Journal of Applied Mathematics & Bioinformatics, vol.2, no.3, 2012, 131-150 ISSN: 1792-6602 (print), 1792-6939 (online) Scienpress Ltd, 2012

The Join Mapping of two Stratified Graphs

Nicolae Ţăndăreanu¹ and Irina Tudor (Preda)²

Abstract

Using the mappings u_1 and u_2 that uniquely define [9] two stratified graphs \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 , respectively, we define the mapping $u_1 \oplus u_2$. This mapping is used in further research to define the least upper bound of stratified graphs \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 . The upper bound helps us in future research to prove the closure under union set operation of stratified languages, a family of languages generated by stratified graphs. A few properties, including the associativity of the operation \oplus are proved.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 68R10, 08A70 Keywords: labeled graph, stratified graph, Peano algebra, join mapping

1 Introduction

The concept of labeled stratified graph (shortly, a SG or stratified graph) was introduced in [7] as a method of knowledge representation and it is obtained by incorporating the concept of *labeled graph* into an algebraic environment given by a tuple of components, which are obtained applying several

¹ University of Craiova, Romania, e-mail: ntand@rdslink.ro

² University of Piteşti, Romania, e-mail: irisxiphium@yahoo.com

Article Info: *Received* : September 11, 2012. *Revised* : October 14, 2012 *Published online* : December 30, 2012

concepts of universal algebra. The existence of this structure is proved in [8] and various algebraic properties are presented in [8], [9], [10] and [11]. The inference based on SGs is described in [10]. An application of this structure in the communication semantics and graphical image generation are presented in [10]. A special kind of reasoning, hierarchical reasoning and its application to image synthesis are presented in [11]. In [5], [6] we show that we can generate formal languages by means of the stratified graphs, thus obtaining a new mechanism to generate formal languages.

Let M be an arbitrary nonempty set. We consider the set B given by

$$B = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} B_n$$

where

$$\begin{cases} B_0 = M \\ B_{n+1} = B_n \cup \{\sigma(x_1, x_2) \mid (x_1, x_2) \in B_n \times B_n\}, n \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

and $\sigma(x_1, x_2)$ is the word $\sigma x_1 x_2$ over the alphabet $\{\sigma\} \cup M$. The pair $\overline{M} = (B, \sigma)$ is a Peano σ -algebra over M ([1], [2], [3], [4]).

If f and g are two mappings then we write $f \leq g$ if $dom(f) \subseteq dom(g)$ and f(x) = g(x) for every $x \in dom(f)$.

A binary relation ρ over the set S is a subset $\rho \subseteq S \times S$. The set of all binary relations is the power set $2^{S \times S}$. There is a classical binary operation for binary relations. This is denoted by

$$\circ: 2^{S \times S} \times 2^{S \times S} \longrightarrow 2^{S \times S}$$

and is defined as follows:

$$\rho_1 \circ \rho_2 = \{ (x, y) \in S \times S \mid \exists z \in S : (x, z) \in \rho_1, (z, y) \in \rho_2 \}$$

We consider the mapping $prod_S : dom(prod_S) \longrightarrow 2^{S \times S}$, where

$$dom(prod_S) = \{(\rho_1, \rho_2) \in 2^{S \times S} \times 2^{S \times S} \mid \rho_1 \circ \rho_2 \neq \emptyset\}$$

and $prod_S(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \rho_1 \circ \rho_2$ for every $(\rho_1, \rho_2) \in dom(prod_S)$. The pair $(2^{S \times S}, prod_S)$ becomes a partial algebra. We denote by $u \in R(prod_S)$ the following property: $u : dom(u) \longrightarrow 2^{S \times S}, dom(u) \subseteq dom(prod_S), u(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \rho_1 \circ \rho_2$ for every $(\rho_1, \rho_2) \in dom(u)$. If $u \in R(prod_S)$ then we denote by $Cl_u(T_0)$ the

closure of T_0 in $2^{S \times S}$. This is the least subset of $2^{S \times S}$ that contains T_0 and is closed with respect to u.

The concept of labeled graph is a basic one for the concept of stratified graph. By a *labeled graph* we understand a tuple $G = (S, L_0, T_0, f_0)$, where S is a finite set of nodes, L_0 is a set of elements named *labels*, T_0 is a set of binary relations on S and $f_0 : L_0 \longrightarrow T_0$ is a surjective function.

We denote by \mathcal{L}_{lg} the set of all labeled graphs. Consider $G_1 = (S_1, L_{01}, T_{01}, f_{01}) \in \mathcal{L}_{lg}$ and $G_2 = (S_2, L_{02}, T_{02}, f_{02}) \in \mathcal{L}_{lg}$. We write $G_1 \sqsubseteq G_2$ if $S_1 \subseteq S_2$, $L_{01} \subseteq L_{02}$ and $f_{01}(a) \subseteq f_{02}(a)$ for every $a \in L_{01}$. The relation \sqsubseteq is a partial order as proved in [13].

