

Application of Embedded Perturbed Chebyshev Integral Collocation Method for Nonlinear Second-order Multi-point Boundary Value Problems

A.O. Adewumi¹ and O.M. Ogunlaran²

Abstract

Many problems in theory of elastic stability and kinetic reactions lead to nonlinear multi-point boundary value problems. Therefore in this paper, we present Embedded Perturbed Chebyshev Integral Collocation Method for solving nonlinear second-order multi-point boundary value problems. The approaches in this work are of two-fold: First, we employed Newton-Raphson-Kantorovich linearization procedure to linearise the problems before solving them. Second, we solved the nonlinear systems directly without linearization by Newton's method to obtain the unknown coefficients. Our investigations showed that the second approach produced better results than Newton-Raphson-Kantorovich linearization approach.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 65L10; 65L60

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Ilorin, Nigeria.

E-mail: adebayoolusegun2006@yahoo.ca

² Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Bowen University, Nigeria.

E-mail: dothew2002@yahoo.com

Keywords: Chebyshev approximation; Multi-point boundary value problems; Newton's linearization scheme; Nonlinear problems

1 Introduction

Multi-point boundary value problems play important role in many fields especially in science and engineering. They occur in a wide variety of problems including modeling of railway systems, construction of large bridges with many supports and problems arising from electric power networks. Several numerical methods have been developed and used to approximate the solution of multi-point boundary value problems. Some of these methods are Homotopy Perturbation Method [1], Reproducing Kernel Method [2, 3], Adomain Decomposition Method [4], the Shooting Method [5, 6], Weighted Residual Method [7], Homotopy Perturbation and Variation Iteration Method [8].

In this work, we consider the nonlinear second-order multi-point boundary value problem of the form [3]

$$u''(x) + g(u, u') = f(x), 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad (1)$$

$$u(0) = \alpha, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i u(\eta_i) + \gamma, \quad (2)$$

where $\eta_i \in (0, 1)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$, α and γ are constants.

The main aim of this paper is to develop a new algorithm named Embedded Perturbed Chebyshev Integral Collocation Method (EPCICM) for solving the nonlinear second-order multi-point boundary value problems of the type (1)-(2). The new method is applied by using two approaches. In the first approach, the Newton-Raphson-Kantorovich linearization process is employed to linearise the nonlinear problem after which the function u and its derivatives are replaced with their corresponding integrated Chebyshev polynomials. In the second approach, the problem is handled without linearization and this resulted into a system of nonlinear algebraic equations which are solved using the Newton's method.

2 Preliminary Notes

2.1 Chebyshev Polynomials

The Chebyshev Polynomials of the first kind are polynomials in x of degree n , defined by the relation:

$$T_n(x) = \cos(n\theta), \text{ when } x = \cos \theta. \quad (3)$$

The Chebyshev polynomials can be determined with the aid of the following recurrence formula:

$$T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x), n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4)$$

together with the initial conditions

$$T_0(x) = 1, \quad T_1(x) = x. \quad (5)$$

In order to use these polynomials on the interval $[0,1]$, we define shifted Chebyshev polynomials by introducing the change of variable $x = 2x - 1$. The shifted Chebyshev polynomial is denoted by $T_n^*(x)$ and $T_n^*(x) = T_n(2x - 1)$. Thus, we have

$$T_0^*(x) = 1, \quad T_1^*(x) = 2x - 1, \quad (6)$$

and the recurrence relation for shifted Chebyshev polynomials in $[0,1]$ is given by

$$T_{n+1}^*(x) = 2(2x - 1)T_n^*(x) - T_{n-1}^*(x), n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (7)$$

3 Main Results

3.1 Description of EPCICM

To solve problem (1) with the boundary conditions (2), the second-order derivative is sought in truncated Chebyshev series form with perturbation term

added and then integrated twice to obtain expressions for first-order derivative and the function u itself. The process is as follows:

