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#### Abstract

This paper presents a new radial basis collocation method to obtain the approximate solution and approximate derivative of the solution for pantograph Volterra integro-differential equations. The method is based on an explicit interpolation formula of Gaussian radial basis functions. The proposed technique provides a simple, efficiant and stable algorithm which yields accurate resultls. Numerical experiments are included and the results are compared with analytical solution and with those of standard radial basis collocation method and spectral method to confirm the accuracy and efficiency of the new scheme.


## 1. Intorduction

Radial basis functions (RBFs) have been considered for solving partial differential equations in three decades ago [1, 2, 3]. They are appropriate choice to more traditional methods such as finite differences and finite elements methods. Part of their attractiveness returns to the fact that without orthogonality and complicated forms of other interpolants, it is able to approximate the functions with high(exponential) accuracy and fast rate of convergence [1]. Some other practical interests in RBFs methods are due to: (i) ability to handle data in multiple dimensions; (ii) flexibility in the node and centers locations; (iii)They are truly meshless methods; (iv) The RBFs collocation points can be defined with any interval because RBFs are defined in the whole real line [4]. However there have been some challenges with RBFs approaches. In their standard formulation, RBFs methods often involve the solution

[^0]of linear systems whose system matrices usually are full and severely ill-conditioned, specially, if certain popular RBFs such as Gaussians or inverse multiquadrics are used with small values of their associated shape parameter. To be more precise, the challenges as mentioned in [5] are: serious numerical ill-conditioning for a fixed $N$ (the number of collocation points or basis functions) and small shape parameter, similar ill-conditioning problems for a fixed shape parameter and large $N$. Also in [6] it has been proved that, if the shape parameter tends to zero then RBFs interpolation is equivalent to polynomial interpolation on the same nodes; hence in such a flat limit case the RBFs approximation suffers of Rung phenomenon. Some of various techniques which have been done to deal with the above difficulties include changing the basis of the approximation space, using techniques from complex analysis, applying contour-integral approach and using specialized preconditioners to the system matrix, see $[7,8]$ and references therein. In [9] the authors exploited a connection between Gaussian RBFs (GRBFs) and polynomials using standard tools of potential theory which provides a simple explicit interpolation formula through the use of some cardinal functions, so the difficulty of inverting the associated matrix can be avoided. Furthermore they obtained stable nodes that can prevent the Runge phenomenon and enable stable approximations.
It is only recently that the integral equations have been considered with the standard RBFs methods [10, 11, 12]. In contrast collocation schemes have been investigated for solving the delay integral and differential equations for a long time [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. To our best of knowledge nobody accomplished solving the delay Volterra integro-differential equations of pantograph type with a RBFs collocation method. This paper considers the following Pantograph Volterra Integro-Differential Equation (PVIDE) in bounded domain
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime}(t) & =g(t)+a(t) y(t)+b(t) y(q t)+\int_{0}^{t} K_{0}(t, s) y(s) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{q t} K_{1}(t, s) y(s) d s, \quad t \in[0, T], \quad 0<q<1,  \tag{1.1}\\
y(0) & =\bar{y}_{0}, \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

For implementation of a high accuracy RBFs method, we assume the functions $a(t), b(t), g(t), K_{0}(t, s)$ and $K_{1}(t, s)$ to be sufficiently smooth on their domains.
The PVIDEs are models of evolutionary problems with memory and have many applications such as the population dynamics, infectious diseases and chemical kinetics (see, e.g. , [21] and references therein).

Also to study the existence, uniqueness and regularity of solutions of (1.1) and (1.2), see [15].