Also in [13] we defined the mapping

$$f_{01} \sqcup f_{02} : L_{01} \cup L_{02} \longrightarrow T_{01} \cup T_{02} \cup \{\rho \mid \exists \mu \in T_{01}, \theta \in T_{02} : \rho = \mu \cup \theta\}$$

as follows:

$$(f_{01} \sqcup f_{02})(a) = \begin{cases} f_{01}(a) & \text{if} \quad a \in L_{01} \setminus L_{02} \\ f_{02}(a) & \text{if} \quad a \in L_{02} \setminus L_{01} \\ f_{01}(a) \cup f_{02}(a) & \text{if} \quad a \in L_{01} \cap L_{02} \end{cases}$$

Consider a nonempty set $L_0 \subseteq M$. We denote $L \in Initial(L_0)$ if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- 1. $L_0 \subseteq L \subseteq \overline{M};$
- 2. if $\sigma(\alpha, \beta) \in L$, $\alpha \in \overline{M}$, $\beta \in \overline{M}$ then $\alpha \in L$ and $\beta \in L$.

A labeled stratified graph \mathcal{G} over G (shortly, stratified graph or SG) is a tuple (G, L, T, u, f) where

- $G = (S, L_0, T_0, f_0)$ is a labeled graph
- $L \in Initial(L_0)$
- $u \in R(prod_S)$ and $T = Cl_u(T_0)$
- $f : (L, \sigma_L) \longrightarrow (2^{S \times S}, u)$ is a morphism of partial algebras such that $f_0 \preceq f, f(L) = T$ and if $(f(x), f(y)) \in dom(u)$ then $(x, y) \in dom(\sigma_L)$

2 The join mapping of two stratified graphs and its properties

We consider the labeled graphs

$$G_1 = (S_1, L_{01}, T_{01}, f_{01}) \in \mathcal{L}_{lg}, \ G_2 = (S_2, L_{02}, T_{02}, f_{02}) \in \mathcal{L}_{lg}$$

and the labeled stratified graphs

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = (G_1, L_1, T_1, u_1, f^1) \in \mathcal{L}_{sg}, \ \mathcal{G}_2 = (G_2, L_2, T_2, u_2, f^2) \in \mathcal{L}_{sg}$$

over G_1 and G_2 respectively.

In what follows we suppose that $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that

$$dom(u_1) \subseteq T_1 \times T_1, \ u_1 : dom(u_1) \longrightarrow T_1;$$

$$dom(u_2) \subseteq T_2 \times T_2, \ u_2 : dom(u_2) \longrightarrow T_2;$$

$$T_1 \subseteq 2^{S_1 \times S_1}, \ T_2 \subseteq 2^{S_2 \times S_2} \text{ and } 2^{S_1 \times S_1} \cap 2^{S_2 \times S_2} = \emptyset, \text{ it follows that } T_1 \cap T_2 = \emptyset.$$

Definition 2.1. Take $S = S_1 \cup S_2$. We extend the mapping u_1 and u_2 as follows:

$$\overline{u_1}: 2^{S \times S} \times 2^{S \times S} \longrightarrow 2^{S \times S}$$

$$\overline{u_1}(\rho_i, \rho_k) = \begin{cases} u_1(\rho_i, \rho_k) & \text{if } (\rho_i, \rho_k) \in dom(u_1) \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\overline{u_2}: 2^{S \times S} \times 2^{S \times S} \longrightarrow 2^{S \times S}$$

$$\overline{u_2}(\omega_j, \omega_m) = \begin{cases} u_2(\omega_j, \omega_m) & \text{if } (\omega_j, \omega_m) \in dom(u_2) \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We define

$$T_1 \uplus T_2 = \{ \rho \cup \omega \mid \rho \in T_1 \cup \{\emptyset\}, \omega \in T_2 \cup \{\emptyset\} \} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$$

Remark 2.2. Obviously we have $T_1 \sqcup T_2 = T_2 \sqcup T_1$.

Proposition 2.3. We consider the set $N_0 = (f_{01} \sqcup f_{02})(L_{01} \cup L_{02}) \subseteq T_1^0 \sqcup T_2^0$. The sequence $\{N_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ defined recursively as follows:

$$\begin{cases} N_1 = N_0 \cup \{ \mu \in T_1^1 \sqcup T_2^1 \mid \exists \rho_1 \cup \omega_1 \in N_0, \exists \rho_2 \cup \omega_2 \in N_0 : \\ \mu = \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \neq \emptyset \} \\ N_{k+1} = N_k \cup \{ \mu \in T_1^{k+1} \sqcup T_2^{k+1} \mid \exists \rho_1 \cup \omega_1 \in N_k, \exists \rho_2 \cup \omega_2 \in N_k : \\ \mu = \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \neq \emptyset \} \end{cases}$$

$$(1)$$

satisfies the following properties:

- i1) $N_k \subseteq T_1^k \sqcup T_2^k$, for every $k \ge 0$;
- $i2) N_0 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq N_k \subseteq N_{k+1} \subseteq \ldots$

i3) There is $k_0 \ge 0$ such that $N_0 \subset \ldots \subset N_{k_0} = N_{k_0+1} = N_{k_0+2} = \ldots$

