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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study a partially linear varying-coefficient errors-in-variables (EV) model under additional restricted condition.Both of the parametric and nonparametric components are measured with additive errors. The restricted estimators of parametric and nonparametric components are established based on modified profile least-squares method and local correction method, and their asymptotic properties are also studied under some regularity conditions.Some simulation studies are conducted to illustrate our approaches.


AMS Subject Classification:62G05
Key words: Partially linear varying-coefficient models,Errors-in-variables; Asymptotic normality; Profile least-squares method; local correction

## 1 Introduction

The varying-coefficient partially linear model takes the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=X^{\tau} \beta+Z^{\tau} \alpha(T)+\varepsilon, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha(\cdot)=\left(\alpha_{1}(\cdot), \cdots, \alpha_{q}(\cdot)\right)^{\tau}$ is a $q$-dimensional vector of unknown coefficient function$\mathrm{s}, \beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{p}\right)^{\tau}$ is a $p$-dimensional vector of unknown regression coefficients and $\varepsilon$ is an independent random error with $E(\varepsilon)=0, \operatorname{Var}(\varepsilon)=\sigma^{2}$ almost certain. $\operatorname{Model}(1.1)$ has been studied in a great deal of literature. Examples can be found in the studies of Zhang et al.[9], Zhou and You[10],Xia and Zhang[11],Fan and Huang[12], among others. However, the covariates $X, Z$ are often measured with errors in many practical applications.Some
authors consider the case where the covariate $X$ is measured with additive errors, and $Z$ and $T$ are errors free. For example, You and Chen[1] have proposed a modified profile least squares approach to estimate the parametric component. Hu et al.[2]and Wang et al. [3] have obtained confidence region of the parametric component by the empirical likelihood method. Some authors such as Feng[4] consider the case where the covariate $Z$ is measured with additive errors, and $X$ and $T$ are errors free.

In this paper, we discuss the following model in which both of the parametric and nonparametric components are measured with additive errors.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Y=X^{\tau} \beta+Z^{\tau} \alpha(T)+\varepsilon  \tag{2}\\
V=X+\eta \\
W=Z+u \\
A \beta=b
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\eta, u$ are the measurement errors, $\eta$ is independent of $\left(X^{\tau}, Z^{\tau}, T, \varepsilon, u\right), u$ is independent of $\left(X^{\tau}, Z^{\tau}, T, \varepsilon, \eta\right)$. We also assume that $\operatorname{Cov}(\eta)=\Sigma_{\eta}, \operatorname{Cov}(u)=\Sigma_{u}$, where $\Sigma_{\eta}, \Sigma_{u}$ is known.If $\Sigma_{\eta}, \Sigma_{u}$ is unknown, we also can estimate them by repeatedly measuring $V, W$. $A$ is a $k \times p$ matrix of known constants and $b$ is a $k$-vector of known constants. We shall also assume that $\operatorname{rank}(A)=k$.

## 2 Estimation

Suppose that $\left.\left\{V_{i}, W_{i}, T_{i}, Y_{i}\right), i=1, \cdots, n\right\}$ is an independent identically distributed(iid) random sample which comes from model (1.2).That is,they satisfy

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Y_{i}=X_{i}^{\tau} \beta+Z_{i}^{\tau} \alpha\left(T_{i}\right)+\varepsilon_{i}  \tag{3}\\
V_{i}=X_{i}+\eta_{i} \\
W_{i}=Z_{i}+u_{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the explanatory variable $X_{i}$ is measured with additive errors, $V_{i}=\left(V_{i 1}, \cdots, V_{i p}\right)^{\tau}$ is the surrogate variable of $X_{i}$, the explanatory variable $Z_{i}$ is also measured with additive errors, $W_{i}=\left(W_{i 1}, \cdots, W_{i q}\right)^{\tau}$ is the surrogate variable of $Z_{i}, \alpha\left(T_{i}\right)=\left(\alpha_{1} T\left({ }_{i}\right), \cdots, \alpha_{q}\left(T_{i}\right)\right)^{\tau}$, and $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ are independent and identically distributed(iid) random errors with $E\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)=$ $0, \operatorname{Var}\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)=\sigma^{2}<\infty$.
We first assume that $\beta$ is known, then the first equation of model (2.1) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}-X_{i}^{\tau} \beta=Z_{i}^{\tau} \alpha\left(T_{i}\right)+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i=1, \cdots, n \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, model (2.2) can be treated as a varying coefficient model.Then, we apply a local linear regression technique to estimate the varying coefficient functions $\alpha(T)$. For $T_{i}$ in a
small neighborhood of $T$, one can approximate $\alpha_{j}\left(T_{i}\right)$ locally by a linear function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j}\left(T_{i}\right) \approx \alpha_{j}(T)+\alpha_{j}^{\prime}(T)\left(T_{i}-T\right) \equiv a_{j}+b_{j}\left(T_{i}-T\right), j=1, \cdots, q \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to the following weighted local least-squares problem: find $\left\{\left(a_{j}, b_{j}\right), j=1, \cdots, q\right\}$ to minimize

