

# Compact and Fredholm composition operators defined by modulus functions

Nidhi Suri <sup>1</sup> and B.S. Komal <sup>2</sup>

## Abstract

In this paper we characterize Compact and Fredholm Composition Operators on the spaces  $W_\infty(A, f)$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47B20, 47B38

**Keywords:** Modulus function, Composition Operator, Compact Operator, Fredholm Operator, Bounded Operator

## 1 Introduction

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two non-empty sets. Let  $F(X, C)$  and  $F(Y, C)$  be two topological vector spaces of complex valued functions on  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. Suppose  $T : Y \rightarrow X$  is a mapping such that  $f \circ T \in F(Y, C)$ , whenever  $f \in F(X, C)$ . Then we can define a composition transformation.

---

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Jammu, India,  
e-mail: nidhi\_ju31@yahoo.com

<sup>2</sup> Department of Engineering Mathematics, M.I.E.T, Kot Bhalwal J & K, India,  
e-mail: bskomal2@yahoo.co.in

$$C_T : F(X, C) \rightarrow F(Y, C)$$

by

$$C_T f = f \circ T \text{ for every } f \in F(X, C)$$

If  $C_T$  is continuous, we call it a Composition Operator induced by T.

A convex function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

- (i)  $f(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$
- (ii)  $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$  for all  $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$
- (iii)  $f$  is increasing
- (iv)  $f$  is continuous from right at 0.

Then  $f$  is called a *modulus function*. Let  $(a_{nk})$  be an infinite matrix of non-negative real numbers such that

$$\sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} < \infty$$

and let  $W_{\infty}(A, f)$  be defined as

$$W_{\infty}(A, f) = \{ \{x_k\} \in C : \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} f(|x_k|) < \infty \}$$

Set

$$\|x\|_{A,f} = \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} f(|x_k|)$$

It is well known that  $W_{\infty}(A, f)$  is a complete topological vector space under the topology induced by the paranorm  $\|x\|_{A,f}$ .

A bounded linear operator A from a Hilbert space H into itself is called

- (i) *Compact*, if the closure of the image of unit ball in H is compact i.e.  $\overline{A(B_1)}$  is a compact set, where  $B_1$  is the closed unit ball of H.
- (ii) *Fredholm operator*, if the range of A is closed and the dimensions of kernel of A and co-kernel of A are finite.

A study of composition operators on several function spaces like  $L^p(\lambda), C(X), H^p(D), 1 \leq p < \infty$  has been the subject matter of intensive study over the past several decades. It is known that no composition operators on  $L^p(\lambda)$  is compact see Singh and Kumar [7]. Compact and Fredholm composition operators on  $C(X)$  are characterize by Takagi [10, 11], where Shapiro characterized

compact composition operators on  $H^p(D)$ . Ajay Sharma[9] recently studied compact composition operators on Bergman spaces.

In this paper we characterize Compact and Fredholm Composition Operators on sequence spaces defined by Modulus functions.

## 2 Compact Composition Operators

In this section we first characterize the bounded composition operators on sequence spaces defined by Modulus functions. A necessary and a sufficient condition for a composition operator to be compact is also investigated in this section.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $T : N \rightarrow N$  be a mapping. Then  $C_T : W_\infty(A, f) \rightarrow W_\infty(A, f)$  is a bounded operator if and only if there exists  $M > 0$  such that*

$$\sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm} \leq M a_{nk} \text{ for every } n \in N, k \in N$$

**Proof:** Take  $x \in W_\infty(A, f)$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_T x\|_{A,f} &= \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} f(|(x \circ T)(k)|) \\ &= \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm} f(|(x \circ T)(m)|) \\ &= \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm} \right) f(|x_k|) \\ &\leq M \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} f(|x_k|) \\ &= M \|x\|_{A,f} \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $C_T$  is a bounded operator.

