

New characterizations of vector fields on Weil bundles

Borhen Vann Nkou¹, Basile Guy Richard Bossoto² and Eugene Okassa³

Abstract

Let M be a paracompact smooth manifold, A a Weil algebra and M^A the associated Weil bundle. In this paper, we give another definition and characterization of vector field on M^A .

Mathematics Subject Classification: 58A20; 58A32

Keywords: Weil bundle; near point; weil algebra; vector fields

1 Introduction

In what follows we denote A , a Weil algebra i.e a local algebra in the sense of André Weil, M a smooth manifold, $C^\infty(M)$ the algebra of smooth functions on M , M^A the manifold of infinitely near points of kind A and $\pi_M : M^A \longrightarrow M$ be

¹ Marien NGOUABI University, Faculty of science and technology, BP: 69, Brazzaville-Congo. E-mail: vannborhen@yahoo.fr

² Marien NGOUABI University, Faculty of science and technology, BP: 69, Brazzaville-Congo. E-mail: bossotob@yahoo.fr

³ Marien NGOUABI University, Faculty of science and technology, BP: 69, Brazzaville-Congo. E-mail: eugeneokassa@yahoo.fr

the projection which assigns every infinitely near point to $x \in M$ to its origin x . The triplet (M^A, π_M, M) defines a bundle called bundle of infinitely near points on M of kind A or simply weil bundle [13], [7], [8], [5], [12]. If $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, then the application

$$f^A : M^A \rightarrow A, \xi \mapsto \xi(f)$$

is also smooth. The set, $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ of smooth functions on M^A with values on A , is a commutative algebra over A with unit and the application

$$C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A), f \mapsto f^A$$

is an injective homomorphism of algebras. Then, for $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (f + g)^A &= f^A + g^A; \\ (\lambda \cdot f)^A &= \lambda \cdot f^A; \\ (f \cdot g)^A &= f^A \cdot g^A. \end{aligned}$$

The map

$$A \times C^\infty(M^A) \rightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A), (a, F) \mapsto a \cdot F : \xi \mapsto a \cdot F(\xi)$$

is bilinear and induces one and only one linear map

$$\sigma : A \otimes C^\infty(M^A) \rightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A).$$

When $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha=1,2,\dots,\dim A}$ is a basis of A and when $(a_\alpha^*)_{\alpha=1,2,\dots,\dim A}$ is a dual basis of the basis $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha=1,2,\dots,\dim A}$, the application

$$\sigma^{-1} : C^\infty(M^A, A) \rightarrow A \otimes C^\infty(M^A), \varphi \mapsto \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\dim A} a_\alpha \otimes (a_\alpha^* \circ \varphi)$$

is an isomorphism of A -algebras. That isomorphism does not depend of a choisen basis and the application

$$\gamma : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow A \otimes C^\infty(M^A), f \mapsto \sigma^{-1}(f^A),$$

is a homomorphism of algebras.

If (U, φ) is a local chart of M with coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) , the map

$$\varphi^A : U^A \rightarrow A^n, \xi \mapsto (\xi(x_1), \dots, \xi(x_n))$$

is a bijection from U^A onto an open set of A^n . In addition, if $(U_i, \varphi_i)_{i \in I}$ is an atlas of M^A , then $(U_i^A, \varphi_i^A)_{i \in I}$ is also an atlas of M^A [2].

2 Another definition of a vector field on Weil bundles

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension n , A a Weil algebra and M^A a Weil bundle associated. In this paper, we give another characterization of vector fields on M^A . We show that, the tangent bundle TM^A is locally trivial with typical fiber A^n ; we also give a writing of a vector field on M^A , in coordinate neighborhood system. Moreover, we verify easily that the $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ -module $\mathfrak{X}(M^A)$ of vector field on M^A is a Lie algebra over A .

2.1 Tangent vectors at M^A

Let $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha=1, \dots, \dim A}$ be a basis of the Weil algebra A . For any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, we have

$$\varphi = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\dim A} a_\alpha^* \circ \varphi \cdot a_\alpha.$$

When $\xi \in M^A$, the map

$$\tilde{\xi} : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow A, \varphi \longmapsto \varphi(\xi),$$

is a homomorphism of A -algebras.