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\left(Y_{i}-X_{i}^{\tau} \beta\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{q}\left[a_{j}+b_{j}\left(T_{i}-T\right)\right] Z_{i j}\right\}^{2} K_{h}\left(T_{i}-T\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is a kernel function, $h$ is a bandwidth and $K_{h}(\cdot)=K(\cdot / h) / h$.
The solution to problem (2.4) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\hat{a}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{a}_{q}, \cdots, h \hat{b}_{1}, \cdots, h \hat{b}_{q}\right)=\left\{\left(D_{T}^{Z}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{Z}\right\}^{-1}\left(D_{T}^{Z}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T}(Y-X \beta) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{T}^{Z}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Z_{1}^{\tau} & \frac{T_{1}-T}{h} Z_{1}^{\tau} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
Z_{n}^{\tau} & \frac{T_{n}-T}{h} Z_{n}^{\tau}
\end{array}\right) ; \quad M=\left(\begin{array}{c}
Z_{1}^{\tau} \alpha\left(T_{1}\right) \\
\vdots \\
Z_{n}^{\tau} \alpha\left(T_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right) ; \quad Z=\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \cdots, Z_{n}\right)^{\tau} ; \\
Y=\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \cdots, Y_{n}\right)^{\tau} ; X=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)^{\tau} ; \omega_{T}=\operatorname{diag}\left(K_{h}\left(T_{1}-T\right), \cdots, K_{h}\left(T_{n}-T\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

If one ignores the measurement error and replaces $Z_{i}$ by $W_{i}$ in (2.5), one can show that the resulting estimator is inconsistent.To eliminate the estimation error caused by the measurement error,Using the method in literature[5], we modify (2.5) by local correction as follow:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\hat{a}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{a}_{q}, \cdots, h \hat{b}_{1}, \cdots, h \hat{b}_{q}\right)=\left\{\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{W}-\Omega\right\}^{-1}\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T}(Y-X \beta) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we obtain the following corrected local linear estimator for $\{\alpha(\cdot), j=1, \cdots, q\}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\alpha}(T)=\left(\hat{\alpha}_{1}(T), \cdots, \hat{\alpha}_{q}(T)\right)^{\tau}=\left(I_{q} 0_{q}\right)\left\{\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{W}-\Omega\right\}^{-1}\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T}(Y-X \beta) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\Omega=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Sigma_{u} \otimes\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \left(T_{i}-T\right) / h \\ \left(T_{i}-T\right) / h & \left(\left(T_{i}-T\right) / h\right)^{2}\end{array}\right) K_{h}\left(T_{i}-T\right)$.
For the sake of descriptive convenience, we denote $R_{i}=\left\{\left(D_{T_{i}}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T_{i}} D_{T_{i}}^{W}-\Omega\right\}^{-1}\left(D_{T_{i}}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T_{i}}$, $S_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}W_{i}^{\tau} & 0_{q}^{\tau}\end{array}\right) R_{i}, Q_{i}=\left(I_{q} 0_{q}\right) R_{i}, S=\left(S_{1}^{\tau}, \cdots, S_{n}^{\tau}\right)^{\tau}, \tilde{Y}_{i}=Y_{i}-S_{i} Y, \tilde{V}_{i}=V_{i}-V^{\tau} S_{i}^{\tau}$, then, minimize