There are some existing numerical methods for PVIDEs. In [22] the authors proposed a collocation method using piecewise polynomials, and analyzed the global and local orders of superconvergence of the collocation solutions for (1.1) and (1.2). Also in [23] the author applied a Legendre spectral method and analyzed the exponential convergence of the method where $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ are convolution. Our aim throughout this paper is to establish some results of the paper [9] on the $[0, T]$, specially the Gaussian RBF (GRBF) explicit interpolation formula. Then by employing of these results we offer a new Gaussian collocation method (NGCM) to approximate the solutions of the PVIDEs (which are often stiff and have oscillation on the related domain) and their first derivatives. Also we analyze how the problem parameters $q$ and $T$ and the parameters in our method i.e. $N$ (number of basis functions or collocation points) and the shape parameter should be selected and how susceptible the approximations are to changes in the parameters. In addition in order to challenge the NGCM, we compare with the standard RBFs collocation methods and a spectral collocation method [24].
The following sections of this paper are structured as follows: in section 2 we describe the properties of radial basis functions and establish the GRBF explicit interpolation formula. The NGCM is implemented in section 3. To support our findings, we present results of numerical experiments in section 4. The conclusions are discussed in the final section.

## 2. Basic knowledge about RBFs

In this section, we collect some well-known definitions and properties from $[9,25]$ which we shall utilize throughout the paper.

Definition 2.1. Let $\Pi_{m}$ denotes the subspace of $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ consisting of all algebraic polynomials of degree less than $m$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. We say that $\phi$ is conditionally positive definite of order $m \in \mathbb{N}$ if for every finite set of pairwise distinct points $X=\left\{\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{N}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and for every $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash 0$ satisfying

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j} p\left(\xi_{j}\right)=0, \quad p \in \Pi_{m}
$$

the quadric form

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} \phi\left(\xi_{i}-\xi_{j}\right)
$$

is positive definite.
Definition 2.2. A function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is radial in the sense that $\phi(t)=\varphi(r)$, where $r=\|t\|$ and $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual Euclidean norm.
RBFs fall into two main classes: infinitely smooth and including a free parameter (shape parameter), such as multiquadrics $\left(\varphi(r)=\sqrt{r^{2}+c^{2}}\right)$ and Gaussians $\left(\varphi(r)=e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{c^{2}}}\right)$; and piecewise smooth and parameter free, such as cubics $\left(\varphi(r)=r^{3}\right)$ and thin plate splines $\left(\varphi(r)=r^{2} \ln r\right)$.
2.1. Standard RBF (SRBF) interpolation. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and given a set of distinct points(centers) $X=\left\{\xi_{0}, \cdots, \xi_{N}\right\}$ in $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Interpolation of $f$ on $X$ using RBFs usually takes the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N} f=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \lambda_{n} \phi\left(t-\xi_{n}\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{l} \alpha_{m} p_{m}(t) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{p_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{l}$ is a basis for $\Pi_{M}$ and $\lambda^{\prime} s$ and $\alpha^{\prime} s$ are coefficients to be determined.
Equation of (2.1) can be written without the additional polynomial term. In that case $\phi$ must be unconditionally positive definite to ensure the solvability of the resulting system. (e.g. Gaussian or inverse multiquadric) [26]. Hence (2.1) can be presented as follow

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N} f=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \lambda_{n} \phi\left(t-\xi_{n}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the expansion coefficient vector $\lambda$ be $\lambda=\left[\lambda_{0}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right]^{T}$ then from interpolation conditions $I_{N} f\left(t_{n}\right)=f\left(t_{n}\right), n=0, \cdots, N$ we reach a system of linear equations that can be displayed in matrix form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \lambda=F \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=\left[f\left(t_{0}\right), \cdots, f\left(t_{N}\right)\right]^{T}, \Phi_{i j}=\phi\left(t_{i}-\xi_{j}\right)$ and $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ are the interpolation points.
Note that for all infinitely smooth RBFs the coefficient matrix $\Phi$ in (2.3) is nonsingular [1]. So the unique interpolant of the form (2.2) can be obtained.
2.2. New GRBFs interpolation. For providing an explicit interpolation formula based on the GRBFs in (2.2) we choose $d=1$ and centers $\xi_{n}=h n, n=0,1, \cdots, N, h=\frac{T}{N}$ on $[0, T]$. Therefor the GRBF approximation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N} f=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \lambda_{n} e^{-\left(\frac{t-h n}{c}\right)^{2}}=e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{c^{2}}} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \lambda_{n} e^{-\frac{h^{2} n^{2}}{c^{2}}} e^{\frac{2 t n h}{c^{2}}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