We denote $Der_{A, \tilde{\xi}}[C^\infty(M^A, A), A]$ the set of $\tilde{\xi}$ -derivations which are A -linear i.e. the set of maps

$$v : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow A$$

such that

1. v is A -linear;
2. $v(\varphi \cdot \psi) = v(\varphi) \cdot \tilde{\xi}(\psi) + \tilde{\xi}(\varphi) \cdot v(\psi) = v(\varphi) \cdot \psi(\xi) + \varphi(\xi) \cdot v(\psi)$, for any $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$.

Proposition 2.1. *For any $\xi \in M^A$, $Der_{A, \tilde{\xi}}[C^\infty(M^A, A), A]$ is a module over A .*

Theorem 2.2. *For any $\xi \in M^A$, the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. *A tangent vector at $\xi \in M^A$ is a \mathbb{R} -linear map*

$$u : C^\infty(M^A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that for any $F, G \in C^\infty(M^A)$,

$$u(F \cdot G) = u(F) \cdot G(\xi) + F(\xi) \cdot u(G);$$

2. *A tangent vector at $\xi \in M^A$ is an A -linear map*

$$v : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow A$$

such that for any $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$,

$$v(\varphi \cdot \psi) = v(\varphi) \cdot \psi(\xi) + \varphi(\xi) \cdot v(\psi);$$

3. *A tangent vector at $\xi \in M^A$ is a \mathbb{R} -linear map*

$$w : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow A$$

such that for any $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$w(f \cdot g) = w(f) \cdot \xi(g) + \xi(f) \cdot w(g).$$

Proof. 1. (1) \implies (2)

Let $u : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow A$ be a tangent vector at M^A and

$$v : C^\infty(M^A, A) \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}} A \otimes C^\infty(M^A) \xrightarrow{id_A \otimes u} A \otimes \mathbb{R} = A.$$

For any $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, and for $a \in A$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} v(\varphi + \psi) &= [(id_A \otimes u) \circ \sigma^{-1}] (\varphi + \psi) = [(id_A \otimes u)] (\sigma^{-1}(\varphi + \psi)) \\ &= [(id_A \otimes u)] (\sigma^{-1}(\varphi) + \sigma^{-1}(\psi)) \\ &= [(id_A \otimes u)] (\sigma^{-1}(\varphi)) + [(id_A \otimes u)] (\sigma^{-1}(\psi)) \\ &= [(id_A \otimes u) \circ \sigma^{-1}] (\varphi) + [(id_A \otimes u) \circ \sigma^{-1}] (\psi) \\ &= v(\varphi) + v(\psi), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
v(a \cdot \varphi) &= [(id_A \otimes u) \circ \sigma^{-1}] (a \cdot \varphi) \\
&= [(id_A \otimes u)] (\sigma^{-1}(a \cdot \varphi)) \\
&= [(id_A \otimes u)] (a \cdot \sigma^{-1}(\varphi)) \\
&= a \cdot [(id_A \otimes u)] (\sigma^{-1}(\varphi)) \\
&= a \cdot [(id_A \otimes u) \circ \sigma^{-1}] (\varphi) \\
&= a \cdot v(\varphi)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
v(\varphi \cdot \psi) &= [(id_A \otimes u) \circ \sigma^{-1}] (\varphi \cdot \psi) = [(id_A \otimes u)] (\sigma^{-1}(\varphi \cdot \psi)) \\
&= [(id_A \otimes u)] (\sigma^{-1}(\varphi) \cdot \sigma^{-1}(\psi)) \\
&= [(id_A \otimes u)] (\sigma^{-1}(\varphi)) \cdot \psi(\xi) + \varphi(\xi) \cdot [(id_A \otimes u)] (\sigma^{-1}(\psi)) \\
&= [(id_A \otimes u) \circ \sigma^{-1}] (\varphi) \cdot \psi(\xi) + \varphi(\xi) \cdot [(id_A \otimes u) \circ \sigma^{-1}] (\psi) \\
&= v(\varphi) \cdot \psi(\xi) + \varphi(\xi) \cdot v(\psi).
\end{aligned}$$

2. (2) \implies (3) Let $v : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow A$ be a tangent vector at $\xi \in M^A$.
Let

$$w : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A), f \longmapsto v(f^A).$$

