then, \ as \quad x \longrightarrow 0,$$
$$P(X_1 \le x) \simeq \frac{x^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}B(\alpha)}exp\left\{-B(\alpha)x^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\right\}$$

where

$$B(\alpha) = (1 - \alpha)\alpha^{\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}} (\cos(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}))^{\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}}.$$

Proof of theorem 2.1. Equivalently, we show that for any given $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, as $k \longrightarrow \infty$,

(3)
$$P(Y(t_k) \le (\varepsilon^* + \varepsilon_1)\lambda_\beta(t_k) \quad i.o.) = 1$$

and (4)

$$P(Y(t_k) \le (\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)\lambda_\beta(t_k) \quad i.o.) = 0.$$

The condition (1) implies that $t_{k+1} < t_k + a_{t_k}$, for large k and by Mijnheer [5], we have

(5)
$$P(Y(t_k) \le (\varepsilon^* + \varepsilon_1)\lambda_\beta(t_k)) = P\left(X_1 \le \frac{(\varepsilon^* + \varepsilon_1)\lambda_\beta(t_k)}{a_{t_k}^{1/\alpha}}\right).$$

Observe that $\frac{(\varepsilon^* + \varepsilon_1)\lambda_{\beta}(t_k)}{a_{t_k}^{1/\alpha}} = (\varepsilon^* + \varepsilon_1)\theta_{\alpha} (\log g_{\beta}(t_k))^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}$ taken as x, in the above lemma, one can find a k_1 and some conctant C_1 , such that for all $k \ge k_1$,

$$P\left(X_1 \le \frac{(\varepsilon^* + \varepsilon_1)\lambda_\beta(t_k)}{a_{tk}^{1/\alpha}}\right) \ge C_1(\log g_\beta(t_k))^{\frac{-1}{2}} exp\left\{-(\varepsilon^* + \varepsilon_1)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\log g_\beta(t_k)\right\},$$

where $g_{\beta}(t) = \frac{t}{a_t} (\log t)^{\beta} (\log a_t)^{1-\beta}$ and $0 \le \beta \le 1$. Notice that from the definition of ε_* , we have $\varepsilon_* \ge 1$ implies that there exists $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that $(\varepsilon^* + \varepsilon_1)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} < (1 - \varepsilon_2) < 1$. Hence $P\left(X_1 \le \frac{(\varepsilon^* + \varepsilon_1)\lambda_{\beta}(t_k)}{a_{t_k}^{1/\alpha}}\right) \ge \frac{C_1}{(\log g_{\beta}(t_k))^{\frac{1}{2}}(g_{\beta}(t_k))^{1-\varepsilon_2}}$. Let $l_k = \frac{t_k}{a_{t_k}}$ and $m_k = (\log t_k)^{\beta} (\log a_{t_k})^{1-\beta}$. Since $\frac{a_{t_k}}{t_k} \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$, l_k is non-decreasing and $m_k \to \infty$, as $k \to \infty$, one can find a constant $k_2 \ge k_1$ such that $\frac{l_k^{\varepsilon_2} m_k^{\varepsilon_2}}{(\log l_k m_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \ge 1$, whenever $k \ge k_2$. By condition (1), for all $k \ge k_2$, we therefore have,

(6)

$$P\left(X_{1} \leq \frac{(\varepsilon^{*} + \varepsilon_{1})\lambda_{\beta}(t_{k})}{a_{t_{k}}^{1/\alpha}}\right) \geq C_{1}(g_{\beta}(t_{k}))^{-1}$$

$$= C_{1}\left(\frac{t_{k}(\log t_{k})^{\beta}(\log a_{t_{k}})^{1-\beta}}{a_{t_{k}}}\right)^{-1}$$

$$= C_{1}\left(\frac{a_{t_{k}}}{t_{k}}\left(\frac{\log a_{t_{k}}}{\log t_{k}}\right)^{\beta}\frac{1}{\log a_{t_{k}}}\right)$$

$$\geq C_{1}\left(\frac{a_{t_{k}}}{t_{k}}\left(\frac{\log a_{t_{k}}}{\log t_{k}}\right)\frac{1}{\log a_{t_{k}}}\right)$$

$$= C_{1}(g(t_{k}))^{-1}$$

$$= C_{1}\frac{t_{k+1}-t_{k}}{t_{k}\log t_{k}}.$$

Observing that $\sum_{k=k_2}^{\infty} \frac{t_{k+1}-t_k}{t_k \log t_k} \ge \int_c^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t \log t}$ for some c > 0 and that $\int_c^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t \log t} = \infty$. Hence from (5) and (6), we get,

$$\sum_{k=k_2}^{\infty} P(Y(t_k) \le (\varepsilon^* + \varepsilon_1)\lambda_{\beta}(t_k) = \infty$$

The Condition (1) implies that $t_{k+1} \leq t_k + a_{t_k}$, for large k one can observe that $Y(t_k)'^s$ are mutually independent and hence by Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we have,

$$P(Y(t_k) \le (\varepsilon^* + \varepsilon_1)\lambda_\beta(t_k) \quad i.o) = 1,$$

which establishes (3).