following [9], we let $\beta=\frac{2 h}{c^{2}}=\frac{2 T}{N c^{2}}$ and apply the transformation

$$
s=e^{\beta t}, \quad s \in\left[1, e^{\beta T}\right],
$$

to find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(s)=I_{N} f\left(\frac{\log s}{\beta}\right)=e^{-\frac{N \log ^{2} s}{2 T \beta}} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \tilde{\lambda}_{n} s^{n}=\psi_{\beta}^{N}(s) \sum_{n=0}^{N} \tilde{\lambda}_{n} s^{n}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the $\lambda^{\prime} s$ are independent of $s$.
From (2.5) it is clear that $\frac{G}{\psi_{\beta}^{N}}$ is a polynomial of degree no greater than $N$. If F is chosen by interpolation to a given $f$ at $N+1$ points, then we have following theorem in the complex plane $z=t+i y$.
Theorem: suppose that $f$ is analytic in a closed simply connected region $R$ that lies inside the strip $-\frac{\pi}{2 \beta}<\operatorname{Im}(z)<\frac{\pi}{2 \beta}$ and that $C$ is simple, closed and rectifiable curve that lies in $R$ and contains the interpolation points $t_{0}, t_{1}, \cdots, t_{N}$. Then the remainder of the GRBF interpolation for f at t can be represented as the contour integral

$$
f(t)-I_{N} f(t)=\frac{\beta \eta_{N}(t)}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{f(z) e^{\beta z}}{\eta_{N}(z)\left(e^{\beta z}-e^{\beta t}\right)} d z,
$$

where

$$
\eta_{N}(t)=e^{-\frac{N \beta}{2 T} t^{2}} \prod_{k=0}^{N}\left(e^{\beta t}-e^{\beta t_{k}}\right)
$$

Proof. Consider the conformal map $w=e^{\beta z}$ and let $g(s)=f\left(\frac{\log s}{\beta}\right)$. Under this transformation, the region $R$ is mapped to a closed simply connected region that lies in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re}(w)>0$. Thus $\frac{g}{\psi_{\beta}^{N}}$ is analytic in this region in the $w$-plane, and we can use the Hermite formula for the error in polynomial interpolation [27],

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(s)-G(s) & =\psi_{\beta}^{N}(s)\left(\frac{g(s)}{\psi_{\beta}^{N}(s)}-\sum_{k=0}^{N} \tilde{\lambda}_{k} s^{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{\psi_{\beta}^{N}(s) \prod_{k=0}^{N}\left(s-s_{k}\right)}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{g(w)}{(w-s) \psi_{\beta}^{N} \prod_{k=0}^{N}\left(w-s_{k}\right)} d w,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s_{k}=e^{\beta t_{k}}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is the image of $C$ in the $w$-plane. A change of variables completes the proof.

Using above theorem and if we let $\mu$ be the limiting node density function [28] of nodes on $[0, T]$ and defining the logarithmic potential function as follow

$$
\mu_{\beta}(z)=\frac{\beta}{2 T} \operatorname{Re}\left(z^{2}\right)-\int_{0}^{T} \log \left(\left|e^{\beta z}-e^{\beta t}\right|\right) \mu(t) d t
$$