Conversely, suppose that  $C_T$  is a bounded operator. If  $\sup_n a_{nk} = 0$  for every  $k \in N$ , then there is nothing to prove. Suppose  $\sup_n a_{nk_0} > 0$  for some

$k_0 \in N$ . Take  $x = \frac{e_{k_0}}{\alpha_{k_0}}$ , where  $\alpha_{k_0} = f^{-1}(\frac{1}{\sup_n a_n k_0})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{A,f} &= \sup_n \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{nm} f\left(\frac{e_{k_0}(m)}{\alpha_{k_0}}\right) \\ &= \frac{\sup_n a_n k_0}{\sup_n a_n k_0} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_T x\|_{A,f} &= \sup_n \left( \frac{\sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm}}{\sup_n a_n k_0} \right) \\ &= \sup_n \left( b_n \frac{\sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k_0)} c_m}{\sup_n b_n c_{k_0}} \right) \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

Now  $C_T$  is bounded. Therefore there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\|C_T x\|_{A,f} \leq M \|x\|_{A,f}$$

Hence in view of (1),

$$\sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} c_m \leq M c_k \quad \forall k \in N$$

or

$$\sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} b_n c_m \leq M b_n c_k \quad \forall n \text{ and } k$$

Hence

$$\sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm} \leq M a_{nk} \quad \forall n \text{ and } k \in N$$

□

**Example 2.2.** Let  $a_{nk}$  be an infinite matrix defined by  $a_{nk} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{k^2}$ . Then

$$\sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} < \infty$$

Let  $T : N \rightarrow N$  be defined by  $T(1) = 1$  and  $T(n) = n - 1$  for every  $n \geq 2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_T x\|_{A,f} &= \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} f(|x(T(k))|) \\
&= \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm} f(|x(T(m))|) \\
&= \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm} f(|x_k|) \\
&= \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{m^2} f(|x_k|) \\
\|C_T x\|_{A,f} &\leq 2 \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} f(|x_k|) \\
&= 2 \|x\|_{A,f} \quad \text{for every } x \in W_{\infty}(A, f)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $C_T$  is a bounded operator.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $C_T \in B(W_{\infty}(A, f))$ . Then  $C_T$  is compact if and only if the set*

$$S(\epsilon) = \{k : \sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm} \geq \epsilon a_{nk} \quad \forall n \in N\} \cap \{k : a_{nk} \neq 0$$

for any  $n \in N\}$  is a finite set for each  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof:** We first assume that the condition is satisfied. We prove that  $C_T$  is a compact operator. Let  $\{g^{(p)}\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$  be a bounded sequence in  $W_{\infty}(A, f)$ . Then there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\|g^{(p)}\|_{A,f} \leq M \quad \text{for every } p \geq 1.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_T g^{(p)}\|_{A,f} &= \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} f(|g^{(p)} \circ T(k)|) \\
&= \sup_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm} f(|g^{(p)}(k)|) \right) \\
&= \sup_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm} \right) f(|g^{(p)}(k)|) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sup_n \left( \sum_{k \in S(\epsilon/2)} \sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm} f(|g^{(p)}(k)|) \right) \\
&\quad + \left( \sum_{k \in S'(\epsilon/2)} \sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm} f(|g^{(p)}(k)|) \right) \\
&\leq \sup_n \sum_{k \in S(\epsilon/2)} \sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm} f(|g^{(p)}(k)|) \\
&\quad + \sup_n \sum_{k \in S'(\epsilon/2)} \sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm} f(|g^{(p)}(k)|) \\
&\leq M \sup_n \sum_{k \in S(\epsilon/2)} a_{nk} f(|g^{(p)}(k)|) \\
&\quad + \frac{\epsilon}{2} M \sup_n \sum_{k \in S'(\epsilon/2)} a_{nk} f(|g^{(p)}(k)|) \\
&\leq M \sup_n \sum_{k \in S(\epsilon/2)} a_{nk} f(|g^{(p)}(k)|) + M \frac{\epsilon}{2} \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $S(\frac{\epsilon}{2})$  is a finite set and  $\{g^{(p)}\}$  is a bounded sequence, we can find a subsequence  $\{g^{(p_r)}\}$  of  $\{g^{(p)}\}$  such that

$$\sup_n \sum_{k \in S(\epsilon/2)} a_{nk} f(|g^{(p_r)}(k)|) < \frac{M\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall r \geq r_0$$

Then using (1), we find that

$$\|C_T g^{(p_r)}\|_{A,f} < \frac{M\epsilon}{2} + \frac{M\epsilon}{2} = M\epsilon \quad \forall r \geq r_0$$

Thus every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence. This proves that  $C_T$  is a compact operator.