For any $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, and for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
w(f + g) &= v [(f + g)^A] = v(f^A + g^A) = v(f^A) + v(g^A) \\
&= w(f) + w(g),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
w(\lambda f) &= v [(\lambda \cdot f)^A] = v(\lambda \cdot f^A) = \lambda \cdot v(f^A) \\
&= \lambda \cdot w(f).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
w(f \cdot g) &= v [(f \cdot g)^A] = v(f^A \cdot g^A) = v(f^A) \cdot g^A(\xi) + f^A(\xi) \cdot w(g^A) \\
&= w(f) \cdot \xi(g) + \xi(f) \cdot w(g).
\end{aligned}$$

3. (3) \implies (1) The implication holds from the following result: the map

$$Der_\xi [C^\infty(M^A), \mathbb{R}] \longrightarrow Der_\xi [C^\infty(M), A], v \longmapsto (id_A \otimes v) \circ \gamma,$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces see [8].

□

In what follows, we denote $T_\xi M^A$ the set of A -linear maps

$$v : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow A$$

such that for any $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$,

$$v(\varphi \cdot \psi) = v(\varphi) \cdot \psi(\xi) + \varphi(\xi) \cdot v(\psi)$$

that is to say

$$T_\xi M^A = \text{Der}_{A, \tilde{\xi}}[C^\infty(M^A, A), A].$$

Remark 2.3. For $v \in T_\xi M^A$, we have $v[C^\infty(M^A)] \subset \mathbb{R}$.

2.2 Vector fields on M^A

The set, $\text{Der}_A[C^\infty(M^A, A)]$, of derivations which are A -linear is a $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ -module.

Theorem 2.4. *The following assertions are equivalent:*

1. *A vector field on M^A is a differentiable section of the tangent bundle (TM^A, π_{M^A}, M^A) .*
2. *A vector field on M^A is a derivation of $C^\infty(M^A)$.*
3. *A vector field on M^A is a derivation of $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ which is A -linear.*
4. *A vector field on M^A is a linear map $X : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$ such that*

$$X(f \cdot g) = X(f) \cdot g^A + f^A \cdot X(g), \quad \text{for any } f, g \in C^\infty(M).$$

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Let $U : M^A \longrightarrow TM^A$ be a differential section of the tangent bundle (TM^A, π_{M^A}, M^A) .

1. Let

$$W : C^\infty(M^A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A)$$

such that $[W(F)](\xi) = [U(\xi)](F)$ for any $F \in C^\infty(M^A)$ and $\xi \in M^A$.

- For any $F, G \in C^\infty(M^A)$, and for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} [W(F + G)](\xi) &= [U(\xi)](F + G) = [U(\xi)](F) + [U(\xi)](G) \\ &= [W(F)](\xi) + [W(G)](\xi) \\ &= [W(F) + W(G)](\xi) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\xi \in M^A$, then $W(F + G) = W(F) + W(G)$;

$$\begin{aligned} [W(\lambda \cdot F)](\xi) &= [U(\xi)](\lambda \cdot F) = \lambda \cdot [W(F)](\xi) \\ &= [\lambda \cdot W(F)](\xi) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\xi \in M^A$, then $W(\lambda \cdot F) = \lambda \cdot W(F)$;

$$\begin{aligned} [W(F \cdot G)](\xi) &= [U(\xi)](F \cdot G) = [U(\xi)](F) \cdot G(\xi) + F(\xi) \cdot [U(\xi)](G) \\ &= [W(F)](\xi) \cdot G(\xi) + F(\xi) \cdot [W(G)](\xi) \\ &= [W(F) \cdot G + F \cdot W(G)](\xi) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\xi \in M^A$, then $W(F \cdot G) = W(F) \cdot G + F \cdot W(G)$.

2. (2) \implies (3) Let W be a vector field on M^A considered as a derivation of $C^\infty(M^A)$. Let

$$X : C^\infty(M^A, A) \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}} A \otimes C^\infty(M^A) \xrightarrow{id_A \otimes W} A \otimes C^\infty(M^A) \xrightarrow{\sigma} C^\infty(M^A, A).$$