Proof. We have $N_0 = (f_{01} \sqcup f_{02})(L_{01} \cup L_{02}) = \{\rho \mid \exists a \in L_{01} \setminus L_{02} : \rho = f_{01}(a)\} \cup \{\rho \mid \exists a \in L_{02} \setminus L_{01} : \rho = f_{02}(a)\} \cup \{\rho \mid \exists a \in L_{01} \cap L_{02} : \rho = f_{01}(a) \cup f_{02}(a)\} \subseteq T_1^0 \cup T_2^0 \cup (T_1^0 \sqcup T_2^0) \subseteq T_1^0 \sqcup T_2^0$. Thus *i*1) is true for k = 0. Suppose that *i*1) is true for k = m and we prove the property for k = m + 1. From (1) we obtain

$$N_{m+1} = N_m \cup \{ \mu \in T_1^{m+1} \sqcup T_2^{m+1} \mid \exists \rho_1 \cup \omega_1 \in N_m, \exists \rho_2 \cup \omega_2 \in N_m :$$
$$\mu = \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \neq \emptyset \}$$

therefore $N_{m+1} \subseteq (T_1^m \sqcup T_2^m) \cup (T_1^{m+1} \sqcup T_2^{m+1}) = T_1^{m+1} \sqcup T_2^{m+1}$. Thus *i*1) is true for k = m + 1.

For every $k \ge 0$ we have $N_k \subseteq T_1^k \ \subseteq T_2^k \subseteq T_1 \ \subseteq T_2$ and the last set is a finite one because $S = S_1 \cup S_2$ is finite. Thus there is $k \ge 0$ such that $N_0 \subset \ldots \subset N_k = N_{k+1}$. Now, by induction on $p \ge 1$ we can verify that $N_k = N_{k+p}$. For p = 1 this property is true because $N_k = N_{k+1}$. Suppose that $N_k = N_{k+p}$ for p = m. We have

$$N_{k+m+1} = N_{k+m} \cup \{ \mu \mid \exists \rho_1 \cup \omega_1 \in N_{k+m}, \exists \rho_2 \cup \omega_2 \in N_{k+m} :$$
$$\mu = \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \neq \emptyset \} = N_k \cup$$
$$\{ \mu \mid \exists \rho_1 \cup \omega_1 \in N_k, \exists \rho_2 \cup \omega_2 \in N_k : \mu = \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \neq \emptyset \} = N_{k+1} = N_k$$

Proposition 2.4. The sequence $\{M_p\}_{p\geq 1}$ defined as follows:

$$M_{1} = \{ (\rho_{1} \cup \omega_{1}, \rho_{2} \cup \omega_{2}) \in N_{0} \times N_{0} \mid \overline{u_{1}}(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}) \cup \overline{u_{2}}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) \in N_{1} \}$$

$$M_{p+1} = \{ (\rho_{1} \cup \omega_{1}, \rho_{2} \cup \omega_{2}) \in N_{p-1} \times (N_{p} \setminus N_{p-1}) \cup (N_{p} \setminus N_{p-1})$$

$$\times N_{p} : \overline{u_{1}}(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}) \cup \overline{u_{2}}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) \in N_{p+1} \}$$

$$(2)$$

satisfies the following property: either $M_1 = \emptyset$ or there is $k \ge 1$ such that $M_j \ne \emptyset$ for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $M_j = \emptyset$ for $j \ge k + 1$.

Proof. Suppose that $N_1 = N_0$. From the definition of N_1 we deduce that

$$\{\mu \mid \exists \rho_1 \cup \omega_1 \in N_0, \exists \rho_2 \cup \omega_2 \in N_0 : \mu = \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \neq \emptyset\} = \emptyset$$

therefore $M_1 = \emptyset$. If $N_1 \neq N_0$ then by Proposition 2.3 we deduce that there is $k \geq 1$ such that $N_0 \subset \ldots \subset N_k = N_{k+1} = N_{k+2} = \ldots$. In this case $M_j \neq \emptyset$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $M_j = \emptyset$ for $j \geq k+1$.

Remark 2.5. The rule by means of which the sequence $\{M_p\}_{p\geq 1}$ is obtained can be represented intuitively as in Figure 1.



Figure 1: The sequence $\{M_p\}_{p\geq 1}$

Remark 2.6. The relation (2) can be written also as in (3).

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 &= \{ (\rho_1 \cup \omega_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_2) \in N_0 \times N_0 \mid \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in N_1 \} \\
M_{p+1} &= \{ (\rho_1 \cup \omega_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_2) \in N_{p-1} \times (N_p \setminus N_{p-1}) \cup (N_p \setminus N_{p-1}) \times \\
\times N_{p-1} \cup (N_p \setminus N_{p-1}) \times (N_p \setminus N_{p-1}) : \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in N_{p+1} \}
\end{aligned}$$
(3)

Remark 2.7. For every $p \ge 1$ we have $M_p \subseteq (T_1 \cup T_2) \times (T_1 \cup T_2)$.