Conversely, if the condition of the theorem is not satisfied, then for some  $\epsilon > 0$  we can choose an infinite sequence  $\{k_r : r \in N\}$  in  $S(\epsilon)$  such that

$$\frac{\sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k_r)} a_{nm}}{a_n k_r} \geq \epsilon$$

for infinite many values of  $k_r$

Set

$$x^{kr} = f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sup_n a_n k_r}\right)$$

Then  $\|x^{k_r}\| = 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_T x^{(k_r)}\| &= \sup_n \left( \frac{\sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k_r)} a_{nm}}{\sup_n a_n k_r} \right) \\
&= \frac{\sup_n \sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k_r)} a_{nm}}{\sup_n a_n k_r} \\
&\geq \frac{\sup_n (\epsilon a_n k_r)}{\sup_n a_n k_r} \\
&= \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the compactness of  $C_T$ . Hence the condition must be satisfied.  $\square$

### 3 Fredholm Composition Operators

noindent

The main purpose of this section is to characterize Fredholm Composition Operators.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $C_T \in B(W_\infty(A, f))$ . Then  $C_T$  is Fredholm if and only if*

- (i)  $N|T(N)$  is a finite set
- (ii) There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm} \geq \delta a_{nk} \text{ for every } n, k \in N$$

- (iii) The set  $E = \{n \in N : \sharp T^{-1}(T(n)) \geq 2\}$  is a finite set, where  $\sharp(E)$  is the cardinality of the set  $E$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $N|T(N)$  is a finite set. Then  $\ker C_T$  is finite dimensional. Next, if the condition (ii) is true, then we prove that  $\text{ran } C_T$  is closed. Let  $x \in \overline{\text{ran } C_T}$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{x^{(p)}\}$  in  $\text{ran } C_T$ , such that  $x^{(p)} \rightarrow x$

since  $x^{(p)} \in \text{ran} C_T$  we can write  $x^{(p)} = C_T y^{(p)}$  for some  $y^{(p)} \in W_\infty(A, f)$ . Thus  $\{C_T y^{(p)}\}_{p=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence. Therefore for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $p_0$  such that

$$\|C_T y^{(p)} - C_T y^{(q)}\| < \epsilon \quad \forall p, q \geq p_0.$$

In other words,

$$\sup_n \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{nm} f(y^{(p)}(T(m)) - y^{(q)}(T(m))) < \epsilon \text{ for every } p, q \geq p_0$$

or equivalently, for all  $p, q \geq p_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &> \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm} f(|y^{(p)}(k) - y^{(q)}(k)|) \\ &\geq \delta \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} f(|y^{(p)}(k) - y^{(q)}(k)|) \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\{y^{(p)}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $W_\infty(A, f)$ . But  $W_\infty(A, f)$  is complete. Therefore there exists  $y \in W_\infty(A, f)$ , such that  $y^{(p)} \rightarrow y$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . From continuity of  $C_T$ , we have  $C_T y^{(p)} \rightarrow C_T y$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  or  $x^{(p)} \rightarrow C_T y$ . Hence  $x = C_T y$ . This proves that the range of  $C_T$  is closed.

Next, if  $E$  is a finite set, then obviously  $\text{ran } C_T$  is finite co-dimensional. Hence  $C_T$  is Fredholm.

Conversely, suppose  $C_T$  is Fredholm. We prove that conditions (i) - (iii) are true. If the condition (i) is not true, then  $e_n \in \ker C_T$  for every  $n \in N \setminus T(N)$  which shows that  $\ker C_T$  is infinite dimensional, a contradiction. Hence  $N \setminus T(N)$  must be a finite set.