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{Y_{i}-V_{i}^{\tau} \beta-W_{i}^{\tau} \hat{\alpha}(T i)\right\}^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\alpha}^{\tau}\left(T_{i}\right) \Sigma_{u} \hat{\alpha}\left(T_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta^{\tau} \Sigma_{\eta} \beta \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the modified profile least squares estimator of $\beta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\beta}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right\}^{-1}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{Y}_{i}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} Y\right)\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the estimator of $\alpha(\cdot)$ is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\alpha}(T)=\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{1}(T), \cdots, \tilde{\alpha}_{q}(T)\right)^{\tau}=\left(I_{q} 0_{q}\right)\left\{\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{W}-\Omega\right\}^{-1}\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T}(Y-V \hat{\beta}) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for the estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is consistent and asymptotically normal. However, restriction conditions $A \beta=b$ were not satisfied. In order to solve this problem, we will construct a restricted estimator, which is not only consistent but also satisfies the linear restrictions. To apply the Lagrange multiplier technique, we define the following Lagrange function corresponding to the restrictions $A \beta=b$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\beta, \lambda)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{Y_{i}-V_{i}^{\tau} \beta-W_{i}^{\tau} \hat{\alpha}(T i)\right\}^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\alpha}^{\tau}\left(T_{i}\right) \Sigma_{u} \hat{\alpha}\left(T_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta^{\tau} \Sigma_{\eta} \beta+2 \lambda^{\tau}(A \beta-b) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a $k \times 1$ vector that contains the Lagrange multipliers. By differentiating $F(\beta, \lambda)$ with respect to $\beta$ and $\lambda$, we obtain the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial F(\beta, \lambda)}{\partial \beta}=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{Y}_{i}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} Y\right)-A^{\tau} \lambda\right]-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right) \beta=0  \tag{14}\\
\frac{\partial F(\beta, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda}=2(A \beta-b)=0 \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Solving the Eq. (2.12) with respect to $\beta$, we get

$$
\beta=\hat{\beta}-\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right\}^{-1} A^{\tau} \lambda .
$$

We substitute $\beta$ into the Eq. (2.13) and we have

$$
b=A \hat{\beta}-A\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right\}^{-1} A^{\tau} \lambda
$$

As the inverse matrix of $A\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right\}^{-1} A^{\tau}$ exists, then we can write the estimator of $\lambda$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\lambda}=\left\{A\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right]^{-1} A^{\tau}\right\}^{-1}(A \hat{\beta}-b) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the restricted estimator of $\beta$ is obtained as
$\hat{\beta}_{r}=\hat{\beta}-\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right\}^{-1} A^{\tau}\left\{A\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right]^{-1} A^{\tau}\right\}^{-1}(A \hat{\beta}-b)$,
Moreover, the restricted estimator of $\alpha(\cdot)$ is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\alpha}_{r}(T)=\left(I_{q} 0_{q}\right)\left\{\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{W}-\Omega\right\}^{-1}\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T}\left(Y-V \hat{\beta}_{r}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Asymptotic normality

The following assumption will be used.
A1. The random variable $T$ has a bounded support $\Im$. Its density function $f(\cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous and $f(\cdot)>0$.
A2. There is an $s>2$, such that $E\left\|\varepsilon_{1}\right\|^{2 s}<\infty, E\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2 s}<\infty, E\left\|\eta_{1}\right\|^{2 s}<\infty, E\left\|X_{1}\right\|^{2 s}<$ $\infty, E\left\|Z_{1}\right\|^{2 s}<\infty$, and for some $\delta<2-s^{-1}$, there is $n^{2 \delta-1} h \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
A3. $\left\{\alpha_{j}(\cdot), j=1, \cdots, q\right\}$ have continuous second derivatives in $T \in \Im$.
A4. The function $K(\cdot)$ is a symmetric density function with compact support. and the bandwidth $h$ satisfies $n h^{2} /(\log n)^{2} \rightarrow \infty, n h^{8} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
A5. The matrix $\Gamma(T)=E\left(Z_{1} Z_{1}^{\tau} \mid T\right)$ is nonsingular, $E\left(X_{1} X_{1}^{\tau} \mid T\right)$ and $\Phi(T)=E\left(Z_{1} X_{1}^{\tau} \mid T\right)$ are all Lipschitz continuous.

