

Statistical Lacunary Invariant Summability

Nimet Pancaroglu¹ and Fatih Nuray²

Abstract

In this paper, we define statistical lacunary invariant summability and strongly lacunary q -invariant convergence $0 < q < \infty$ and investigate some relations between lacunary invariant statistical convergence, statistical lacunary invariant summability and strongly q -invariant convergence.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 40A05, 46A25

Keywords: statistical convergence, lacunary sequence, lacunary statistical convergence, invariant convergence, lacunary invariant statistical convergence

1 Introduction

Let σ be a mapping of the positive integers into itself. A continuous linear functional φ on l_∞ , the space of real bounded sequences, is said to be an invariant mean or a σ mean, if and only if,

1. $\phi(x) \geq 0$, when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ is such that $x_n \geq 0$ for all n ,

¹ Afyon Kocatepe University.

² Afyon Kocatepe University.

2. $\phi(e) = 1$, where $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$,
3. $\phi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \phi(x)$ for all $x \in l_\infty$.

The mappings ϕ are assumed to be one-to-one and such that $\sigma^m(n) \neq n$ for all positive integers n and m , where $\sigma^m(n)$ denotes the m th iterate of the mapping σ at n . Thus ϕ extends the limit functional on c , the space of convergent sequences, in the sense that $\phi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in c$. In case σ is translation mappings $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, the σ mean is often called a Banach limit and V_σ , the set of bounded sequences all of whose invariant means are equal, is the set of almost convergent sequences.

If $x = (x_n)$, set $Tx = (Tx_n) = (x_{\sigma(n)})$. It can be shown that

$$V_\sigma = \{x = (x_n) : \lim_m v_{mn}(x) = L \text{ uniformly in } n, L = \sigma - \lim x\}$$

where,

$$v_{mn}(x) = \frac{x_n + Tx_n + \dots + T^m x_n}{m + 1}.$$

For example, the sequence $x = (x_n)$ defined as

$$x_n = \begin{cases} 1 & n \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

is σ -convergent to $\frac{1}{2}$ for $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, but not convergent. The concept of statistical convergence for sequences of real numbers was introduced Fast[1], Salat[7] and others. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $K_n = \{k \leq n : k \in K\}$. Then the natural density of K is defined by $\delta(K) = \lim_n n^{-1} |K_n|$ if the limit exists, where $|K_n|$ denotes the cardinality of K_n .

A sequence $x = (x_k)$ real numbers is said to be statistically convergent to L if for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0$$

By a lacunary sequence we mean an increasing integer sequence $\theta = (k_r)$ such that $k_0 = 0$ and $h_r := k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Throughout this paper the intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r := (k_{r-1}, k_r]$. By using lacunary sequences, Freedman, Sember and Raphael[2] defined the sequence space N_θ as follows: For any lacunary sequence $\theta = (k_r)$,

$$N_\theta = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \text{for some } L, \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k - L| = 0 \right\}$$

In [3], lacunary statistically convergent sequence is defined as follows:

Let θ be a lacunary sequence; the number sequence (x_k) is lacunary statistically convergent to L provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

Definition 1.1. [6] *A set E of positive integers said to have uniform invariant density of zero if and only if the number of elements of E which lie in the set $\{\sigma(m), \sigma^2(m), \dots, \sigma^n(m)\}$ is $o(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in m .*

Definition 1.2. [6] *A complex number sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be σ statistically convergent to L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |x_{\sigma^k(m)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0$$

uniformly in $m = 1, 2, \dots$

Definition 1.3. [9] *Let be $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence; the number sequence $x = (x_k)$ is $S_{\sigma\theta}$ convergent to L provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : |x_{\sigma^k(m)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0$$

uniformly in $m = 1, 2, \dots$

2 Main Results

Now we define some new concepts by using the notions of uniform invariant density and lacunary invariant statistical convergence.

Definition 2.1. *A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be lacunary invariant summable to L if*

$$\lim_r t_{rm}(x) = L$$

uniformly in $m = 1, 2, \dots$ where $t_{rm}(x) = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{j \in I_r} x_{\sigma^j(m)}$.

This will be denoted $\sigma\theta - \lim_r x_r = L$.

Definition 2.2. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be statistically lacunary invariant summable (or statistically lacunary σ summable) to L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} |\{r \leq n : |t_{rm}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0$$

uniformly in m .