Next, if  $E$  is an infinite set then we can choose infinitely many pairs  $(m_k, n_k)$  such that

$$T(n_k) = T(m_k)$$

Define

$$K_{m_k, n_k} : W_\infty(A, f) \rightarrow C$$

by

$$K_{m_k, n_k}(f) = f(m_k) - f(n_k)$$

Then  $K_{m_k, n_k}$  is a linear functional on  $W_\infty(A, f)$ . Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} C_T^*(K_{m_k, n_k})(f) &= K_{m_k, n_k}(C_T f) \\ &= (C_T f)(m_k) - (C_T f)(n_k) = 0 \quad \forall f \end{aligned}$$



Therefore  $K_{m_k, n_k} \in \ker C_T^*$  which proves that  $\ker C_T^*$  is infinite dimensional. Hence E must be a finite set.

Finally, we prove the condition (ii). If the condition (ii) is false, then for every positive integer  $\ell$  there exists  $n_\ell$  and  $k_\ell$  such that

$$\sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k_\ell)} a_{n_\ell m} \leq \frac{1}{\ell} a_{n_\ell} k_\ell$$

Take  $x^\ell = \frac{e_{k_\ell}}{\alpha_{k_\ell}}$ , where  $\alpha_{k_\ell} = f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sup a_{n, k_\ell}}\right)$ . Then  $\|x^\ell\| = 1$ . But

$$\|C_T x^\ell\| = \sup_n \sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k_\ell)} a_{nm} \frac{1}{\sup a_{n, k_\ell}} \leq \frac{1}{\ell} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \ell \rightarrow \infty.$$

This shows that  $C_T$  is not bounded away from zero and  $C_T$  has not closed range. This is a contradiction. Hence the condition must be true.  $\square$

**Example 3.2.** Let  $T : N \rightarrow N$  be defined by  $T(n) = n + 1$  for all  $n \in N$  and  $(a_{nk})_{n, k=1}^\infty$  be the matrix defined by

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n^3}, & \text{if } k \leq n \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk} = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^3} = \frac{1}{n^2}$$

or

$$\sup_n \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk} = \sup_n \left\{ \frac{1}{n^2} \right\} = 1.$$

Now  $N|T(N) = \{1\}$ , which is a finite set.

Also

$$\frac{\sum_{m \in T^{-1}(k)} a_{nm}}{a_{nk}} = \frac{a_{n, k-1}}{a_{n, k}} = \frac{\frac{1}{n^3}}{\frac{1}{n^3}} = 1, \quad \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq n.$$

Thus  $\text{Ran } C_T$  is closed. Also  $\ker C_T = \text{span}\{e_1\}$ . Therefore  $\ker C_T$  is finite dimensional. Clearly  $\text{Ran } C_T = \text{span}(\{e_n : n \in N\} - \{e_1\})$  so that  $(\text{Ran } C_T)^\perp = \text{span}\{e_1\}$ . Which proves that  $\text{Ran } C_T$  is finite co-dimensional. Hence  $C_T$  is Fredholm.  $\square$

## References

- [1] V.K.Bhardwaj and N. Singh, On some sequence spaces defined by a modulus, *Indian J. Pure and Applied Math. Soc.*, **30**, (1999), 809-817.
- [2] D. Ghosh and P.D. Srivastava, On some vector valued sequence spaces defined using a modulus function, *Indian J. Pure and Applied Math. Soc.*, **30**(8), (1999), 819-826.
- [3] Ashok Kumar, Fredholm Composition Operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **79**, (1980), 231-236.
- [4] I.J. Maddox, Sequence spaces defined by a modulus, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil Soc.*, **100**, (1986), 161-166.
- [5] I.J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1970.
- [6] J.H. Shapiro and C. Sunderberg, Compact composition operators on  $L^1$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **108**, (1990), 443-449.
- [7] R.K. Singh and D.C. Kumar, Compact weighted composition operators on  $L^2(\lambda)$ , *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **49**, (1985), 339-344.
- [8] R.K. Singh and N.S. Dharmadhikari, Compact and Fredholm composite multiplication operators, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **52**, (1998), 437-441.
- [9] Ajay Kumar Sharma and S. Ueki, On Compactness of composition operators on Bergman Orlicz spaces, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **103**, (2011), 1-13.
- [10] H. Takagi, Compact weighted composition operators on  $L_p$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **16**, (1992), 505-511.
- [11] H. Takagi, Compact weighted composition operators on certain subspaces of  $C(X, E)$ , *Tokyo J. Math.*, **14**, (1991), 121-127.