similar to [9] by applying standard potential theory one can prove that the GRBF interpolant converges exponentially to the target function on $[0, T]$ under some conditions.
Now we define an explicit interpolation formula through the following Gaussian cardinal functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}(t)=e^{-\frac{N \beta}{2 T}\left(t^{2}-t_{n}^{2}\right)} \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq n}}^{N} \frac{e^{\beta t}-e^{\beta t_{j}}}{e^{\beta t_{n}}-e^{\beta t_{j}}}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that $L_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)=1, L_{n}\left(t_{j}\right)=0, j \neq n$ and by (2.5), $L_{n}(t) \in \operatorname{span}\left\{e^{-\frac{\left(t-\xi_{n}\right)^{2}}{c^{2}}}\right\}$. So we can write the unique GRBF interpolant as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N} f(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} f\left(t_{n}\right) L_{n}(t) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. New Gaussian collocation method (NGCM)

Consider the PVIDE given in (1.1) and (1.2). At first, for ease of applying the NGCM we restate the initial condition (1.2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=y_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} y^{\prime}(s) d s \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

so without integration both sides of (1.1) one can approximate the $y(t)$ and $y^{\prime}(t)$ using the NGCM naturally. This idea has been used in some literature [24, 29].
In order to acquire a computationally form of the collocation equations (1.1) and (3.1), we declare $y_{N}$ and $y_{N}^{\prime}$ on $[0, T]$ by (2.7) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{N}=I_{N} y(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{N} y_{k} L_{k}(t), \quad y_{k}=y\left(t_{k}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& y_{N}^{\prime}=I_{N} y^{\prime}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{N} y_{k}^{\prime} L_{k}(t), \quad y_{k}^{\prime}=y^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{k}(t)=\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq k}}^{N}=\frac{e^{-\frac{\beta}{2 T}\left(t^{2}-t_{k}^{2}\right)}\left(e^{\beta t}-e^{\beta t_{j}}\right)}{e^{\beta t_{k}}-e^{\beta t_{j}}}, \quad k=0,1, \cdots, N, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a simple modification of (2.6) that improves the accuracy of approxmitions.

As on outcome of the above notations, the collocation equations (1.1) and (3.1) at the collocation points $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ turn to

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{i}^{\prime}=g\left(t_{i}\right)+a\left(t_{i}\right) y_{i}+b\left(t_{i}\right) y_{N}\left(q t_{i}\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{i}} K_{0}\left(t_{i}, s\right) y_{N}(s) d s+ \\
& \quad \int_{0}^{q t_{i}} K_{1}\left(t_{i}, s\right) y_{N}(s) d s \\
& y_{i}=y_{0}+\int_{0}^{t_{i}} y_{N}^{\prime}(s) d s, \quad i=0,1, \cdots, N . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we change the integration intervals to $[0, T]$ using the following transformations

$$
s=s_{i}(\theta)=\frac{t_{i}}{T} \theta, \quad s=s_{i}^{q}(\theta)=\frac{q t_{i}}{T} \theta .
$$

Therefor the above equations become

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{i} & =g\left(t_{i}\right)+a\left(t_{i}\right) y\left(t_{i}\right)+b\left(t_{i}\right) y_{N}\left(q t_{i}\right)+\frac{t_{i}}{T} \int_{0}^{T} K_{0}\left(t_{i}, s_{i}(\theta)\right) y_{N}\left(s_{i}(\theta)\right) d \theta \\
& +\frac{q t_{i}}{T} \int_{0}^{T} K_{1}\left(t_{i}, q s_{i}(\theta)\right) y_{N}\left(q s_{i}(\theta)\right) d \theta \\
y_{i} & =y_{0}+\frac{t_{i}}{T} \int_{0}^{T} y_{N}^{\prime}\left(s_{i}(\theta)\right) d \theta, \quad i=0,1, \cdots, N . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