The following notations will be used.
Let $c_{n}=\left\{(n h)^{-1} \log n\right\}^{1 / 2}, \tilde{X}_{i}=X_{i}-X^{\tau} S_{i}^{\tau}, \tilde{\eta}_{i}=\eta_{i}-\eta^{\tau} S_{i}^{\tau}, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{i}=\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon^{\tau} S_{i}^{\tau}, \mu_{k}=$ $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} t^{k} K(t) d t, \nu_{k}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} t^{k} K^{2}(t) d t, k=0,1,2,3$.
Theorem 1. Assume that the conditions A1-A5 hold, Then the estimator $\hat{\beta}_{r}$ of $\beta$ is asymptotically normal,namely,

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\beta}_{r}-\beta\right) \rightarrow_{L} N(0, \Sigma)
$$

where $\rightarrow_{L}$ denotes the convergence in distribution, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma= \Sigma_{1}^{-1} \Lambda \Sigma_{1}^{-1}-\Sigma_{1}^{-1} \Sigma_{2} \Sigma_{1}^{-1} \Lambda \Sigma_{1}^{-1}-\Sigma_{1}^{-1} \Lambda \Sigma_{1}^{-1} \Sigma_{2} \Sigma_{1}^{-1}+\Sigma_{1}^{-1} \Sigma_{2} \Sigma_{1}^{-1} \Lambda \Sigma_{1}^{-1} \Sigma_{2} \Sigma_{1}^{-1}, \\
& \Sigma_{1}= E\left(X_{1} X_{1}^{\tau}\right)-E\left(\Phi^{\tau}\left(T_{1}\right) \Gamma^{-1}\left(T_{1}\right) \Phi\left(T_{1}\right)\right), \\
& \Sigma_{2}= A^{\tau}\left(A \Sigma_{1}^{-1} A^{\tau}\right)^{-1} A, \\
& \Lambda= E\left(\varepsilon_{1}-u_{1}^{\tau} \alpha\left(T_{1}\right)-\eta_{1}^{\tau} \beta\right)^{2} \Sigma_{1}+E\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\eta_{1}^{\tau} \beta\right)^{2} E\left\{\Phi^{\tau}\left(T_{1}\right) \Gamma^{-1}\left(T_{1}\right) \Sigma_{u} \Gamma^{-1}\left(T_{1}\right) \Phi\left(T_{1}\right)\right\} \\
&+E\left\{\Phi^{\tau}\left(T_{1}\right) \Gamma^{-1}\left(T_{1}\right)\left(u_{1} u_{1}^{\tau}-\Sigma_{u}\right) \alpha\left(T_{1}\right)\right\}^{\otimes 2}+E\left(\varepsilon_{1}-u_{1}^{\tau} \alpha\left(T_{1}\right)\right)^{2} \Sigma_{\eta} \\
&+E\left\{\left(\eta_{1} \eta_{1}^{\tau}-\Sigma_{\eta}\right) \beta \beta^{\tau}\left(\eta_{1} \eta_{1}^{\tau}-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right\}, \\
& A^{\otimes 2} \text { means } A A^{\tau} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2. Assume that the conditions A1-A5 hold, Then

$$
\sqrt{n h}\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{r}(T)-\alpha(T)-\frac{1}{2} h^{2} \frac{\mu_{2}^{2}-\mu_{1} \mu_{3}}{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}} \alpha^{\prime \prime}(T)\right) \rightarrow_{L} N(0, \Delta)
$$

where $\Delta=\frac{\mu_{2}^{2} v_{0}-2 \mu_{1} \mu_{2} v_{1}+\mu_{1}^{2} v_{2}}{\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}} f(T)^{-1} \Sigma^{*} ; \Sigma^{*}=\Gamma^{-1}(T)\left[E\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\eta_{1}^{\tau} \beta\right)^{2} \Gamma(T)+E\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\eta_{1}^{\tau} \beta\right)^{2} \Sigma_{u}\right.$ $\left.+E\left\{\xi_{1} \alpha(T) \alpha^{\tau}(T) \xi_{1}^{\tau}\right\}\right] \Gamma^{-1}(T) ; \xi_{1}=\Sigma_{u}-u_{1} u_{1}^{\tau}-Z_{1} u_{1}^{\tau}$.