Definition 2.8. Consider the mappings $u_1 : dom(u_1) \longrightarrow T_1$ and $u_2 : dom(u_2) \longrightarrow T_2$, where $dom(u_1) \subseteq T_1 \times T_1$ and $dom(u_2) \subseteq T_2 \times T_2$. Consider the sequences $\{N_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ and $\{M_p\}_{p\geq 1}$ as in Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 respectively. Consider the number $k \geq 1$ such that $M_j \neq \emptyset$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $M_j = \emptyset$ for $j \geq k+1$. Define the mapping

$$u_1 \oplus u_2 : \bigcup_{p=1}^k M_p \longrightarrow N_k$$

as follows:

$$dom(u_1 \oplus u_2) = \bigcup_{p=1}^k M_p$$
$$(u_1 \oplus u_2)(s_1 \cup r_1, s_2 \cup r_2) = \overline{u_1}(s_1, s_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(r_1, r_2)$$

for every $(s_1 \cup r_1, s_2 \cup r_2) \in dom(u_1 \oplus u_2)$.

Remark 2.9. The construction from Definition 2.8 can be applied for the case $u_2 = u_1$ because $S_2 = S_1$ and $S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$. For this reason we agree to consider $u_1 \oplus u_1 = u_1$.

Remark 2.10. As a conclusion we can relieve the following facts:

- $u_1 \in R(prod_{S_1}), u_2 \in R(prod_{S_2})$
- $T_1 = Cl_{u_1}(T_{01}), T_2 = Cl_{u_2}(T_{02})$
- $dom(u_1) \subseteq T_1 \times T_1, dom(u_2) \subseteq T_2 \times T_2$
- $dom(u_1 \oplus u_2) = \bigcup_{p=1}^k M_p \subseteq N_{k-1} \times N_{k-1} \subseteq (T_1 \sqcup T_2) \times (T_1 \sqcup T_2)$

• $(u_1 \oplus u_2)(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in N_k \subseteq T_1 \sqcup T_2$ for every $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in dom(u_1 \oplus u_2)$

Proposition 2.11. The mapping $u_1 \oplus u_2$ is well defined.

Proof. We show that for every $(s_1 \cup r_1, s_2 \cup r_2) \in dom(u_1 \oplus u_2)$ we have $(u_1 \oplus u_2)(s_1 \cup r_1, s_2 \cup r_2) \in N_k$. If $(s_1 \cup r_1, s_2 \cup r_2) \in dom(u_1 \oplus u_2) = \bigcup_{p=1}^k M_p$ then $(s_1 \cup r_1, s_2 \cup r_2) \in M_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. In this case

$$\overline{u_1}(\rho_1,\rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1,\omega_2) \in N_j$$

But $N_i \subseteq N_k$.

Proposition 2.12. $u_1 \oplus u_2 = u_2 \oplus u_1$

Proof. Consider $N_0 = (f_{01} \sqcup f_{02})(L_{01} \cup L_{02})$ and denote by (N_i, M_i) for $i \ge 1$ the sets defined as in (1) and (2) for $u_1 \oplus u_2$. We denote by (P_i, Q_i) the corresponding sets for $u_2 \oplus u_1$:

$$\begin{cases} P_1 = N_0 \cup \{ \mu \mid \exists \rho_1 \cup \omega_1 \in N_0, \exists \rho_2 \cup \omega_2 \in N_0 : \\ \mu = \overline{u_2}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_1}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \neq \emptyset \} \\ P_{k+1} = P_k \cup \{ \mu \mid \exists \rho_1 \cup \omega_1 \in P_k, \exists \rho_2 \cup \omega_2 \in P_k : \\ \mu = \overline{u_2}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_1}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \neq \emptyset \} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} Q_1 = \{(\rho_1 \cup \omega_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_2) \in N_0 \times N_0 \mid \overline{u_2}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_1}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in P_1\} \\ Q_{p+1} = \{(\rho_1 \cup \omega_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_2) \in P_{p-1} \times (P_p \setminus P_{p-1}) \cup (P_p \setminus P_{p-1}) \times P_p : \\ \overline{u_2}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_1}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in P_{p+1}\} \end{cases}$$

By induction on $i \ge 1$ we can prove that $N_i = P_i$. Consider the sets $Z_1 = N_1 \setminus N_0$ and $W_1 = P_1 \setminus N_0$. Suppose that $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in Z_1$. There are $\rho_1, \rho_2, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in N_0$ such that $\theta_1 = \rho_1 \cup \omega_1$ and $\theta_2 = \rho_2 \cup \omega_2$ and $(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \neq \emptyset$. Obviously $\theta_1 = \omega_1 \cup \rho_1$ and $\theta_2 = \omega_2 \cup \rho_2$ and $(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \cup \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $Z_1 \subseteq W_1$.