After applying the (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{i}^{\prime} & =g\left(t_{i}\right)+a\left(t_{i}\right) y_{i}+b\left(t_{i}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{N} L_{k}\left(q t_{i}\right) y_{k}+\frac{t_{i}}{T} \sum_{k=0}^{N}\left(\int_{0}^{T} K_{0}\left(t_{i}, s_{i}(\theta)\right) L_{k}\left(s_{i}(\theta)\right) d \theta\right) y_{k} \\
& +\frac{q t_{i}}{T} \sum_{k=0}^{N}\left(\int_{0}^{T} K_{1}\left(t_{i}, q s_{i}(\theta)\right) L_{k}\left(q s_{i}(\theta)\right) d \theta\right) y_{k}, \\
y_{i} & =y_{0}+\frac{t_{i}}{T} \sum_{k=0}^{N}\left(\int_{0}^{T} L_{k}\left(s_{i}(\theta)\right) d \theta\right) y_{k}^{\prime}, \quad i=0,1, \cdots, N . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to acquire a succinct notation for the above linear algebraic equations, we introduce the matrices and vectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{Y}_{N} & =\left[\bar{y}_{0}, \cdots, \bar{y}_{0}\right]^{T}, \quad Y_{n}=\left[y_{0}, \cdots, y_{N}\right]^{T}, \quad Y_{N}^{\prime}=\left[y_{0}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{N}^{\prime}\right]^{T}, \\
G_{N} & =\left[g\left(t_{0}\right), \cdots, g\left(t_{N}\right)\right]^{T}, \\
D_{N} & =\operatorname{diagonal}\left(a\left(t_{0}\right), \cdots, a\left(t_{N}\right)\right), \quad A_{1, N}^{q}=\left(b\left(t_{i}\right) L_{k}\left(q t_{i}\right)\right)_{0 \leq i, k \leq N}, \\
A_{2, N} & =\left(\frac{t_{i}}{T} \int_{0}^{T} K_{0}\left(t_{i}, s_{i}(\theta)\right) L_{k}\left(s_{i}(\theta)\right) d \theta\right)_{0 \leq i, k \leq N} \\
A_{3, N}^{q} & =\left(\frac{q t_{i}}{T} \int_{0}^{T} K_{1}\left(t_{i}, q s_{i}(\theta)\right) L_{k}\left(q s_{i}(\theta)\right) d \theta\right)_{0 \leq i, k \leq N} \\
A_{4, N} & =\left(\frac{t_{i}}{T} \int_{0}^{T} L_{k}\left(s_{i}(\theta)\right) d \theta\right)_{0 \leq i, k \leq N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the corresponding system of linear algebraic equations (3.7) take the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{N}^{\prime}=G_{N}+\left(D_{n}+A_{1, N}^{q}+A_{2, N}+A_{3, N}^{q}\right) Y_{N} \\
& Y_{N}=\bar{Y}_{N}+A_{4, N} Y_{N}^{\prime} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

We expose (3.8) in a compact form as follow

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B_{N} & -I_{N}  \tag{3.9}\\
I_{N} & -A_{4, N}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
Y_{N} \\
Y_{N}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-G_{N} \\
\bar{Y}_{N}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $B_{N}=D_{N}+A_{1, N}^{q}+A_{2, N}+A_{3, N}^{q}$ and $I_{N}$ denotes the identity matrix.
In this way, a linear algebraic system is acquired which can be solved with an appropriate method and yield the unknown vectors $Y_{N}$ and $Y_{N}^{\prime}$.
To accelerate solving the linear algebraic system (3.9) one can approximate the integral terms in (3.7) by a suitable and high accurate numerical integration method such as $(N+1)$-points Gauss-Legendre, GaussRadau or Gauss-Lobatto quadrature formula concerning the Legendre weight.