For any $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} X(\varphi + \psi) &= [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W) \circ \sigma^{-1}](\varphi + \psi) = [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W)](\sigma^{-1}(\varphi + \psi)) \\ &= [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W)](\sigma^{-1}(\varphi) + \sigma^{-1}(\psi)) \\ &= [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W)](\sigma^{-1}(\varphi)) + \sigma \circ [(id_A \otimes W)](\sigma^{-1}(\psi)) \\ &= [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W) \circ \sigma^{-1}](\varphi) + [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W) \circ \sigma^{-1}](\psi) \\ &= X(\varphi) + X(\psi); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X(\lambda \cdot \varphi) &= [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W) \circ \sigma^{-1}](\lambda \cdot \varphi) = [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W)](\sigma^{-1}(\lambda \cdot \varphi)) \\ &= [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W)](\lambda \cdot \sigma^{-1}(\varphi)) = \lambda \cdot [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W)](\sigma^{-1}(\varphi)) \\ &= \lambda \cdot [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W) \circ \sigma^{-1}](\varphi) \\ &= \lambda \cdot X(\varphi); \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
X(\varphi \cdot \psi) &= [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W) \circ \sigma^{-1}] (\varphi \cdot \psi) \\
&= [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W)] (\sigma^{-1}(\varphi \cdot \psi)) \\
&= [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W)] (\sigma^{-1}(\varphi) \cdot \sigma^{-1}(\psi)) \\
&= [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W)] (\sigma^{-1}(\varphi)) \cdot \psi + \varphi \cdot [id_A \otimes W] (\sigma^{-1}(\psi)) \\
&= [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W) \circ \sigma^{-1}] (\varphi) \cdot \psi + \varphi \cdot [\sigma \circ (id_A \otimes W) \circ \sigma^{-1}] (\psi) \\
&= X(\varphi) \cdot \psi + \varphi \cdot X(\psi).
\end{aligned}$$

3. (3) \implies (4) Let X be a vector field on M^A considered as a derivation of $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ which is A -linear. Let

$$Y : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A), f \longmapsto f^A .$$

- For any $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(f + g) &= X \left[(f + g)^A \right] \\
&= X(f^A + g^A) \\
&= X(f^A) + X(g^A) \\
&= Y(f) + Y(g).
\end{aligned}$$

- For any $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(f \cdot g) &= X \left[(f \cdot g)^A \right] \\
&= X(f^A \cdot g^A) \\
&= X(f^A) \cdot g^A + X(g^A) \cdot f^A \\
&= Y(f) \cdot g^A + Y(g) \cdot f^A \\
&= Y(f) + Y(g).
\end{aligned}$$

4. (4) \implies (1) For that implication see, corollary 6 in [2].

$$Der_\xi [C^\infty(M^A), \mathbb{R}] \longrightarrow Der_\xi [C^\infty(M), A], v \longmapsto (id_A \otimes v) \circ \gamma,$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces see [8].

□

Remark 2.5. For any $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M^A)$, we have $X [C^\infty(M^A)] \subset C^\infty(M^A)$.

Theorem 2.6. The map

$$\mathfrak{X}(M^A) \times \mathfrak{X}(M^A) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M^A), (X, Y) \longmapsto [X, Y] = X \circ Y - Y \circ X$$

is skew-symmetric A -bilinear and defines a structure of A -Lie algebra over $\mathfrak{X}(M^A)$.

In all what follows, we denotes $\mathfrak{X}(M^A)$, the set of A -linear maps

$$X : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

such that

$$X(\varphi \cdot \psi) = X(\varphi) \cdot \psi + \varphi \cdot X(\psi), \quad \text{for any } \varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

that is to say

$$\mathfrak{X}(M^A) = \text{Der}_A[C^\infty(M^A, A)].$$

2.3 Prolongations to M^A of vector fields on M

Proposition 2.7. If $\theta : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$, is a vector field on M , then there exists one and only one A -linear derivation

$$\theta^A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A),$$

such that

$$\theta^A(f^A) = [\theta(f)]^A,$$

for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Proof. If $\theta : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$, is a vector field on M , then the map

$$C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A), f \longmapsto [\theta(f)]^A$$

is a vector field on M^A . Thus, according to the equivalent (2) \iff (3) of the theorem 2.1.2 , there exists one and only one vector field on M^A

$$\theta^A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A),$$

such that

$$\theta^A(f^A) = [\theta(f)]^A,$$

for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$. □

Proposition 2.8. *If $\theta, \theta_1, \theta_2$ are vector fields on M and if $f \in C^\infty(M)$, then we have:*

1. $(\theta_1 + \theta_2)^A = \theta_1^A + \theta_2^A$;
2. $(f \cdot \theta)^A = f^A \cdot \theta^A$;
3. $[\theta_1, \theta_2]^A = [\theta_1^A, \theta_2^A]$.