Now we complete the proof by showing that, for any $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, 1)$,

$$P(Y(t_k) \le (\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)\lambda_\beta(t_k) \quad i.o) = 1.$$

From condition (1), we have $t_{k+1} \leq t_k + a_{t_k}$, for large k and from Mijnheer [5], one can find a k_3 such that for all $k \geq k_3$,

$$P(Y(t_k) \le (\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)\lambda_\beta(t_k) \quad i.o) = P(X(t_k + a_{t_k}) - X(t_k) \le (\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)\lambda_\beta(t_k) \quad i.o).$$

Hence in order to prove (4), it is enough to show that

(7)
$$P(X(t_k + a_{t_k}) - X(t_k) \le (\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)\lambda_\beta(t_k) \quad i.o) = 0.$$

We know that $t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}X(t) \stackrel{d}{=} X(1)$ which implies

$$P(X(t_k + a_{t_k}) - X(t_k) \le (\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)\lambda_\beta(t_k)) = P\left(X(1) \le \frac{(\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)\lambda_\beta(t_k)}{a_{t_k}^{1/\alpha}}\right)$$

and

$$\frac{(\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)\lambda_{\beta}(t_k))}{a_{t_k}^{1/\alpha}} = (\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)\theta_{\alpha} \left(\log(g_{\beta}(t_k))^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}\right).$$

By taking $x = (\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)\theta_{\alpha} (\log(g_{\beta}(t_k))^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha})$, where $g_{\beta}(t) = \frac{t}{a_t} (\log t)^{\beta} (\log a_t)^{1-\beta}$, in the above lemma, one can find a k_4 and C_2 such that for all $k \ge k_4$,

$$P\left(X(1) \le \frac{(\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)\lambda_\beta(t_k)}{a_{t_k}^{1/\alpha}}\right) \le \frac{C_2}{(\log(g_\beta(t_k)))^{1/2}} exp\left\{-(\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)^{\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-1)}}\log g_\beta(t_k)\right\}.$$

Observe that using properties of $\{a_t\}$, one can find some constant C_3 and k_4 such that for all $k \ge k_4$,

$$P\left(X(1) \le (\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)\theta_\alpha \left(\log(g_\beta(t_k))^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}\right)\right)$$
$$\le \frac{C_3}{(g_\beta(t_k))^{(\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)^{\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-1)}}}}.$$

Notice that $\varepsilon^* = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : \sum_k (g_\beta(t_k))^{-\varepsilon^{-\gamma}} < \infty, \quad 0 \le \beta \le 1\}$ and $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} < 0, 0 < \alpha < 1$ which yields $\varepsilon^* \ge 1$.

Since $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, 1)$, choose ε_1 sufficiently small one can find k_5 such that for all $k \ge k_5$,

$$\sum_{k=k_5}^{\infty} P\left(X(1) \le \frac{(\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)\lambda_{\beta}(t_k)}{a_{t_k}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\right) \le \sum_{k=k_5}^{\infty} \frac{C_3}{(g_{\beta}(t_k))^{(\varepsilon^* - \varepsilon_1)^{-\gamma}}} < \infty,$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$, $0 < \alpha < 1.$

By Borel-Cantelli Lemma, (7) holds which implies (4) holds and proof of the theorem is completed.

Proof of Theorem 2.2

To prove the Theorem, it is enough to show that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

(8)
$$P(Y(t_k) \le (1+\varepsilon)\lambda_\beta(t_k) \quad i.o.) = 1$$

and

(9) $P(Y(t_k) \le (1 - \varepsilon)\lambda_\beta(t_k) \quad i.o.) = 0$

By the Theorem of Vasudeva and Divanji [6], we claim that

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{Y(t_k)}{\lambda_{\beta}(t_k)} \ge \liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{Y(t_k)}{\lambda_1(t_k)} \ge \liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{Y(t)}{\lambda_1(t)} = 1 \quad a.s.,$$

which establishes (9).