## 4. Numerical results

In this section, we consider two oscillatory PVIDEs, to verify the performance of the NGCM. We numerically investigate how the selection of the parameters $q, T, N$ and $\beta$ can affect the accuracy and efficiency of the presented method. The domains size and the value of q determine number of oscillations of the exact solution, so we choose $T, N$ and $\beta$ in such a way that we can achieve the most accurate results
for a given $q$.
The Gauss-Chebyshev nodes on $[0, T]$ are used as the collocation points for the NGCM and the CSCM. For many problems, a Chebyshev distribution of nodes is a good choice [30]. Also we use the Legendre-Gauss nodes for the LSCM.
We need the following criterions for studying the convergence behavior of the presented method.
(i) Absolute error:

$$
\operatorname{Abr}=\left|y(t)-y_{N}(t)\right|,
$$

(ii) The point-wise $L_{\infty}$ error:

$$
L_{N}=\operatorname{Max}_{i=0}^{N}\left\{\operatorname{Abr}\left(t_{i}\right)\right\},
$$

where $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ is the set of collocation points.
(iii) The condition number of the coefficient matrix of the discretization method:

$$
K_{s}(A)=\|A\|_{s} \cdot\left\|A^{-1}\right\|_{s}, \quad s=2, \infty
$$

All computations are run on a Microsoft PC 32-bit AMD, Dual Core with 2.70 GHz of CPU and 4GB RAM. Also we use Maple 18 software for solving the linear algebraic systems directly by the LinearSolve command. In addition, for counteracting influence of rounding errors all calculations are performed using 50 digits precision.

Example 4.1. For the first example we consider the following PVIDE

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime}(t)=g(t)+\operatorname{Cos}(t) y(t)+\operatorname{Sin}(t) y(q t)+\int_{0}^{t} t s y(s) d s+ \\
\int_{0}^{q t}(t-s) y(s) d s, \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{4.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(t) & =\frac{\operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{t}{q}\right)}{q}-\operatorname{Cos}(t) \operatorname{Sin}\left(\frac{t}{q}\right)-\operatorname{Sin}^{2} t-q^{2} t \operatorname{Sin} t-q^{2} t \operatorname{Sin}\left(\frac{t}{q}\right) \\
& +q t^{2} \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{t}{q}\right)-q t-q^{2} t \operatorname{Cos}(t)+q^{2} \operatorname{Sin} t+q t \operatorname{Cos}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the exact solution is $\operatorname{Sin}\left(\frac{t}{q}\right)$.
At first we let $q=1$ and $T=\pi$ and solve eq. (4.1) using the standard Gaussian collocation method (SGCM), the standard inverse multiquadrid collocation method (SIMCM) and the NGCM. We compare the methods for different values of $N$. We increase $c$ for the standard methods. Therefor from the relation $\beta=\frac{2 T}{N c^{2}}, \beta$ varies with $N$ for


Figure 1. Comparision between standard RBF methods and NGCM for $T=\pi$ and $q=1$.
the NGCM. By using this relation we compare the NGCM and the SGCM, specifically. Numerical results are displayed in Table 1, fig. 1 .The results show that the convergence rate of NGCM is much faster than the standard methods. Also we see that the condition number which relates to the standard methods grows rapidly that is not the case for the NGCM. Moreover we observe an instable manner in the SGCM as $N$ and $c$ increase. The situation gets worse for the standard methods when $q<1$. The convergence does not happen due to severe ill-conditioning of the standard methods. The ill-conditioning makes them too sensitive to small changes in $q$. if we let $T \leq 1$ for a given $q$ near to 1 and $T \leq 0.5$ for $q \leq 0.5$ the results get better to some extent, but even in these cases the exponential convergence can not be obtained and the results are very poor. Perhaps if we apply an appropriate expensive technique to overcome the ill-conditioning, performance of the standard methods gets better, however with the NGCM without using any technique we can achieve excellent results even if we let $q \leq 0.1$.