Similarly we have $W_1 \subseteq Z_1$. As a consequence we have $Z_1 = W_1$ and $N_1 = P_1$. Suppose that $N_k = P_k$. Take $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in N_{k+1}$. If $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in N_k$ then $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in P_k$ by the inductive assumption. In this case we have $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in P_{k+1}$. It remains to consider the case $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in N_{k+1} \setminus N_k$. There are $\rho_1, \rho_2, \omega_1, \omega_2$ such that $(\rho_1 \cup \omega_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_2) \in N_k \times N_k$ such that $(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \neq \emptyset$. We have $(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \cup \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2)$. By the inductive assumption we have

 $\omega_1 \cup \rho_1 \in P_k$ and $\omega_2 \cup \rho_2 \in P_k$. Thus $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in P_{k+1} \setminus P_k$. This property shows that $N_{k+1} \subseteq P_{k+1}$. The converse implication is proved in a similar manner.

Based on the fact that $N_i = P_i$ for every $i \ge 1$, it is easy to show by induction on $k \ge 1$ that $M_k = Q_k$. First we have $M_1 = Q_1$ because $N_1 = P_1$. Suppose that $M_i = P_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and we verify that $M_{k+1} \subseteq P_{k+1}$ and $P_{k+1} \subseteq M_{k+1}$.

Consider $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in M_{k+1}$. If $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in M_k$ then by the inductive assumption we have $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in P_k$. Suppose that $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in M_{k+1} \setminus M_k$. There are $\rho_1, \rho_2, \omega_1, \omega_2$ such that $(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (\rho_1 \cup \omega_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_2) \in N_{k-1} \times (N_k \setminus N_{k-1}) \cup (N_k \setminus N_{k-1}) \times N_{k-1} \cup (N_k \setminus N_{k-1}) \times (N_k \setminus N_{k-1})$ and $\overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in N_{k+1}$. By the inductive assumption we obtain

$$(\omega_1 \cup \rho_1, \omega_2 \cup \rho_2) \in P_{k-1} \times (P_k \setminus P_{k-1}) \cup (P_k \setminus P_{k-1}) \times P_{k-1} \cup (P_k \setminus P_{k-1}) \times (P_k \setminus P_{k-1})$$

and

$$\overline{u_2}(\omega_1,\omega_2)\cup\overline{u_1}(\rho_1,\rho_2)\in P_{k+1}.$$

It follows that $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in Q_{k+1} \setminus Q_k$. The inclusion $P_{k+1} \subseteq M_{k+1}$ is proved in a similar manner.

It follows that

$$dom(u_1 \oplus u_2) = \bigcup_{k \ge 1} M_k = \bigcup_{k \ge 1} Q_k = dom(u_2 \oplus u_1).$$

Proposition 2.13. Consider $G_1 = (S_1, L_{01}, T_{01}, f_{01}) \in \mathcal{L}_{lg}, G_2 = (S_2, L_{02}, T_{02}, f_{02}) \in \mathcal{L}_{lg}, \mathcal{G}_1 = (G_1, L_1, T_1, u_1, f^1) \in \mathcal{L}_{sg} \text{ and } \mathcal{G}_2 = (G_2, L_2, T_2, u_2, f^2) \in \mathcal{L}_{sg}.$ If

$$N_0 = (f_{01} \sqcup f_{02})(L_{01} \cup L_{02})$$

then $Cl_{u_1\oplus u_2}(N_0) = N_{k_0}$, where N_{k_0} is given by Proposition 2.3.

Proof. Consider the number k_0 given by Proposition 2.3. In order to obtain $Cl_{u_1 \oplus u_2}(N_0)$ we compute the sequence $\{R_n\}_{n \ge 0}$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases}
R_0 = N_0 \\
R_{n+1} = R_n \cup \{\theta \mid \exists (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in (R_n \times R_n) \cap dom(u_1 \oplus u_2) : \\
\theta = (u_1 \oplus u_2)(\theta_1, \theta_2)\}
\end{cases}$$
(4)

We verify by induction on $i \ge 0$ that $R_i = N_i$. For i = 0 we have $R_0 = N_0$, therefore this property is true for i = 0. Suppose the $R_n = N_n$ and we prove that $R_{n+1} = N_{n+1}$. If $\theta \in R_{n+1}$ then we consider the cases $\theta \in R_n$ and $\theta \in R_{n+1} \setminus R_n$. If we have the first case then $\theta \in N_n \subseteq N_{n+1}$. Suppose that we have the second case. There are $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in (R_n \times R_n) \cap dom(u_1 \oplus u_2)$ such that $\theta = (u_1 \oplus u_2)(\theta_1, \theta_2)$. But $R_n = N_n$ and $\theta = \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$, therefore (4) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{cases} R_0 = N_0 \\ R_{n+1} = N_n \cup \{\theta \mid \exists (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in (N_n \times N_n) \cap dom(u_1 \oplus u_2) : \\ \theta = (u_1 \oplus u_2)(\theta_1, \theta_2) \} \end{cases}$$

therefore $R_{n+1} = N_{n+1}$.

In order to relieve these aspects we take the following example.