## 4 Simulation

We illustrate the proposed method through a simulated example. The data are gener-
ated from the following model

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\sin (32 t) X_{1}+2 Z_{1}+3 Z_{2}+\varepsilon, V_{1}=X_{1}+\eta_{1}, W_{1}=Z_{1}+u_{1}, W_{2}=Z_{2}+u_{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{1} \sim N(5,1), Z_{1} \sim N(1,1), Z_{2} \sim N(1,1), \eta_{1} \sim N(0,0.16), u_{1} \sim N(0,0.25), u_{2} \sim$ $N(0,0.25)$.To gain an idea of the effect of the distribution of the error on our results, we take the following two different types of the error distribution,(1) $\varepsilon \sim N(0,0.16),(2) \varepsilon \sim$ $U(-1,1)$.The kernel function $K(x)=\frac{3}{4}\left(1-x^{2}\right) I_{|x| \leq 1}$ and bandwidth $h=\frac{1}{40}$ are used in our simulation studies,respectively.

For model (4.1) with restriction condition $\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}=5$, We compare the performance of the unrestricted estimator with that of the restricted estimator in terms of sample mean (Mean), sample standard deviation (SD) and sample mean squared error (MSE). Simulations with sample size $n=100,200$. The simulation results are presented in Table 1. We can find that all the estimators of parameters are close to the true value. As the sample size increases, the biases, standard deviation and sample mean squared error of all the estimators decrease. It is noted that in all the scenarios we studied, the restricted corrected profile least-squares estimator of the parametric component outperforms the corresponding unrestricted estimator. The results are robust to the choice of error distributions.

In addition, when the sample size is 200 , we plot the estimated curve of the nonparametric component in Figure 1,2. * indicate estimated value , and use solid-line curve indicate actual value.then , we found estimated results is fine.

Table 1: Finite sample performance of the restricted and unrestricted estimators

| $\beta$ | Error | n | Unrestricted |  |  | Restricted |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean | SD | MSE | Mean | SD | MSE |
| $\beta_{1}=2$ | $N\left(0,0.4^{2}\right)$ | 100 | 2.0441 | 0.0805 | 0.9984 | 2.0284 | 0.0547 | 0.0725 |
|  |  | 200 | 1.9666 | 0.0637 | 0.0307 | 2.0095 | 0.0376 | 0.0246 |
|  | $U(-1,1)$ | 100 | 2.0514 | 0.0742 | 0.0528 | 2.0468 | 0.0541 | 0.0237 |
|  |  | 200 | 1.9876 | 0.0652 | 0.0161 | 2.0109 | 0.0388 | 0.0129 |
| $\beta_{2}=3$ | $N\left(0,0.4^{2}\right)$ | 100 | 2.9262 | 0.0793 | 0.0865 | 2.9716 | 0.0547 | 0.0725 |
|  |  | 200 | 2.9459 | 0.0669 | 0.0377 | 2.9905 | 0.0376 | 0.0246 |
|  | $U(-1,1)$ | 100 | 2.9497 | 0.0824 | 0.0318 | 2.9532 | 0.0541 | 0.0237 |
|  |  | 200 | 2.9626 | 0.0679 | 0.0211 | 2.9891 | 0.0388 | 0.0129 |



$\sin (32 t)(\varepsilon U(-1,1))$

## 5 Proof

Lemma 1. Suppose that the conditions (A1)-(A5) hold, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{T \in \Im}\left|\frac{1}{n h} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{T_{i}-T}{h}\right)\left(\frac{T_{i}-T}{h}\right)^{k} Z_{i j_{1}} Z_{i j_{2}}-f(T) \Gamma_{j_{1} j_{2}}(T) \mu_{k}\right|=O\left(h^{2}+c_{n}\right) \text { a.s., } \\
& \sup _{T \in \Im}\left|\frac{1}{n h} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{T_{i}-T}{h}\right)\left(\frac{T_{i}-T}{h}\right)^{k} Z_{i j} \varepsilon_{i}\right|=O\left(c_{n}\right) \text { a.s. }, \\
& \sup _{T \in \Im}\left|\frac{1}{n h} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{T_{i}-T}{h}\right)\left(\frac{T_{i}-T}{h}\right)^{k} Z_{i j} u_{i j}\right|=O\left(c_{n}\right) \text { a.s. },
\end{aligned}
$$

where $j, j_{1}, j_{2}=1, \cdots, q ; k=0,1,2,3$. The proof of Lemma 1 can be found in Xia[6].