Corollary 2.9. *The map*

$$\mathfrak{X}(M) \longrightarrow \text{Der}_A[C^\infty(M^A, A)], \theta \longmapsto \theta^A$$

is an injective homomorphism of \mathbb{R} -Lie algebras.

Proposition 2.10. *If $\mu : A \longrightarrow A$, is a \mathbb{R} -endomorphism, and $\theta : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$ a vector field on M , then*

$$\theta^A(\mu \circ f^A) = \mu \circ [\theta(f)]^A,$$

for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

2.3.1 Vector fields on M^A deduced from derivations of A

Proposition 2.11. *If d is a derivation of A , then there exists one and only, one A -linear derivation*

$$d^* : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

such that

$$d^*(f^A) = (-d) \circ f^A,$$

for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Proof. If d is a derivation of A , then the map

$$C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A), f \longmapsto (-d) \circ f^A,$$

is a vector field on M^A . Thus, according to the equivalent (2) \iff (3) of the theorem 2.1.2, there exists one and only, one A -linear derivation

$$d^* : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

such that

$$d^*(f^A) = (-d) \circ f^A,$$

for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$. □

Proposition 2.12. *For any $f \in C^\infty(M)$,*

$$d^*(\mu \circ f^A) = -\mu \circ -d \circ f^A.$$

Proposition 2.13. *If d, d_1, d_2 are vector fields on M and if $f \in C^\infty(M)$, then we have:*

1. $(d_1 + d_2)^* = d_1^* + d_2^*$;
2. $(a \cdot d)^* = a \cdot d^*$;
3. $[d_1, d_2]^* = [d_1^*, d_2^*]$.
4. $[d^*, \theta^A] = 0$.

2.4 Vector field on M^A in local coordinate system

Let U is a coordinate neighborhood of M at x with coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) . Then according to [7], $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^A(\xi), \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^A(\xi), \dots, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^A(\xi)$ is an A -basis of an A -free module $T_\xi M^A$ of dimension n . For $v \in T_\xi M^A$, we have:

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^A(\xi).$$

Proposition 2.14. *There exists a canonical diffeomorphism*

$$\Theta : T(M^A) \longrightarrow TM^A, v \longmapsto \Theta(v),$$

such that, for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have:

1. $[\Theta(v)](f \circ \pi_M) = [\pi_{M^A}(v)](f)$.
2. $[\Theta(v)](df) = v(f^A)$.

Proof. Let

$$\Theta : T(M^A) \xrightarrow{\Theta_1} (M^A)^\mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\Theta_2} M^{A \otimes \mathbb{D}} \xrightarrow{\Theta_3} (M^\mathbb{D})^A \xrightarrow{\Theta_4} (M^A)^\mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\Theta_5} TM^A,$$

where

$$\Theta_1(v) : C^\infty(M^A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}, F \longmapsto F(\xi) + v(F) \cdot \varepsilon;$$

$$\Theta_2 : \Theta_1(v) \longmapsto (id_A \otimes \Theta_1(v)) \circ \gamma_A;$$

$$\Theta_3 : (id_A \otimes \Theta_1(v)) \circ \gamma_A \longmapsto \Theta_3 [(id_A \otimes \Theta_1(v)) \circ \gamma_A] : f \longmapsto \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\dim A} [\Theta_1(v)](a_\alpha^* \circ f^A) \otimes a_\alpha;$$

$$\Theta_4 : \Theta_3 [(id_A \otimes \Theta_1(v)) \circ \gamma_A] \longmapsto \eta$$

such that

$$(id_\mathbb{D} \otimes \eta) \circ \gamma_\mathbb{D} = \Theta_3 [(id_A \otimes \Theta_1(v)) \circ \gamma_A].$$

Thus for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have:

$$[(id_\mathbb{D} \otimes \eta) \circ \gamma_\mathbb{D}](f) = \Theta_3 [(id_A \otimes \Theta_1(v)) \circ \gamma_A](f)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \otimes \eta(1^* \circ f^\mathbb{D}) + \varepsilon \otimes \eta(\varepsilon^* \circ f^\mathbb{D}) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\dim A} [\Theta_1(v)](a_\alpha^* \circ f^A) \otimes a_\alpha \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\dim A} a_\alpha \otimes (a_\alpha^* \circ f^A)(\xi) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\dim A} a_\alpha \otimes v[(a_\alpha^* \circ f^A) \cdot \varepsilon] \\ &= 1 \otimes \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\dim A} a_\alpha^* [f^A(\xi)] \cdot a_\alpha \right) + \varepsilon \otimes \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\dim A} v[(a_\alpha^* \circ f^A) \cdot a_\alpha] \\ &= 1 \otimes \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\dim A} a_\alpha^* [\xi(f)] \cdot a_\alpha \right) + \varepsilon \otimes v(f^A), \end{aligned}$$