Figure 2: The labeled graph G_1

We consider the labeled graph $G_1 = (S_1, L_{01}, T_{01}, f_{01})$ represented in Figure 2 and defined as follows:

- $S_1 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\};$
- $L_{01} = \{a, b, c, e\};$
- $f_{01}(a) = \{(x_1, x_2), (x_3, x_5)\} = \rho_1; f_{01}(b) = \{(x_1, x_2), (x_2, x_3)\} = \rho_2; f_{01}(c) = \{(x_3, x_4)\} = \rho_3; f_{01}(c) = \{(x_4, x_5)\} = \rho_4;$
- $T_{01} = \{\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4\}$

We consider the mapping $u_1 \in R(prod_{S_1})$ defined as follows:

$$u_1(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \rho_5 = \{(x_1, x_3)\}; u_1(\rho_2, \rho_2) = \rho_5; u_1(\rho_2, \rho_3) = \rho_6 = \{(x_2, x_4)\}; u_1(\rho_1, \rho_6) = \rho_7 = \{(x_1, x_4)\}; u_1(\rho_5, \rho_3) = \rho_7;$$

This mapping is shortly described in Table 1. It follows that

$$dom(u_1) = \{(\rho_1, \rho_2), (\rho_2, \rho_2), (\rho_2, \rho_3), (\rho_1, \rho_6), (\rho_5, \rho_3)\}$$

It is not difficult to observe that

$$T_1 = Cl_{u_1}(T_{01}) = \{\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4, \rho_5, \rho_6, \rho_7\}$$

$u_1 \mid \rho_1$	ρ_2	ρ_3	ρ_4	$ ho_5$	ρ_6	ρ_7
ρ_1	$ ho_5$				ρ_7	
ρ_2	$ ho_5$	$ ho_6$				
ρ_3						
ρ_4						
ρ_5		ρ_7				
ρ_6						
ρ_7						
	-					
b	<u></u>		с	115		d

Table 1: The mapping u_1

Figure 3: The labeled graph G_2

Let us consider the labeled graph $G_2 = (S_2, L_{02}, T_{02}, f_{02})$ represented in Figure 3 and defined as follows:

- $S_2 = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4\};$
- $L_{02} = \{b, c, d\};$
- $f_{02}(b) = \{(y_1, y_2)\} = \omega_1; f_{02}(c) = \{(y_2, y_3)\} = \omega_2; f_{02}(d) = \{(y_3, y_4)\} = \omega_3;$
- $T_{02} = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$

We consider the mapping $u_2 \in R(prod_{S_2})$ defined as follows:

$$u_2(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_4 = \{(y_1, y_3)\}; u_2(\omega_2, \omega_3) = \omega_5 = \{(y_2, y_4)\}; u_2(\omega_1, \omega_5) = \omega_6 = \{(y_1, y_4)\}; u_2(\omega_4, \omega_3) = \omega_6;$$

rabio 2. rno mapping ag									
u_2	ω_1	ω_2	ω_3	ω_4	ω_5	ω_6			
ω_1		ω_4			ω_6				
ω_2			ω_5						
ω_3									
ω_4			ω_6						
ω_5									
ω_6									

Table 2: The mapping u_2

The mapping u_2 is described in Table 2.

We deduce that

$$dom(u_2) = \{(\omega_1, \omega_2), (\omega_2, \omega_3), (\omega_1, \omega_5), (\omega_4, \omega_3)\}$$

We consider now the set $N_0 = (f_{01} \sqcup f_{02})(L_{01} \cup L_{02})$. We have $L_{01} \cup L_{02} = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ and taking into account the mappings f_{01} and f_{02} we obtain

$$(f_{01} \sqcup f_{02})(a) = f_{01}(a) = \rho_1; \ (f_{01} \sqcup f_{02})(b) = f_{01}(b) \cup f_{02}(b) = \rho_2 \cup \omega_1;$$

$$(f_{01} \sqcup f_{02})(c) = f_{01}(c) \cup f_{02}(c) = \rho_3 \cup \omega_2; \ (f_{01} \sqcup f_{02})(d) = f_{02}(d) = \omega_3;$$

$$(f_{01} \sqcup f_{02})(e) = f_{01}(e) = \rho_4$$

It follows that

$$N_0 = \{ \rho_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_1, \rho_3 \cup \omega_2, \omega_3, \rho_4 \}.$$

Further, the computations can be described as follows:

$$(N_0 \times N_0) \cap dom(u_1 \oplus u_2) = \{(\rho_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_1), (\rho_2 \cup \omega_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_1), (\rho_2 \cup \omega_1, \rho_3 \cup \omega_2), (\rho_3 \cup \omega_2, \omega_3)\}$$

$$N_1 = N_0 \cup \{\rho_5, \rho_6 \cup \omega_4, \omega_5\}$$

$$N_2 = N_1 \cup \{\rho_7, \omega_6\}; N_3 = N_2$$

$$M_1 = \{(\rho_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_1), (\rho_2 \cup \omega_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_1), (\rho_2 \cup \omega_1, \rho_3 \cup \omega_2), (\rho_3 \cup \omega_2, \omega_3)\}$$

$$M_2 = \{(\rho_1, \rho_6 \cup \omega_4), (\rho_2 \cup \omega_1, \omega_5), (\rho_5, \rho_3 \cup \omega_2), (\rho_6 \cup \omega_4, \omega_3)\}$$

 $M_3 = \emptyset$

It follows that

$$Cl_{u_1 \oplus u_2} = \{ \rho_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_1, \rho_3 \cup \omega_2, \omega_3, \rho_4, \rho_5, \rho_6 \cup \omega_4, \omega_5, \rho_7, \omega_6 \}$$

We obtain the mapping $u_1 \oplus u_2$ from Table 3.