Let

$$\frac{d^2u(x)}{dx^2} = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n T_n(x) + \chi_v H_N(x). \quad (8)$$

Integrating (8) successively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du(x)}{dx} &= \sum_{n=0}^N a_n \int T_n(x) dx + \chi_v \int H_N(x) dx + c_1 \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N+1} \delta_{n,1} \phi_n^{[1]}(x) + \chi_v \psi^{[1]}(x) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \sum_{i=n}^N a_n \int \phi_n^{[1]}(x) dx + \chi_v \int \psi^{[1]}(x) dx + c_1 x + c_2 \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N+2} \delta_{n,0} \phi_n^{[0]}(x) + \chi_v \psi^{[0]}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\text{where } \chi_v = \begin{cases} 1, & v = 2 \\ 0, & v \neq 2 \end{cases}, \text{ and } H_N(x) = \tau_1 T_N(x) + \tau_2 T_{N-1}(x).$$

Substituting equations (8)- (10) into equation (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=0}^N a_n T_n(x) + \chi_v H_N(x) \\ &+ g \left(\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N+2} \delta_{n,0} \phi_n^{[0]}(x) + \chi_v \psi^{[0]}(x) \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N+1} \delta_{n,1} \phi_n^{[1]}(x) + \chi_v \psi^{[1]}(x) \right) \right) = f(x). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Thus collocating equation (11) at point $x = x_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=0}^N a_n T_n(x_j) + \chi_v H_N(x_j) \\ &+ g \left(\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N+2} \delta_{n,0} \phi_n^{[0]}(x_j) + \chi_v \psi^{[0]}(x_j) \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N+1} \delta_{n,1} \phi_n^{[1]}(x_j) + \chi_v \psi^{[1]}(x_j) \right) \right) = f(x_j), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$x_j = a + \frac{(b-a)j}{N+4}, j = 1, 2, \dots, N+3. \quad (13)$$

Thus, equation (12) gives a system of $(N+3)$ linear or nonlinear algebraic equations in $(N+5)$ unknown constants. Extra two equations are obtained from the boundary conditions. Altogether, we have a system of $(N+5)$ linear or nonlinear algebraic equations. These $(N+5)$ algebraic equations are solved by using Gaussian elimination method for linear case while Newton's method is employed for nonlinear case to obtain the unknown coefficients. These coefficients are then substituted into equation (10) to obtain the approximate solution.

3.2 Numerical Examples

Here, to show the effectiveness, applicability and validity of our proposed method, we consider three examples.

Example 1:

Consider the nonlinear multi-point boundary value problem [3]

$$u''(x) + \frac{x^2(1-x)}{2}u'(x) + u^2(x) = f(x) \quad (14)$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \left(\frac{1}{1+i} \right) u\left(\frac{i}{5}\right) + 0.708667 \quad (15)$$

with the exact solution $u(x) = x^2$, where $f(x) = x^3 + 2$.

Method 1: Linearisation Approach

The nonlinear multi-point boundary value problem (14) is linearised by the Newton-Raphson-Kantorovich technique to obtain:

$$u''_{k+1}(x) + 2u_k(x)u_{k+1} + \frac{1}{2}x^2(1-x)u'_k(x) - (u_k(x))^2 = x^3 + 2, k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (16)$$

subject to the boundary conditions:

$$u_{k+1}(0) = 0, \quad u_{k+1}(1) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \left(\frac{1}{1+i} \right) u_{k+1}\left(\frac{i}{5}\right) + 0.708667. \quad (17)$$

Using the initial approximation

$$u_0(x) = -0.099793138x + x^2 + \frac{1}{20}x^5,$$

we obtain the following approximate solution after four iterations (i.e $k = 3$) for the case $N = 4$:

$$u(x) = 0.0000009816368107x + 0.9999970673x^2 + 0.00003165830867x^3 \\ - 0.00008025332007x^4 + 0.00007761704736x^5 - 0.00002606288578x^6$$

Method 2: Nonlinearisation Approach

In this case, we solved Problem (14) together with its boundary conditions (15) directly by using our proposed method which eventually resulted to a system of nonlinear algebraic equations. These equations are solved by using Newton's method to obtain the unknown coefficients. Thus, for the case $N = 2$ we obtain $u(x) = x^2$ which is the exact solution.