then the identification

$$\eta(1^* \circ f^{\mathbb{D}}) = \xi(f)$$

and

$$\eta(\varepsilon^* \circ f^{\mathbb{D}}) = v(f^A).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(v) &= [\Theta_5 \circ \Theta_4 \circ \Theta_3 \circ \Theta_2 \circ \Theta_1](v) \\ &= \Theta_5(\eta) \\ &= \eta \circ \varphi^* \end{aligned}$$

with $\varphi : M^{\mathbb{D}} \longrightarrow TM, \xi \longmapsto v$, such that for $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $\xi(f) = f(p) + v(f)$ where $p \in M, v \in T_pM$ and $\xi \in M_p^A$. The map φ is a diffeomorphism. Then, Θ is a diffeomorphism as composition of diffeomorphisms. Moreover,

$$[\Theta(v)](f \circ \pi_M) = \eta[\varphi^*(f \circ \pi_M)] = \eta(1^* \circ f^{\mathbb{D}}) = \xi(f) = \pi_{M^A}(v)$$

and

$$[\Theta(v)](df) = \eta[\varphi^*(df)] = \eta(\varepsilon^* \circ f^{\mathbb{D}}) = v(f^A).$$

□

Proposition 2.15. *The map*

$$\theta : TU^A \longrightarrow U^A \times A^n, v \longmapsto (\pi_{M^A}(v), v(x_1^A), \dots, v(x_n^A))$$

is a diffeomorphism. For $\xi \in U^A$,

$$\theta|_{T_\xi U^A} : T_\xi U^A \longrightarrow \{\xi\} \times A^n$$

is an isomorphism of A -modules.

Proof. Let $\pi_M : TM \longrightarrow M$ and $\pi_{M^A} : TM^A \longrightarrow M^A$ be the projections of TM and TM^A on M, M^A respectively.

The bundle TM being locally trivial then for any $x \in M$ there exists an open coordinate neighborhood U of x in M and a local diffeomorphism $h_U : \pi_M^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_M^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{h_U} & U \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ \pi_{M^A}|_{\pi_M^{-1}(U)} \downarrow & \swarrow pr_1 & \\ & & U \end{array}$$

commute i.e $pr_1 \circ h_U = \pi_{M|\pi_M^{-1}(U)}$. Thus, let

$$T(U^A) \xrightarrow{\Theta} (TU)^A \xrightarrow{(h_U)^A} [U \times \mathbb{R}^n]^A \xrightarrow{\phi_1} U^A \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^A \xrightarrow{\phi_2} U^A \times (\mathbb{R}^n \otimes A) \xrightarrow{\phi_3} U^A \otimes A^n$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta &: v \mapsto \Theta(v); \\ (h_U)^A &: \Theta(v) \mapsto \Theta(v) \circ h_U^*; \\ \phi_1 &: \Theta(v) \circ h_U^* \mapsto ((pr_1)^A[\Theta(v) \circ h_U^*], (pr_2)^A[\Theta(v) \circ h_U^*]); \\ \phi_2 &: ((pr_1)^A[\Theta(v) \circ h_U^*], (pr_2)^A[\Theta(v) \circ h_U^*]) \mapsto \\ &((pr_1)^A[\Theta(v) \circ h_U^*], \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes [(pr_2)^A[\Theta(v) \circ h_U^*]](e_i^*)); \\ \phi_3 &: ((pr_1)^A[\Theta(v) \circ h_U^*], \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes [(pr_2)^A[\Theta(v) \circ h_U^*]](e_i^*)) \mapsto \\ &(\pi_{MA}(v), (v(x_1^A), \dots, v(x_n^A))) \text{ with } e_i^* \circ pr_2 \circ h_U = dx_i. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that,

$$\theta(v) = [\phi_3 \circ \phi_2 \circ \phi_1 \circ (h_U)^A \circ \Theta(v(x_1^A), \dots, v(x_n^A))](v) = (\pi_{MA}(v),)$$

hence θ is a diffeomorphism as composition of diffeomorphisms.