In other words, a sequence $x = (x_k)$ is statistically lacunary invariant summable to L if and only if the sequence $(t_{rm}(x))$ is statistically convergent to L . In this case we write $S_{\theta\sigma} - \lim x = L$. We denote the set of all statistically lacunary invariant summable sequences by $S_{\theta\sigma}$.

Definition 2.3. [8] A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be strongly lacunary q -invariant convergent ($0 < q < \infty$) to the limit L if

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{j \in I_r} |x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L|^q = 0$$

uniformly in $m = 1, 2, \dots$ and we write it as $x_k \rightarrow L([V_{\theta\sigma}]_q)$. In this case L is called the $[V_{\theta\sigma}]_q$ limit of x . We denote the set all strongly lacunary q -invariant convergent sequences by $[V_{\theta\sigma}]_q$.

Now we prove some relations between lacunary invariant statistical convergence, statistical lacunary invariant summability, strong lacunary q -invariant convergence and statistical convergence.

In the first theorem we investigate a relation between lacunary invariant statistical convergence and statistical lacunary invariant summability.

Theorem 2.4. If sequence $x = (x_k)$ is bounded and lacunary invariant statistically convergent to L then it is statistically lacunary invariant summable to L .

Proof. Let $x = (x_k)$ be bounded and lacunary invariant statistically convergent to L ; then we write, for each $m \geq 1$,

$$K_{\theta\sigma}(\varepsilon) = \{k_{r-1} \leq j \leq k_r : |x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
|t_{rm}(x) - L| &= \left| \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{j \in I_r} x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{j \in I_r} (x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L) \right| \\
&\leq \left| \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{j \in K_{\theta\sigma}(\varepsilon)} (x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{h_r} (\sup_{j,m} |x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L|) |K_{\theta\sigma}(\varepsilon)| \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that $t_{rm}(x) \rightarrow L$ uniformly in m . That is, x is lacunary invariant convergent to L and hence statistically lacunary invariant summable to L . This completes proof of theorem. \square

Now we shall give relation between lacunary invariant statistical convergence and strong lacunary q -invariant convergence in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *i) If $0 < q < \infty$ and a sequence $x = (x_k)$ is strongly lacunary q -invariant convergent to the limit L , then it is lacunary invariant statistically convergent to L .*

ii) If (x_k) is bounded and lacunary invariant statistically convergent to L then $x_k \rightarrow L([V_{\theta\sigma}]_q)$.

Proof. (i) If $0 < q < \infty$ and $x_k \rightarrow L([V_{\theta\sigma}]_q)$, then as $r \rightarrow \infty$, for each $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leftarrow \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{j \in I_r} |x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L|^q &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ |x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L| \geq \varepsilon}} |x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L|^q \\
&\geq \frac{\varepsilon^q}{h_r} |K_{\theta\sigma}(\varepsilon)|.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k_{r-1} \leq j \leq k_r : |x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0$$

uniformly in m . Hence $x = (x_k)$ is invariant statistically convergent to L .

(ii) Suppose that $x = (x_k)$ is bounded and lacunary invariant statistically convergent to L . Then for $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} |\{j \in I_r : |x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0$$

uniformly in m . Since $x \in \ell_\infty$, there exists $M > 0$ such that $|x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L| \leq M$, ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) and ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{j \in I_r} |x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L|^q &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ j \notin K_{\sigma\theta}(\varepsilon)}} |x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L|^q + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ j \in K_{\sigma\theta}(\varepsilon)}} |x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L|^q \\ &= S_1(r) + S_2(r) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(r) &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ j \notin K_{\sigma\theta}(\varepsilon)}} |x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L|^q \\ S_2(r) &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ j \in K_{\sigma\theta}(\varepsilon)}} |x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L|^q \end{aligned}$$

Now if $j \notin K_{\sigma\theta}(\varepsilon)$ then $S_1(r) < \varepsilon^q$. For $j \in K_{\sigma\theta}(\varepsilon)$, we have

$$S_2(r) \leq (\sup |x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L|) \frac{|K_{\sigma\theta}(\varepsilon)|}{h_r} \leq M \frac{|K_{\sigma\theta}(\varepsilon)|}{h_r} \rightarrow 0,$$

Since,

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{j \in I_r} |x_{\sigma^j(m)} - L|^q = 0$$

uniformly in m . We have $x_k \rightarrow L([V_{\theta\sigma}]_q)$.

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

In the next result we classify statistically lacunary invariant summable sequences through the lacunary invariant summability of subsequences.