Lemma 2. Suppose that the conditions (A1)-(A5) hold, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{W}-\Omega=n f(T) \Gamma(T) \otimes\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \mu_{1} \\
\mu_{1} & \mu_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left\{1+O_{p}\left(c_{n}\right)\right\} \\
& \left(D_{T}^{W}\right) \omega_{T} V=n f(T) \Phi(T) \otimes\left(1, \mu_{1}\right)^{\tau}\left\{1+O_{p}\left(c_{n}\right)\right\} \\
& \left(D_{T}^{W}\right) \omega_{T} W=n f(T) \Gamma(T) \otimes\left(1, \mu_{1}\right)^{\tau}\left\{1+O_{p}\left(c_{n}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of Lemma 2 is similar to that of Lemma A. 2 in Wang[3]. We here omit the detail.

Lemma 3. Let $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{n}$ be independent and identically distributed random variables.If $E\left|G_{i}\right|^{s}$ is bounded for $s>1$, then $\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|G_{i}\right|^{s}=o\left(n^{1 / s}\right)$ a.s. .

The proof of Lemma 3 can be found in Shi[13]. We here omit the detail.

Lemma 4. Suppose that the conditions (A1)-(A5) hold, then

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right\} \rightarrow E\left(X_{1} X_{1}^{\tau}\right)-E\left(\Phi^{\tau}\left(T_{1}\right) \Gamma^{-1}\left(T_{1}\right) \Phi\left(T_{1}\right)\right) \text { a.s. }
$$

The proof of Lemma 4 is similar to that of Lemma 7.2 in Fan[12]. We here omit the detail.

Lemma 5. Assume that the conditions A1-A5 hold, Then the estimator $\hat{\beta}$ of $\beta$ is asymptotically normal,namely,

$$
\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}-\beta) \rightarrow_{L} N\left(0, \Sigma_{1}^{-1} \Lambda \Sigma_{1}^{-1}\right)
$$

where $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Lambda$ are defined in Theorem 1.

Proof. By (2.9), we have

$$
\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}-\beta)=\sqrt{n}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right\}^{-1}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\tilde{V}_{i}\left(\tilde{Y}_{i}-\tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau} \beta\right)-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i}(Y-V \beta)+\Sigma_{\eta} \beta\right]\right\}
$$

By Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\tilde{V}_{i}\left(\tilde{Y}_{i}-\tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau} \beta\right)-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i}(Y-V \beta)+\Sigma_{\eta} \beta\right]\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[X_{i}-\Phi^{\tau}\left(T_{i}\right) \Gamma^{-1}\left(T_{i}\right) Z_{i}\right]\left[\varepsilon_{i}-u_{i}^{\tau} \alpha\left(T_{i}\right)-\eta_{i}^{\tau} \beta\right]-\Phi^{\tau}\left(T_{i}\right) \Gamma^{-1}\left(T_{i}\right) u_{i}\left(\varepsilon_{i}-\eta_{i}^{\tau} \beta\right)\right. \\
& \quad+\Phi^{\tau}\left(T_{i}\right) \Gamma^{-1}\left(T_{i}\right)\left(u_{i} u_{i}^{\tau}-\Sigma_{u}\right) \alpha\left(T_{i}\right)+\eta_{i}\left(\varepsilon_{i}-u_{i}^{\tau} \alpha\left(T_{i}\right)\right)-\left(\eta_{i} \eta_{i}^{\tau}-\Sigma_{\eta}\right) \beta+o_{p}(1) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} J_{i n}+o_{p}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(J_{i n}\right)= & E\left\{\left[\varepsilon_{i}-u_{i}^{\tau} \alpha\left(T_{i}\right)-\eta_{i}^{\tau} \beta\right]\left[X_{i}-\Phi^{\tau}\left(T_{i}\right) \Gamma^{-1}\left(T_{i}\right) Z_{i}\right]\right\}^{\otimes 2}+E\left\{\Phi^{\tau}\left(T_{i}\right) \Gamma^{-1}\left(T_{i}\right) u_{i}\right. \\
& \left.\left(\varepsilon_{i}-\eta_{i}^{\tau} \beta\right)\right\}^{\otimes 2}+E\left\{\Phi^{\tau}\left(T_{i}\right) \Gamma^{-1}\left(T_{i}\right)\left(u_{i} u_{i}^{\tau}-\Sigma_{u}\right) \alpha\left(T_{i}\right)\right\}^{\otimes 2}+E\left\{\eta_{i}\left(\varepsilon_{i}-u_{i}^{\tau} \alpha\left(T_{i}\right)\right)\right\}^{\otimes 2} \\
& +E\left\{\left(\eta_{i} \eta_{i}^{\tau}-\Sigma_{\eta}\right) \beta\right\}^{\otimes 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Cov}\left(J_{i n}\right)= & E\left(\varepsilon_{1}-u_{1}^{\tau} \alpha\left(T_{1}\right)-\eta_{1}^{\tau} \beta\right)^{2} \Sigma_{1}+E\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\eta_{1}^{\tau} \beta\right)^{2} E\left\{\Phi^{\tau}\left(T_{1}\right) \Gamma^{-1}\left(T_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\Sigma_{u} \Gamma^{-1}\left(T_{1}\right) \Phi\left(T_{1}\right)\right\}+E\left\{\Phi^{\tau}\left(T_{1}\right) \Gamma^{-1}\left(T_{1}\right)\left(u_{1} u_{1}^{\tau}-\Sigma_{u}\right) \alpha\left(T_{1}\right)\right\}^{\otimes 2} \\
& +E\left(\varepsilon_{1}-u_{1}^{\tau} \alpha\left(T_{1}\right)\right)^{2} \Sigma_{\eta}+E\left\{\left(\eta_{1} \eta_{1}^{\tau}-\Sigma_{\eta}\right) \beta \beta^{\tau}\left(\eta_{1} \eta_{1}^{\tau}-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4, and central limit theorem, Slutsky theorem, we have