Table 1 compares the absolute errors in numerical results by our Method 1 and method [3].

Table 1: Comparison of Absolute Errors for Example 1

x	Exact Solution	Das et al [3]	Method I
0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
0.1	0.0100	-	9.3220E-08
0.2	0.0400	2.0000E-07	2.2705E-07
0.3	0.0900	-	4.0488E-07
0.4	0.1600	4.0000E-07	5.8310E-07
0.5	0.2500	-	7.1740E-07
0.6	0.3600	6.0000E-07	7.9010E-07
0.7	0.4900	-	8.1890E-07
0.8	0.6400	8.0000E-07	8.4710E-07
0.9	0.8100	-	9.1390E-07
1.0	1.0000	1.0000E-06	1.0090E-06

Example 2:

Consider the following nonlinear multi-point boundary value problem [3]

$$u''(x) + xu(x)u'(x) - 2u(x) = f(x) \quad (18)$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \left(\frac{1}{1+i} \right) u\left(\frac{i}{5}\right) + 0.252 \quad (19)$$

with exact solution $u(x) = x(1 - x)$, where $f(x) = x^3 - x^2 + 2$

Method 1: Linearisation Approach

The linearised form of equation (18) is given as:

$$\begin{aligned} u''_{k+1}(x) + 2(u_k(x))^2 + x(u'_{k+1}u_k(x) + u_{k+1}(x)u'_k(x) - u'_k(x)u_k(x)) \\ - 4u_{k+1}(x)u_k(x) = x^3 - x^2 + 2 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

subject to the boundary conditions:

$$u_{k+1}(0) = 0, \quad u_{k+1}(1) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \left(\frac{1}{1+i} \right) u_{k+1} \left(\frac{i}{5} \right) + 0.252 \quad (21)$$

Similarly, using the initial approximation

$$u_0(x) = -0.9398765936x + x^2 - \frac{1}{12}x^4 + \frac{1}{20}x^4 + \frac{1}{20}x^5,$$

we obtain the following approximate solution after fifth iteration ($k = 4$) for the case $N = 4$:

$$\begin{aligned} u_5(x) = -1.000000114x + 0.9999995128x^2 + 0.000003160165775x^3 \\ - 0.000004743845332x^4 + 0.000002528617272x^5 - 0.0000003597136402x^6 \end{aligned}$$

Method 2: Nonlinearisation Approach

By following the same procedure in Example 1, we obtain a system of 5 nonlinear algebraic equations from equation (18) for the case $N = 2$. Extra 2 equations are obtained from the boundary conditions (19). Thus, seven nonlinear algebraic equations are solved simultaneously by using Newton's method to obtain the unknown coefficients in the approximate solution. Finally, substituting these values into (10) when $N = 2$, we obtain $u(x) = x(x - 1)$ which is the exact solution to this problem.

Example 3:

Consider the nonlinear multi-point boundary value problem [3]

$$u''(x) + u(x)u'(x) = f(x) \quad (22)$$

Table 2: Comparison of Absolute Errors for Example 2

x	Exact Solution	Das et al [3]	Method I
0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
0.1	-0.0900	-	1.3560E-08
0.2	-0.1600	2.0000E-07	2.3800E-08
0.3	-0.2100	-	2.5300E-08
0.4	-0.2400	4.0000E-07	1.8300E-08
0.5	-0.2500	-	6.9000E-09
0.6	-0.2400	6.0000E-07	3.8000E-09
0.7	-0.2100	-	9.1000E-09
0.8	-0.1600	8.0000E-07	6.2000E-09
0.9	-0.0900	-	3.9000E-09
1.0	0.0000	0.0000	1.6800E-08