$u_1 \oplus u_2$	ρ_1	$\rho_2 \cup \omega_1$	$\rho_3 \cup \omega_2$	ω_3	ρ_4	$ ho_5$	$\rho_6\cup\omega_4$	ω_5	ρ_7	ω_6	
ρ_1		$ ho_5$					$ ho_7$				
$\rho_2 \cup \omega_1$		$ ho_5$	$\rho_6\cup\omega_4$					ω_6			
$\rho_3 \cup \omega_2$				ω_5							
ω_3											
ρ_4											
ρ_5			ρ_7								
$\rho_6 \cup \omega_4$				ω_6							
ω_5											
ρ_7											
ω_6											

Table 3: The mapping $u_1 \oplus u_2$

The next proposition proves the associativity of the operation \oplus . First we need several auxiliary results. We mention that we use the following notations and results:

- $G_i = (S_i, L_{0i}, T_{0i}, f_{0i}) \in \mathcal{L}_{lg}$ for i = 1, 2, 3
- $\mathcal{G}_i = (G_i, L_i, T_i, u_i, f^i) \in \mathcal{L}_{sg}$ for i = 1, 2, 3
- There is k_0 such that $dom(u_1 \oplus u_2) = \bigcup_{p=1}^{k_0} M_p$, where

$$\begin{cases}
N_0 = (f_{01} \sqcup f_{02})(L_{01} \cup L_{02}) \\
N_{k+1} = N_k \cup \{\mu \in T_1^{k+1} \sqcup T_2^{k+1} \mid \exists \rho_1, \rho_2 \in T_1^k, \exists \omega_1, \omega_2 \in T_2^k: \\
\rho_1 \cup \omega_1 \in N_k, \rho_2 \cup \omega_2 \in N_k; \mu = \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \neq \emptyset \}
\end{cases}$$
(5)

$$\begin{cases} M_1 = \{(\rho_1 \cup \omega_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_2) \in N_0 \times N_0 \mid \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in N_1\} \\ M_{p+1} = \{(\rho_1 \cup \omega_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_2) \in N_{p-1} \times (N_p \setminus N_{p-1}) \cup (N_p \setminus N_{p-1}) \times N_p \mid \\ \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in N_{p+1}\} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where $T_1 = Cl_{u_1}(T_{01})$ and $T_2 = Cl_{u_2}(T_{02})$. We denote by $\{T_1^k\}_{k\geq 0}$ and $\{T_2^k\}_{k\geq 0}$ the sequences that give T_1 and T_2 respectively.

Denote $L_{12} = L_{01} \cup L_{02}$ and $g_{12} = f_{01} \sqcup f_{02} : L_{12} \longrightarrow T_{01} \sqcup T_{02}$. From (5) we observe that

$$Cl_{u_1\oplus u_2}(N_0) = N_{k_0}$$

• We consider the following sequences of sets:

$$\begin{cases}
P_{0} = (g_{12} \sqcup f_{03})(L_{12} \cup L_{03}) \\
P_{k+1} = P_{k} \cup \{\mu \in N_{k+1} \uplus T_{3}^{k+1} \mid \exists \rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in N_{k}, \exists \omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in T_{3}^{k} : \\
\rho_{1} \cup \omega_{1} \in P_{k}, \rho_{2} \cup \omega_{2} \in P_{k}; \mu = \overline{u_{1} \oplus u_{2}}(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}) \cup \overline{u_{3}}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) \neq \emptyset \}
\end{cases}$$
(6)

where $T_3 = Cl_{u_3}(T_{03})$. We denote by $\{T_3^k\}_{k\geq 0}$ the sequence of sets that are used to obtain T_3 .

$$\begin{cases} R_1 = \{(\rho_1 \cup \omega_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_2) \in P_0 \times P_0 \mid \overline{u_1 \oplus u_2}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in P_1\} \\ R_{p+1} = \{(\rho_1 \cup \omega_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_2) \in P_{p-1} \times (P_p \setminus P_{p-1}) \cup (P_p \setminus P_{p-1}) \times P_p \mid \\ \overline{u_1 \oplus u_2}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in P_{p+1}\} \end{cases}$$