$$
\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}-\beta) \rightarrow_{L} N\left(0, \Sigma_{1}^{-1} \Lambda \Sigma_{1}^{-1}\right)
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. We first denote that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{0} & =: I-\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right\}^{-1} A^{\tau}\left\{A\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right]^{-1} A^{\tau}\right\}^{-1} A \\
& =I-\left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right\}^{-1} A^{\tau}\left\{A\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right]^{-1} A^{\tau}\right\}^{-1} A,
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 4, we obtain

$$
J_{0} \xrightarrow{P} I-\Sigma_{1}^{-1} A^{\tau}\left[A \Sigma_{1}^{-1} A^{\tau}\right]^{-1} A=: J,
$$

By (2.16) , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta}_{r}-\beta= & \left\{I-\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right\}^{-1} A^{\tau}\left\{A \left[\sum _ { i = 1 } ^ { n } \left(\tilde{V}_{i} \tilde{V}_{i}^{\tau}\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.-V^{\tau} Q_{i}^{\tau} \Sigma_{u} Q_{i} V-\Sigma_{\eta}\right)\right]^{-1} A^{\tau}\right\}^{-1} A\right\}(\hat{\beta}-\beta) \\
= & J(\hat{\beta}-\beta)+\left(J_{0}-J\right)(\hat{\beta}-\beta),
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $J_{0}-J=o_{p}(1)$ and $\hat{\beta}-\beta=O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$.It is easy to check that

$$
\left(J_{0}-J\right)(\hat{\beta}-\beta)=o_{p}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) .
$$

Invoking the Slutsky theorem and Lemma 5,we obtain the desired result.

Proof of Theorem 2. For $T_{i}$ in a small neighborhood of $T$, and let $\left|T_{i}-T\right|<h$, we can approximate $\alpha\left(T_{i}\right)$ by the following Taylor expansion

$$
\alpha\left(T_{i}\right) \approx \alpha(T)+\alpha^{\prime}(T)\left(T_{i}-T\right)+\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime}\left(T_{i}-T\right)^{2}+o_{p}\left(h^{2}\right),
$$

Then, we have

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{c}
Z_{1}^{\tau} \alpha\left(T_{1}\right) \\
\vdots \\
Z_{n}^{\tau} \alpha\left(T_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right)=D_{T}^{Z}\binom{\alpha(T)}{h \alpha^{\prime}(T)}+\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} Z_{1}^{\tau} \alpha^{\prime \prime}\left(T_{1}\right)\left(T_{1}-T\right)^{2} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{2} Z_{n}^{\tau} \alpha^{\prime \prime}\left(T_{n}\right)\left(T_{n}-T\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right),
$$