Now we solve equ. (4.1) with the NGCM for $q=0.1$ where $T=\pi$ and $\beta=0.5$, also for $q=0.1$ where $T=\pi$ and $\beta$ varies with $N$ and compare the results with those obtained with the LSCM and the CSCM. The obtained results are shown in Table 2 for different values of $N$. We observe that for the NGCM when we vary $\beta$ with $N$ the pointwise $L_{\infty}$ error decreases more rapidly than while the $\beta$ is fixed. Also


Figure 2. Behavior of the NGCM in terms of the pointwise $L_{\infty}$ error versus $\beta$ for $N=12, q=0.5$ and $T=\pi$
it can be seen that the NGCM gives better results than the spectral method while the condition number is relatively small and does not grow in like manner to the spectral method. For the spectral method we run the Maple program several times to obtain the results, whereas the exponential convergence is achievable easily by using the NGCM with relatively large $N$. So, one can conclude that the spectral method is more sensitive than the NGCM with respect to $q$.
Next, we apply the NGCM to approximate the solution of equ. (4.1) for fixed $N=18$ and different values of $\beta$ when $q=0.1$ and $T=\pi$. The numerical results are shown in Table 3. Also fig. 2 displays the behavior of the NGCM in terms of the point-wise $L_{\infty}$ error versus $\beta$ for $N=12, q=0.5$ and $T=\pi$. Actually we use the Trial \& Error technique which is composed of varying $\beta$ and selecting the optimal parameter as the one that causes the minimum point-wise $L_{\infty}$ error. It can be seen from Table 3 and the figure that one can improve the accuracy without extra computations.




Figure 3. Absolute errors for $N=42, q=0.1, \beta=0.1$ and $T=\pi$

(A) $\operatorname{Abr}\left(y_{N}\right)$

(B) $\operatorname{Abr}\left(y_{N}^{\prime}\right)$

Figure 4. Absolute errors for $N=50, q=0.05, \beta=$ 0.8 and $T=0.7 \pi$.

Table 3. Numerical results of ex. 1 when $N=18, q=$ $0.1, T=\pi$ and different $\beta$.

| $\beta$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.003 | 0.00005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L_{N}\left(y_{N}\right)$ | $7.29 e-1$ | $3.01 e-2$ | $1.69 e-3$ | $3.97 e-4$ | $2.03 e-3$ | $1.85 e-2$ | $2.95 e-2$ | $4.39 e-2$ | $4.72 e-2$ | $4.87 e-2$ |
| $L_{N}\left(y_{N}^{\prime}\right)$ | $5.50 e-1$ | $9.60 e-2$ | $3.65 e-3$ | $9.74 e-4$ | $5.08 e-3$ | $3.00 e-2$ | $4.94 e-2$ | $7.92 e-2$ | $8.65 e-2$ | $8.97 e-2$ |
| Cond $_{2}$ | 12262 | 3670 | 2379 | 2380 | 2381 | 2381 | 2381 | 2381 | 2381 | 2381 |
| Cond $_{\infty}$ | 45935 | 15331 | 10451 | 40453 | 10454 | 10455 | 10455 | 10455 | 10455 | 10455 |

In order to show the high performance of the NGCM on the whole domain, we plot the absolute errors for $N=42, q=0.1, \beta=0.1$ and $T=\pi$ in fig. 3. Also fig. 4 presents the absolute errors for $N=50$, $q=0.05, \beta=0.8$ and $T=0.7 \pi$.

