The optimal fund investment portfolio based on mean–variance–skewness model
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Abstract

This paper proposed the optimal fund investment portfolio model maximizing both expected return and skewness as well as minimizing the variance. We use fuzzy mathematics method to solve the multi-objectives model, and a numerical example of Chinese fund market is used to illustrate that the method can be efficiently used in practice.
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1 Introduction

Portfolio optimization has come a long way from Markowitz [1] seminal work which introduces return/variance risk management framework, which is called M-V model. There is a lot of literature has improved the M-V model. Samuelson (1970) shows that when the investment decision is restricted to a finite time interval, the use of mean-variance approximation becomes inadequate, and the higher moments become more relevant to portfolio choice [2]. Harvey and Siddique [3, 4] introduce an asset pricing model that incorporates conditional skewness, and show that an investor may be willing to accept a negative expected return in the presence of high positive skewness [3, 4]. Cascon, Keating and Shadwick [5] argue that point estimates of mean and variance of an assumed sampling distribution are insufficient summaries of the available information of future returns. Instead they advocate the use of a summary function, which they call “Omega”, that represents all the relevant information contained within the observed data. One problem with the mean–variance–skewness trade-off model for portfolio selection is that it is not easy to find a trade-off between the three objectives because this is a nonsmooth multi-objective optimization problem. Leung et al. [6] provided a goal programming algorithm to solve a mean–variance–skewness model with the aid of the general Minkowski distance. Diverging from previous studies, Wang and Xia [7] transformed the mean–variance–skewness model into a parametric linear programming problem by
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maximizing the skewness under given levels of mean and variance.

Our paper is organized as follows. In the second section we present the notation used in the paper, and the initial computations for skewness. In the third section, the optimal portfolio model is proposed. Fuzzy mathematics algorithm technique is given in section four. In Section five, empirical study is performed.

2 Notation [8]

2.1. Portfolio inputs

The portfolio comprises N assets. The portfolio weights are denoted \( w = [w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_N]' \). The time series of returns on these assets are represented as \( r_i \) where \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \). The inputs to the model comprise the vector of mean returns on these N assets, denoted \( \mu = [\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_N]' \). The covariance matrix of these assets is denoted \( \Sigma = \{\sigma_{ij}\}_{i,j=1 \cdots N} \). Both these central moments are calculated in the usual way. Likewise, we define the non-central skewness (S) of returns as:

\[
S = \{S_{ijk}\}_{i,j,k=1 \cdots N}.
\]

These tensors are easy to compute from the data. We note that

\[
S_{ijk} = E[r_i \times r_j \times r_k],
\]

\( S_{ijk} \) can also be written in a slightly different form:

\[
S_{iii} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (r_i - \bar{r}_i)^3, \quad S_{iij} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (r_i - \bar{r}_i)^2 (r_j - \bar{r}_j), \quad S_{iji} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (r_i - \bar{r}_i) (r_j - \bar{r}_j)^2
\]

These comprise the raw moments from the data.
2.2. Portfolio moments

Given portfolio weights \( w \), the mean and variance of the portfolio are obtained via the usual calculation:

\[
m_1 = \mu_p = w' \mu, \quad m_2 = \sigma_p^2 = w' \Sigma w
\]

The non-central third moment of the portfolio is:

\[
m_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_i w_j w_k S_{ijk}
\]

And the skewness of the portfolio is:

\[
S_p(w) = \frac{1}{\sigma_p^3(w)} \left[ m_3 - 3m_2m_1 + 2m_1^3 \right]
\]

3 Portfolio model with higher moments

We construct an investment portfolio maximizing expected return and skewness of return and minimizing the variance of return simultaneously. That is:

\[
(P) \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\max m_1 = w' \mu \\
\min m_2 = w' \Sigma w \\
\max S_p(w) = \frac{1}{\sigma_p^3(w)} \left[ m_3 - 3m_2m_1 + 2m_1^3 \right]
\end{array} \right.
\]

\( w \) is the proportion invested in various assets when the best trade-off is found. It is noted that, in this study, negative \( w \) represents a short sale.
4 Solution of multi-objective linear programming model with fuzzy mathematics

Because the objective functions of multi-objective programming are more than one, it is difficult to reach a certain point for all of the objective functions, to whose maximum, that is the optimal solution is usually does not exist. Therefore, it needs to make a compromise plan making each target function as large as possible in a specific problem. And fuzzy mathematical programming method can deal with the problem, which will turn the multi-target model to a single one.

Step 1: to solve every single maximum objective $Z_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., r$ under the constrains $(1'), (2')$,

$$Z_i^* = \max \left\{ Z_i \left| Z_i = \max_j c_{ij}, Ax \leq b, x \geq 0 \right. \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., r$$

To choose $d_i, (d_i > 0)$ as the corresponding fuzzy telescopic factor for each target $Z_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., r$. Generally, fuzzy telescopic factors are chosen according to various sub-targets importance, that is the more important goal, the smaller the flexible index should be. In this paper, let $d_i = Z_i^* - Z_i^-, \quad$ where $Z_i^- = \min Z_i$, which is solved following the same method as $Z_i^*$.

Step 2: constructing fuzzy objective $\tilde{M}_i$ of target $Z_i$, whose membership function is:
Let $\lambda = M(X) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} \tilde{M}(x)$, the multi-objective problem P2 is transformed as:

$$\begin{align*}
\max Z = \lambda \\
1 - \frac{1}{d_i} \left( Z_i^* - \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij} x_j \right) \geq \lambda, & \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, r \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{kj} x_j \leq b_k, & \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m \\
\lambda \geq 0, & \quad x_1, x_2 \ldots x_n \geq 0
\end{align*}$$

This is a single objective linear programming solved with LINGO easily.