Besides, for $\xi \in U^A$, $\theta|_{T_\xi U^A}$ is an isomorphism of A -modules. Indeed: it follows

from θ that $\theta|_{T_\xi U^A}$ is bijective and in addition, if $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^A(\xi)$ and $w = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^A(\xi)$ are element of $T_\xi U^A$ and $a \in A$, we have first

$$\begin{aligned} \theta|_{T_\xi U^A}(v+w) &= \theta|_{T_\xi U^A} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i + \mu_i) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^A(\xi) \right) \\ &= (\lambda_1 + \mu_1, \dots, \lambda_n + \mu_n) \\ &= (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) + (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \\ &= \theta|_{T_\xi U^A}(v) + \theta|_{T_\xi U^A}(w). \end{aligned}$$

and secondly, we have

$$\theta|_{T_\xi U^A}(a \cdot v) = (a \cdot \lambda_1, \dots, a \cdot \lambda_n) = a \cdot (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = a \cdot \theta|_{T_\xi U^A}(v).$$

□

That result leads to state:

Corollary 2.16. *The tangent bundle TM^A is locally trivial with typical fiber A^n .*

Proposition 2.17. *If $X : M^A \longrightarrow TM^A$ is a vector field on M^A and if U is a coordinate neighborhood of M with coordinate neighborhood (x_1, \dots, x_n) , then there exists some functions $f_i \in C^\infty(U^A, A)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that*

$$X|_{U^A} = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^A} \right)^A .$$

Suggestion for notations

When (U, φ) is local chart and (x_1, \dots, x_n) his local coordinate system. The map

$$U^A \longrightarrow A^n, \xi \longmapsto (\xi(x_1), \dots, \xi(x_n)),$$

is a diffeomorphism from U^A onto an open set on A^n .

As

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)^A : C^\infty(U^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(U^A, A)$$

is such that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)^A (x_j^A) = \delta_{ij},$$

we can denote

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^A} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)^A .$$

If $v \in T_\xi M^A$, we can write

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^n v(x_i^A) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^A} |_\xi .$$

If $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M^A) = Der_A[C^\infty(M^A, A)]$, we have

$$X|_{U^A} = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^A} .$$

with $f_i \in C^\infty(U^A, A)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Acknowledgement: The first author thanks Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst(DAAD) for their financial support.

References

- [1] B.G.R. Bossoto and E. Okassa, A-Poisson structures on Weil bundles, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, **7**(16), (2012), 785-803.
- [2] B.G.R. Bossoto and E. Okassa, Champs de vecteurs et formes différentielles sur une variété des points proches, *Archivum mathematicum (BRNO)*, **44**, (2008), 159-171.
- [3] C. Ehresmann, Les prolongements d'un espace fibré différentiable, *C.R.Acad.Sci. Paris*, **240**, (1955), 1755-1757.
- [4] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry and symmetric spaces*, New York, Academic Press, 1962.
- [5] I. Kolar, P.W. Michor and J. Slovák, *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer Verlag, 1993.
- [6] J.L. Koszul, S. Ramanan, *Lectures On Fibre Bundles and Differential Geometry*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1960.
- [7] A. Morimoto, Prolongation of connections to bundles of infinitely near points, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **11**, (1976), 479-498.
- [8] E. Okassa, Relèvements de structures symplectiques et pseudo-riemanniennes à des variétés de points proches, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **115**, (1989), 63-71.
- [9] E. Okassa, Prolongement des champs de vecteurs à des variétés des points proches, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.*, **VIII**(3), (1986-1987), 346-366.
- [10] A.P. Shirokov, A note on structures in tangent bundles, *Itogi Nauki Tekh. Ser. Probl. Geom. Tr.Ggeom. Sem.*, **5**, (1974), 311-318.
- [11] A.P. Shirokov, The geometry of tangent bundles and spaces over algebras, *Itogi Nauki Tekh. Ser. Probl. Geom. Tr. Geom. Sem.*, **12**, (1981), 61-95.
- [12] V.V. Shurygin, Manifolds over algebras and their application in the geometry of jet bundles, *Usp. Mat. Nauk*, **48**(2), (290), (1993), 75-106.

- [13] A. Weil, Théorie des points proches sur les variétés différentiables, *Colloq. Géom. Diff. Strasbourg*, (1953), 111-117.