Example 4.2. The second example is as follow

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime}(t)=g(t)+t y(t)+e^{-q^{2} t} y(q t)+\int_{0}^{t} \operatorname{Cos}(q(t-s)) y(s) d s+ \\
\int_{0}^{q t} q(t-s) y(s) d s, \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $g(t)$ is given such that the exact solution to be $e^{q t} \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{t}{q}\right)$.
At first we solve this example for $q=0.01$ and $\beta=0.7$ where $T$ is $\frac{\pi}{4}$ and $\frac{\pi}{5}$ to verify impact of the domains size on the accuracy of the presented method, where the variation of $T$ is only 0.157 . The results for different values of $N$ are displayed in fig. 5. We see that for the smaller value of $T$ the results are more accurate. Also in order to see how susceptible the GNCM is to the selection of $\beta$, we solve the equ. (4.2) for $q=0.01, \beta=1.5$ and $T=\frac{\pi}{5}$ and compare the results with those obtained for $\beta=0.7$, which is depicted in fig. 6. In addition for $q=0.01, \beta=1.5, T=\frac{\pi}{5}$ and $N=55$ we compare the numerical results $y_{N}$ and $y_{N}^{\prime}$ and the exact solutions $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ which are shown in fig. 7. Furthermore the absolute error of $y_{N}$ and $y_{N}^{\prime}$ are plotted in fig. 8 respectively. These results show the flexibility and capability of the NGCM for solving such oscillatory problem with high oscillation. However the spectral method fails to converge when $q=0.01$ and $T=\frac{\pi}{5}$. Although for $T \leq \frac{\pi}{7}$ the situation gets better but the obtained results are poor and the exponential convergence can not be acquired. Next, for $q=0.09$ and $T=\pi$ we solve the equ. (4.2), when $\beta$ varies with $N$. The numerical results are reported in Table 4. From the Table it can be seen that the exponential convergence is achievable. A comparison between the exact solutions and the numerical solutions when $N=52$ and $\beta=0.4$ is depicted in fig. 9 .


Figure 5. Behavior of the NGCM in terms of the pointwise $L_{\infty}$ error versus $N$ for $q=0.01$ and $\beta=0.7$.


Figure 6. Behavior of the NGCM in terms of the pointwise $L_{\infty}$ error versus $N$ for $q=0.01$ and $T=\frac{\pi}{5}$.

Table 4. Numerical results of ex. 2 when $\beta$ varies with $N$ and $q=0.09, T=\pi$.

| $N$ | 16 | 22 | 28 | 34 | 40 | 46 | 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta$ | 1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| $L_{N}\left(y_{N}\right)$ | $1.69 e-1$ | $2.75 e-4$ | $7.68 e-6$ | $3.60 e-8$ | $6.38 e-11$ | $2.05 e-14$ | $2.67 e 18$ |
| $L_{N}\left(y_{N}^{\prime}\right)$ | $5.90 e-1$ | $7.50 e-4$ | $1.25 e-5$ | $7.23 e-8$ | $9.23 e-11$ | $4.66 e-14$ | $5.20 e-18$ |
| Cond $_{2}$ | 11737 | 11921 | 11984 | 12209 | 12689 | 12862 | 12692 |
| Cond $_{\infty}$ | 42300 | 42075 | 43917 | 49038 | 52749 | 51762 | 50109 |



Figure 7. Comparision of Numerical solutions and exact solutions when $q=0.01, T=\frac{\pi}{5}, \beta=1.5$ and $N=55$


Figure 8. Absolute errors for $q=0.01, T=\frac{\pi}{5}, \beta=1.5$ and $N=55$.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper we use a simple explicit interpolation formula based on GRBF with equally spaced centers to establish a stable collocation method for solving the PVIDEs. By this method we overcome the ill-conditioning of the interpolation matrix, without applying a special technique. Numerical results show that the efficiency and stability of


Figure 9. Comparision of Numerical solutions and exact solutions when $q=0.09, T=\pi, \beta=0.4$ and $N=52$
the presented method is excellent with respect to standard method especially when $q<1$. While comparing with the spectral method, the NGCM shows better performance and it can be seen that the spectral method is more sensitive than the NGCM with respect to $q$. Also the exponential convergence of the presented method is achievable easily even for relatively small value of $q$ and relatively large $N$, however that is not the case for the spectral method. Furthermore one can improve the accuracy of the NGCM without extra computation while $\beta$ decreases. So the NGCM is a robust method for solving the PVIDEs which is motivated us to extend it for solving more complicated problems such as fractional pantograph partial integro-differential equations that remains for future works.
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