5 Numeral study

5.1 Data

In order to analyze the optimal fund investment portfolio based on mean–variance–skewness model, we use a model with only 2 assets in the fund portfolio, thereby keeping ideas simple. The annualized mean return vector $\mu$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma$ of returns are computed and are as follows:

$$\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0.06760987 \\ 0.034191 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0.058884101 & 0.000464043 \\ 0.000464043 & 0.0003643663 \end{pmatrix}$$
We need to represent the co-skewness matrix in two slices since it is of dimension $2 \times 2 \times 2$. These are as follows:

\[
S_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.004202153 & 0.001709551 \\ 0.001709551 & 0.000137843 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.001709551 & 1.378430e-04 \\ 0.000137843 & 7.875703e-05 \end{pmatrix}
\]

According to the known data and the formula, the former three moments are:

\[
m_1 = \mu_p = w' \mu = 0.06760987w_1 + 0.034191w_2
\]

\[
m_2 = \sigma^2_p = w' \Sigma w = (0.58884e-1*w_1 + .46404e-3*w_2)*w_1 + (0.46404e-3*w_1 + .36437e-3*w_2)*w_2
\]

\[
m_3 = w_1^3*0.004202153 + w_1^2*w_2*0.001709551 + w_1^2*w_2*0.001709551
\]

\[
+ w_1^2*w_2*0.000137843 + w_1^2*w_2*0.000137843 + w_1^2*w_2*0.001709551 + w_1^2*w_2*0.000137843
\]

\[
+ w_1^2*w_2*1.3784e-04 + w_2^3*7.875703e-05
\]

The optimal fund portfolio model is the same as \( P \).

5.2 Model

We solve the sub-model looking for the minimum and maximum value of every moment;

\[
\begin{align*}
(P1) \quad \max \ m_1 &= 0.06760987w_1 + 0.034191w_2 \\
& \text{s.t} \quad w_1 + w_2 = 1 \\
& \quad -1 \leq w_1 \leq 1; -1 \leq w_2 \leq 1
\end{align*}
\]

\[
(P1') \quad \min \ m_1 &= 0.06760987w_1 + 0.034191w_2 \\
& \text{s.t} \quad w_1 + w_2 = 1 \\
& \quad -1 \leq w_1 \leq 1; -1 \leq w_2 \leq 1
\]

It is easily solved by LINGO, whose result is \( \max m_1 = m_1^* = 0.06760987 \), \( \min m_1 = m_1^- = 0.034191 \). The same method to solve \( P2 \), \( P2' \).
The optimal fund investment portfolio ...

\[
(P2) \begin{cases} 
\max m_2 = (0.58884e-1 \cdot w_1 + 0.46404e-3 \cdot w_2) \cdot w_1 + (0.46404e-3 \cdot w_1 + 0.36437e-3 \cdot w_2) \cdot w_2 \\
st \quad w_1 + w_2 = 1 \\
-1 \leq w_1 \leq 1; -1 \leq w_2 \leq 1
\end{cases}
\]

\[
(P2') \begin{cases} 
\min m_2 = (0.58884e-1 \cdot w_1 + 0.46404e-3 \cdot w_2) \cdot w_1 + (0.46404e-3 \cdot w_1 + 0.36437e-3 \cdot w_2) \cdot w_2 \\
st \quad w_1 + w_2 = 1 \\
-1 \leq w_1 \leq 1; -1 \leq w_2 \leq 1
\end{cases}
\]

The optimal value are \( \max m_2 = m^+_2 = 0.058884 \), \( \min m_2 = m^-_2 = 0.00036437 \).

For simple, we directly give
\[
\max S_p(w) = S^+ = 17.5195, \quad \min S_p(w) = S^- = -0.7631919
\]

Finally, we propose the optimal model as follow:

\[
\text{max}=\alpha; \\
m_1=-0.033419 \alpha \geq 0.034191; \\
-m_2=-0.055524 \alpha \geq 0.00036437; \\
(m_1-3m_2m_1+2m_1^2)/(m_2^{1.5})-18.28269 \alpha \geq -0.7631919; \\
m_1=0.06760987w_1+0.034191w_2; \\
m_2=(0.58884e-1 \cdot w_1 + 0.46404e-3 \cdot w_2) \cdot w_1 + (0.46404e-3 \cdot w_1 + 0.36437e-3 \cdot w_2) \cdot w_2; \\
m_3=w_1^3+0.0004202153+w_2^2 \cdot 0.001709551+w_1^2 \cdot 0.001709551+w_1w_2^2 \cdot 0.000137843 \\
+w_1^2w_2 \cdot 0.001709551+w_2 \cdot 0.000137843+w_1w_2^2 \cdot 1.3784e-04+w_2^3 \cdot 7.875703e-05; \\
w_1+w_2=1; -1 \leq w_1 \leq 1; -1 \leq w_2 \leq 1;
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the belief degree. We get that \( w_1 = 0.332, w_2 = 0.668 \).

5.3 Conclusion

In this numeral example, we propose a optimal portfolio model considering the former three moment of the portfolio return, which modify the general mean-variance model. By solving the model, we get the optimal invest weights are \( w_1 = 0.332, \ w_2 = 0.668 \).
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