By the expression of $M$, it is easy to see that

$$
\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} M=\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{Z}\binom{\alpha(T)}{h \alpha^{\prime}(T)}+\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} \Psi_{T} Z \alpha^{\prime \prime}(T)+o_{p}\left(h^{2}\right),
$$

where $\Psi_{T}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\left(\left(T_{1}-T\right) / h\right)^{2}, \cdots,\left(\left(T_{n}-T\right) / h\right)^{2}\right\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{Z}\binom{\alpha(T)}{h \alpha^{\prime}(T)}=\left\{\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{W}-\Omega\right\}\binom{\alpha(T)}{h \alpha^{\prime}(T)}+\left\{-\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{u}+\Omega\right\}\binom{\alpha(T)}{h \alpha^{\prime}(T)} . \\
& \left\{\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{W}-\Omega\right\}^{-1}\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} \Psi_{T} Z \alpha^{\prime \prime}(T)=\frac{1}{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}}\binom{\left(\mu_{2}^{2}-\mu_{1} \mu_{3}\right) \alpha^{\prime \prime}(T)}{\left(\mu_{3}-\mu_{1} \mu_{2}\right) \alpha^{\prime \prime}(T)}\{1+o(1)\} \text { a.s. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall the definition of $\tilde{\alpha}_{r}(T)$ in (2.16), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\alpha}_{r}(T)= & \left(I_{q} 0_{q}\right)\left\{\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{W}-\Omega\right\}^{-1}\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T}\left(Y-V \hat{\beta}_{r}\right) \\
= & \left(I_{q} 0_{q}\right)\left\{\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{W}-\Omega\right\}^{-1}\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} M \\
& +\left(I_{q} 0_{q}\right)\left\{\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{W}-\Omega\right\}^{-1}\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} V\left(\beta-\hat{\beta}_{r}\right) \\
& +\left(I_{q} 0_{q}\right)\left\{\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{W}-\Omega\right\}^{-1}\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T}(\varepsilon-\eta \beta) \\
= & I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As mentioned above

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}= & \alpha(T)+\frac{1}{2} h^{2} \frac{\mu_{2}^{2}-\mu_{1} \mu_{3}}{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}} \alpha^{\prime \prime}(T)+\left(I_{q} 0_{q}\right)\left\{\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{W}-\Omega\right\}^{-1}\left\{-\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{u}+\Omega\right\}\binom{\alpha(T)}{h \alpha^{\prime}(T)} \\
& +o_{p}\left(h^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we can obtain

$$
\left(I_{q} 0_{q}\right)\left\{\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{W}-\Omega\right\}^{-1}\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T}\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} V=\Gamma^{-1}(T) \Phi(T)\left\{1+O_{p}\left(c_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

Invoking Theorem 1, we yield that

$$
\sqrt{n h} I_{2}=\sqrt{n h} \Gamma^{-1}(T) \Phi(T)\left\{1+O_{p}\left(c_{n}\right)\right\} O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)=o_{p}(1)
$$

Similar to that of $A 4 \sim A 6$ in [5], we have

$$
\sqrt{n h}\left\{\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{W}-\Omega\right\}^{-1}\left\{\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T}(\varepsilon-\eta \beta)+\left\{-\left(D_{T}^{W}\right)^{\tau} \omega_{T} D_{T}^{u}+\Omega\right\}\binom{\alpha(T)}{h \alpha^{\prime}(T)}\right\} \rightarrow_{L} N(0, \Xi)
$$

where, $\Sigma^{*}$ is defined in Theorem 2,and

$$
\Xi=f(T)^{-1} \Sigma^{*} \otimes_{\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mu_{2}^{2} \nu_{0}-2 \mu_{1} \mu_{2} \nu_{1}+\mu_{1}^{2} \nu_{2} & \left(\mu_{1}^{2}+\mu_{2}\right) \nu_{1}-\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \nu_{0}-\mu_{1} \nu_{2} \\
\left(\mu_{1}^{2}+\mu_{2}\right) \nu_{1}-\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \nu_{0}-\mu_{1} \nu_{2} & \nu_{2}-\mu_{1}\left(2 \nu_{1}+\mu_{1} \nu_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

As mentioned above

$$
\sqrt{n h}\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{r}(T)-\alpha(T)-\frac{1}{2} h^{2} \frac{\mu_{2}^{2}-\mu_{1} \mu_{3}}{\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}} \alpha^{\prime \prime}(T)\right) \rightarrow_{L} N(0, \Delta)
$$
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