

**A Note on Game Theoretic Approach to Detect Arbitrage Strategy:  
Application in the Foreign Exchange Market**

**Reza habibi  
Iran Banking Institute, Central Bank of Iran**

**Abstract.** A game theoretic approach to detect arbitrage strategy in a foreign exchange market is proposed. 5 propositions are given and then the optimal arbitrage path is derived.

**Keywords:** Arbitrage strategy; Exchange rate matrix, Foreign exchange market; Game theory

**1 Introduction.** Consider a foreign exchange market with  $n$  currencies. Let  $a_{ij}$  is the exchange rate of  $i$ -th currency with respect to  $j$ -th currency. Denote the exchange rate matrix, that is  $A = (a_{ij})$ . Under no arbitrage assumption, then the direct, triangular, and any other types of arbitrage don't exist. Ma (2008) detected arbitrage opportunities in a foreign exchange market. He used maximum eigenvalue of the exchange rates matrix  $A$ . Ma (2008) argued that  $\Lambda$  is an arbitrage indicator. When there is an arbitrage, then  $a_{ij} = \frac{w_i}{w_j} \varepsilon_{ij}$  for some  $i, j$ , where  $w_i$  is the intrinsic value of  $i$ -th currency. Hao (2009) detected the applied the method of Ma's foreign exchange market considering the bid-ask transactions. The following propositions are useful.

**Proposition 1.** If direct arbitrage doesn't exist, then  $a_{ij} = \frac{1}{a_{ji}}$ ,  $b_{ij} + b_{ji} = 0$ , where  $b_{ij} = \log(a_{ij})$ .

Considering  $b_{ij}$  as a game, then it is a sum zero game.

**Proposition 2.** When there is no triangular arbitrage, then  $a_{ik}a_{kj} = a_{ij}$  then

$$A_{ij}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}a_{kj} = na_{ij} = nA_{ij}.$$

Therefore,  $A^3 = n^2A$ , ...  $A^k = n^{k-1}A$ .

**Remark 1.** Under the no direct and triangular arbitrage opportunities, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (a_{ik}a_{kj} - a_{ij}) = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{g=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (a_{ig}a_{gk}a_{kj} - a_{ij}) = 0.$$

**Remark 2.** The arbitrage path is obtained for some  $m$  such that  $A^m \neq n^{m-1}A$ . Hence, some relations like them exist in Remark 1 exist and show the arbitrage path (strategy).

**Proposition 3.** Under the no arbitrage assumption,  $A^k = n^{k-1}A$  then for some  $h \in (-\delta, \delta)$ , the moment generating function of matrix  $A$

$$M_A(h) = M(h) = e^{hA} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(hA)^j}{j!} = I + \frac{e^{nh} - 1}{n}A$$

where  $I$  is  $n \times n$  identity matrix and

$$\frac{\partial^k M(h)}{\partial h^k} \Big|_{h=0} = A^k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

**Proposition 4.** If there is triangular arbitrage, an optimal weighted arbitrage path with weights  $\pi_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$  is obtained by

$$\max \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k (a_{ik}a_{kj} - a_{ij}),$$

such that  $\sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k = 1$ , where  $\pi_k = 1$  for  $k = \text{argmax}_{k^*} (a_{ik^*} a_{k^*j} - a_{ij})$  and zero otherwise.

**2 Game theoretic approach.** It is well-known that there is an equivalence between linear programming (LP) problems and zero-sum games in the sense that any two-person zero-sum game can convert to an LP problem and vice-versa (see, Raghavan,1994). A natural question arises is which row of A should be selected? Here, based on game theory solution is proposed. That is, a randomized strategy  $\{q_j\}_{j=1}^n$  (probability measure) is designed such that for each row the expectation of investor's profit is maximized. The necessary condition to this end is

$$\sum_{j=1}^n q_j a_{1j} = \dots = \sum_{j=1}^n q_j a_{nj},$$

where a matrix equivalent form is  $Aq = a^*1$ , for some arbitrary  $a^*$  where  $q$  is the vector of probabilities. When there is an arbitrage opportunity, then the largest eigenvalue is  $n$  and others are negative. If all of them are non-zero, then the inverse of matrix A exists and

$$q = a^{**}A^{-1}1.$$

**Proposition 5.** If there is triangular arbitrage, an optimal weighted arbitrage path with weights  $\pi_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$  is obtained by

$$\max_{\pi_k} \sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k (\varepsilon_{ik} \varepsilon_{kj} - \varepsilon_{ij})$$

Such that  $\sum_{k=1}^n \pi_k = 1$ , where  $\pi_k = 1$  for  $k = \text{argmax}_{k^*} (\varepsilon_{ik^*} \varepsilon_{k^*j} - \varepsilon_{ij})$  and zero otherwise.

**Remark 3.** The algorithm for finding the arbitrage path is given as follows

1. Find  $i_1$  as described above.
2. Find  $k_1^* = \text{argmax}_{k^*} (\varepsilon_{ik^*} \varepsilon_{k^*j} - \varepsilon_{ij})$  and let  $i_2 = k_1^*$ .
3. Continue to find time points  $i_3, \dots, i_m$ .
4. Compute  $a_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_2 i_3} \dots a_{i_{(m-1)} i_m} a_{i_m i_1}$ .
5. Set  $i_1 = 2$  and repeat steps 2,3,4.
6. Find the maximum of  $a_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_2 i_3} \dots a_{i_{(m-1)} i_m} a_{i_m i_1}$ . It is the optimal arbitrage path.

## References

- [1] Hao, Y. (2009). Foreign exchange rate arbitrage using the matrix method. *Technical report*. Chulalongkorn University. Bangkok.
- [2] Ma, M. (2008). Identifying foreign exchange arbitrage opportunities through matrix approach. *Technical report*. School of Management and Economics, Beijing Institute of Technology.
- [3] Raghavan, T. E. S. (1994). Zero-sum two-person games. In: Handbook of game theory with economic applications, Volume 2, Aumann RJ, Hart S (eds), Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam: 735–760.