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \left(\frac{1}{1+i} \right) u \left(\frac{i}{5} \right) + 0.3277 \quad (23)$$

with exact solution $u(x) = \sin x$, when $f(x) = (\cos x - 1) \sin x$

Using the same procedures discussed in Examples 1 and 2, we obtain the following approximate solutions for linearised approach when $N = 6$, $k = 1$ and nonlinearised approach when $N = 6$, respectively

$$u_2(x) = 1.000000533x + 0.0000194625x^2 - 0.1668681082x^3 + 0.00053786012x^4 \\ + 0.007784932282x^5 + 0.0001943941854x^6 - 0.0001964096722x^7$$

and

$$u(x) = x - 0.000000842679x^2 - 0.166657638920005x^3 - 0.0000399488764x^4 \\ + 0.008387154885641x^5 - 0.0000153843780x^6 \\ - 0.0002210499975x^7 + 0.00001706990995x^8$$

Table 3: Comparison of Absolute Errors for Example 3

x	Exact Solution	Das et al [3]	Method I	Method II
0	0.0000000000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
0.1	0.0998334167	-	6.3123E-07	6.2957E-07
0.2	0.1986693308	0.00005	1.2595E-06	1.2555E-06
0.3	0.2955202067	-	1.8654E-06	1.8573E-06
0.4	0.3894183423	0.00010	2.4269E-06	2.4115E-06
0.5	0.4794255386	-	2.9339E-06	2.9092E-06
0.6	0.5646424734	0.00015	3.3908E-06	3.3566E-06
0.7	0.6442176872	-	3.8054E-06	3.7638E-06
0.8	0.7173560909	0.00020	4.1777E-06	4.1314E-06
0.9	0.7833269096	-	4.4964E-06	4.4462E-06
1.0	0.8414709848	0.00025	4.7538E-06	4.6995E-06

4 Conclusion

In this paper, an algorithm for obtaining numerical solution of nonlinear second-order multi-point boundary value problems is presented. The derivation of our proposed method is essentially based on Chebyshev integral collocation and the accuracy and applicability of the method were investigated by considering three examples. The numerical results showed that the accuracy of the obtained solutions is satisfactory and it is also observed that nonlinearised approach produced better results compared to linearized approach.

References

- [1] S.S. Siddiq and M. Iftikhar, Use of homotopy perturbation method for solving multi-point boundary value problems, *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, **7**(4), (2014), 778–785.
- [2] F. Geng, Solving singular second-order three-point boundary value problems using Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space Method, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **215**, (2009), 2095-2102.

- [3] S. Das, S. Kumar and O.P. Singh, Solutions of nonlinear second-order multi-point boundary value problems by homotopy perturbation method, *Application and Applied Mathematics: An International Journal*, **5**(2), (2010), 495–503.
- [4] M. Tatari and M. Dehghan, The use of Adomain decomposition for solving multi-point BVPs, *Physica Scripta*, **73**(6), (2006), 672–676.
- [5] M.K. Kwong, The shooting method and multiple solutions of two/multi-point BVPs of second-order ODE, *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, **6**, (2006), 1–14.
- [6] Y. Zou, Q. Hu and R. Zheng, On numerical studies of multi-point boundary value problem and its fold bifurcation, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **185**(1), (2007), 527–537.
- [7] M. Haque, M.H. Baluch and M.F.N. Mohsen, Solution of multi-point nonlinear boundary value problems by method of weighted residuals, *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, **18**(3), (1986), 341–354.
- [8] F.Z. Geng and M.G. Cui, Solving nonlinear multi-point boundary value problems by combining homotopy perturbation and variation iteration methods, *Int. J. Nonlin. Sci. Numer. Simul.*, **10**, (2009), 597–600.