The condition (2) implies that there exists a k_1 such that $t_{k+1} > t_k + a_{t_k}$, for all $k \ge k_1$. This in turn implies that $\{Y(t_k), k \ge 1\}$ is a sequence of mutually independent r.v.s. We can observe that with a minor modification, the proof of (8) follows on similar lines of (3). That is using Lemma 2.1, one can find C_1 and k_2 such that for all $k \ge k_2$.

$$P\left(X_1 \le \frac{(1+\varepsilon_1)\lambda_\beta(t_k)}{a_{tk}^{1/\alpha}}\right) \ge C_1(g(t_k))^{-(1+\varepsilon_1)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}.$$

Choose $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that $(1 + \varepsilon_1)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} < (1 - \varepsilon') < 1$ and hence we have,

$$P\left(X_1 \le \frac{(1+\varepsilon_1)\lambda_\beta(t_k)}{a_{tk}^{1/\alpha}}\right) \ge C_1(g(t_k))^{-(1-\varepsilon')}.$$

Following similar arguments of proof of (5) and (6), we get $\sum_{k=k_2}^{\infty} P(Y(t_k) \leq (1+\varepsilon_1)\lambda_{\beta}(t_k)) = \infty$, which in turn implies the proof of (8). Hence the proof of the Theorem is completed.

3 Similar result for delayed sums

Let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d strictly positive stable r.v.s with index α , $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $\{a_n, n \ge 0\}$ be a sequence of non-decreasing functions of positive integers of n such that $0 < a_n < n$, for all n and we assume that $a_n/n \downarrow 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Define $\lambda_\beta(n) = \theta_\alpha a_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (\log \frac{n}{a_n} + \beta \log \log n + (1-\beta) \log \log a_n)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}$, where $\theta_\alpha = (B(\alpha))^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}$, $B(\alpha) = (1-\alpha)\alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} (\cos(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}))^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}$, $0 \le \beta \le 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Observe that the process has the property that $n^{-1/\alpha}X(n)$ and X(1) are identically distributed. Let $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ and set $M_n = S_{n+a_n} - S_n$, where $\{M_n, n \ge 1\}$ is called a (forward) delayed sum (See Lai [3]). Define the the r.v.s, $X_n = X(n) - X(n-1)$, n = 1, 2, ...; X(0) = 0, then $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ with $S_0 = 0$, which yields $M_n = S_{n+a_n} - S_n = X(n+a_n) + X(n) = Y(n)$. Now we extend the Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 to $\{M_n, n \ge 1\}$ under the subsequence principle.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\{a_n, n > 0\}$ be a sequence of non-decreasing functions of positive integers of n such that i) $0 < a_n \le n$, n > 0, ii) $a_n \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$, and iii) $a_n/n \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. Let $(n_k, k \ge 1)$ be any increasing sequence of positive integers such that

(10)
$$\limsup \frac{n_{k+1} - n_k}{a_{n_k}} < 1.$$

Then

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{M_{n_k}}{\lambda_\beta(n_k)} = \varepsilon^* \quad a.s.,$$

where

$$\varepsilon^* = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : \sum_k (g_\beta(n_k))^{-\varepsilon^\gamma} < \infty, \quad 0 \le \alpha \le 1\} \quad and \quad \gamma = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Proof

To prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that for any given $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, 1)$

(11)
$$P(M_{n_k} \le (\varepsilon_* + \varepsilon)\lambda_\beta(n_k) \quad i.o.) = 1$$

and

(12)
$$P(M_{n_k} \le (\varepsilon_* - \varepsilon_2)\lambda_\beta(n_k) \quad i.o.) = 0.$$

The proof of (11) is an immediate consequence of (3) and the proof of (12) follows on the similar lines of Vasudeva and Divanji [6]. Hence the details are omitted.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\{a_n, n > 0\}$ be a sequence of non-decreasing functions of positive integers of n such that i) $0 < a_n \le n$, n > 0, ii) $a_n \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$, and iii) $a_n/n \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. Let $(n_k, k \ge 1)$ be any increasing sequence of positive integers such that $\liminf_{k\to\infty} \frac{n_{k+1} - n_k}{a_{n_k}} > 1$.

Then
$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{M_{n_k}}{\lambda_{\beta}(n_k)} = 1$$
 a.s.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is a direct consequence of above Theorem 2.2 and hence the details are omitted.

References

- Bahram A and Shehawy S., Study of the Convergence of the Increments of Gaussian Process. Applied Mathematics, 6 (2015), 933-939.
- [2] Hwang K.S., Choi Y.K. and Jung J.S., On superior limits for the increments of Gaussian Processes. Statistics and Probability Letter, 35 (1997) 289-296.
- [3] LaiT L., Limit Theorems for delayed Sums. Annals of Probability, 2 (1973) 432-440.

- [4] Mijhneer J.L., Sample Path Properties of Stable Process. Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam (1975).
- [5] Mijhneer J.L., On the law of iterated logarithm for subsequences for a stable subordinator. Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 76 (1995), 2283-2286.
- [6] Vasudeva R.and Divanji G., Law of Iterated Logarithm for Increments of Stable Subordinators. Stochastic Processes and Their Applications. **28** (1988), 293-300.