Theorem 2.6. *A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is statistically lacunary invariant summable to L if and only if there exists a set $K = \{(r_i) : r_i < r_{i+1}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\delta(K) = 1$ and $\theta\sigma - \lim x_{r_n} = L$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a set $K = \{(r_i) : r_i < r_{i+1}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\delta(K) = 1$ and $\theta\sigma - \lim x_{r_n} = L$. Then there is a positive integer N such that for $n > N$ and for each $m \geq 1$,

$$|t_{r_n m}(x) - L| < \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

Put $K_\varepsilon(\theta\sigma) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |t_{r_n m}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$ and $K' = \{r_{N+1}, r_{N+2}, \dots\}$. Then $\delta(K') = 1$ and $K_\varepsilon(\theta\sigma) \subseteq \mathbb{N} - K'$ which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{r \leq n : |t_{r_n m}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0$$

uniformly in m . Hence $x = (x_k)$ is statistically lacunary invariant summable to L .

Conversely, let $x = (x_k)$ be statistically lacunary invariant summable to L . For $r = 1, 2, \dots$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots$ put $K_p(\theta\sigma) = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : |t_{r_j m}(x) - L| \geq \frac{1}{p}\}$ and $M_p(\theta\sigma) = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : |t_{r_j m}(x) - L| < \frac{1}{p}\}$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{j \leq n : |t_{r_j m}(x) - L| \geq \frac{1}{p}\}| = 0$$

and

$$M_1(\theta\sigma) \supset M_2(\theta\sigma) \supset M_3(\theta\sigma) \supset \dots \supset M_i(\theta\sigma) \supset M_{i+1}(\theta\sigma) \supset \dots \quad (2)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{j \leq n : |t_{r_j m}(x) - L| < \frac{1}{p}\}| = 1 \quad (3)$$

uniformly in m .

Now we have to show that for $j \in M_p(\theta\sigma)$, (x_{k_j}) is lacunary invariant summable to L . Suppose that (x_{k_j}) is not lacunary invariant summable to L . Therefore there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|t_{r_j m}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon$ for infinitely many terms. Let $M_\varepsilon(\theta\sigma) = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : |t_{r_j m}(x) - L| < \varepsilon\}$ and $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{p}$ ($p = 1, 2, \dots$). Then ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{j \leq n : |t_{r_j m}(x) - L| < \varepsilon\}| = 0 \quad (4)$$

uniformly in m and by (2), we have $M_p(\theta\sigma) \subset M_\varepsilon(\theta\sigma)$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{j \leq n : |t_{r_j m}(x) - L| < \frac{1}{p}\}| = 0 \quad (5)$$

uniformly in m which contradicts (3) and therefore (x_{k_j}) is lacunary invariant summable to L . This completes proof of the theorem. \square

Similarly we can prove the following dual statement.

Theorem 2.7. *A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is lacunary invariant statistically convergent to L if and only if there exists a set $K = \{(k_i) : k_i < k_{i+1}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\delta_{\theta\sigma}(K) = 1$ and $\sigma - \lim x_{k_n} = L$.*

References

- [1] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq.Math.*, **2**, (1951), 241-244.
- [2] A.R. Freedman, J.J. Sember and M. Raphael, Some Cesaro type summability, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **37**, (1978), 508-520.
- [3] J.A. Fridy and C. Orhan, Lacunary statistical convergence, *Pacific Journal of Math.*, **160**, (1993), 43-51.
- [4] M. Mursaleen, H. Osama and H. Edely, On the invariant mean and statistical convergence, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **22**, (2009), 1700-1704.
- [5] M. Mursaleen and A. Alotaibi, Statistical lacunary summability and a Korovkin type approximation theorem, *Ann. Univ. Ferrara*, **57**, (2011), 373-381.
- [6] F. Nuray and E. Savaş, Invariant statistical convergence and A-invariant statistical convergence, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **25**, (1994), 267-274.
- [7] T. Salat, On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, *Math. Slovaca*, **30**, (1980), 139-150.
- [8] E. Savaş, Strongly σ -Convergence Sequences, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, **81**, (1989), 295-300.
- [9] E. Savaş and F. Nuray, On σ - statistically convergence and lacunary σ -statistically convergence, *Math. Slovaca*, **43**(3), (1993), 309-315.
- [10] E. Savaş, Strongly σ - Summable and Strongly σ -Convergence Sequences, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, **81**, (1989), 295-300.