There is m_0 such that $P_{m_0} = P_{m_0+1}$ and $dom((u_1 \oplus u_2) \oplus u_3) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m_0} R_k$. We remark that

$$P_{m_0} = Cl_{(u_1 \oplus u_2) \oplus u_3}(P_0)$$

• There is s_0 such that $S_{s_0} = S_{s_0+1}$ and $dom(u_2 \oplus u_3) = \bigcup_{p=1}^{s_0} Q_p$, where

$$\begin{cases} S_0 = (f_{02} \sqcup f_{03})(L_{02} \cup L_{03}) \\ S_{k+1} = S_k \cup \{\mu \in T_2^{k+1} \uplus T_3^{k+1} \mid \exists \rho_1, \rho_2 \in T_2^k, \exists \omega_1, \omega_2 \in T_3^k : \\ \rho_1 \cup \omega_1 \in S_k, \rho_2 \cup \omega_2 \in S_k; \mu = \overline{u_2}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \neq \emptyset \} \end{cases}$$
(7)

$$\begin{cases} Q_1 = \{(\rho_1 \cup \omega_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_2) \in S_0 \times S_0 \mid \overline{u_2}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in S_1\} \\ Q_{p+1} = \{(\rho_1 \cup \omega_1, \rho_2 \cup \omega_2) \in S_{p-1} \times (S_p \setminus S_{p-1}) \cup (S_p \setminus S_{p-1}) \times S_p \mid \\ \overline{u_2}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in S_{p+1}\} \end{cases}$$

Denote $L_{23} = L_{02} \cup L_{03}$ and $g_{23} = f_{02} \sqcup f_{03} : L_{23} \longrightarrow T_{02} \sqcup T_{03}$. From (7) we observe that

$$Cl_{u_2\oplus u_3}(S_0) = S_{s_0}$$

• We consider the following sequences of sets

$$\begin{cases} U_{0} = (f_{01} \sqcup g_{23})(L_{01} \cup L_{23}) \\ U_{k+1} = U_{k} \cup \{\mu \in T_{1}^{k+1} \Downarrow S_{k+1} \mid \exists \rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in T_{1}^{k}, \exists \omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in S_{k} : \\ \rho_{1} \cup \omega_{1} \in U_{k}, \rho_{2} \cup \omega_{2} \in U_{k}; \mu = \overline{u_{1}}(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}) \cup \overline{u_{2} \oplus u_{3}}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) \neq \emptyset \} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} V_{1} = \{(\rho_{1} \cup \omega_{1}, \rho_{2} \cup \omega_{2}) \in U_{0} \times U_{0} \mid \overline{u_{1}}(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}) \cup \overline{u_{2} \oplus u_{3}}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) \in U_{1}\} \\ V_{p+1} = \{(\rho_{1} \cup \omega_{1}, \rho_{2} \cup \omega_{2}) \in U_{p-1} \times (U_{p} \setminus U_{p-1}) \cup (U_{p} \setminus U_{p-1}) \times U_{p} \mid \\ \overline{u_{1}}(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}) \cup \overline{u_{2} \oplus u_{3}}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) \in U_{p+1}\} \end{cases}$$

There is j_0 such that $U_{j_0} = U_{j_0+1}$ and $dom(u_1 \oplus (u_2 \oplus u_3)) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{j_0} V_k$. We observe that

$$U_{j_0} = Cl_{u_1 \oplus (u_2 \oplus u_3)}(U_0)$$

Lemma 2.14. For every $\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1 \in N_{k_0}$ and $\lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2 \in N_{k_0}$ we have

$$\overline{u_1 \oplus u_2}(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) = \overline{u_1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$$
(9)

Proof. We have

$$\overline{u_1 \oplus u_2}(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) = \begin{cases} u_1 \oplus u_2(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) & \text{if} \\ (\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) \in dom(u_1 \oplus u_2) \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(10)

We remark that if $(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) \notin dom(u_1 \oplus u_2)$ then $\overline{u_1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \emptyset$. Suppose the contrary, $\overline{u_1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \neq \emptyset$. But $\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1 \in N_{k_0}$, $\lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2 \in N_{k_0}$ and $N_0 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq N_{k_0} = N_{k_0+1}$. There is $k \leq k_0$ such that $\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1 \in N_k$ and $\lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2 \in N_k$. If this is the case then $(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) \in M_k \subseteq dom(u_1 \oplus u_2)$, which is not true. Now, from (10) we obtain

$$\overline{u_1 \oplus u_2}(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) = \begin{cases} u_1 \oplus u_2(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) & \text{if} \\ (\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) \in dom(u_1 \oplus u_2) \\ \overline{u_1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) & \text{if} \\ (\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) \notin dom(u_1 \oplus u_2) \end{cases}$$
(11)

But $u_1 \oplus u_2(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) = \overline{u_1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ if $(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) \in dom(u_1 \oplus u_2)$ and thus from (11) we obtain (9).

Lemma 2.15. For every $\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1 \in S_{s_0}$, $\lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2 \in S_{s_0}$ we have

$$\overline{u_2 \oplus u_3}(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) = \overline{u_2}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$$
(12)

Proof. Directly from the definition of $\overline{u_2 \oplus u_3}$ we obtain

$$\overline{u_2 \oplus u_3}(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) = \begin{cases} u_2 \oplus u_3(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) & \text{if} \\ (\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) \in dom(u_2 \oplus u_3) \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(13)

We remark that if $(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) \notin dom(u_2 \oplus u_3)$ then $\overline{u_2}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \emptyset$. Really, let us suppose the contrary, that $\overline{u_2}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \neq \emptyset$. But $S_0 \subset S_1 \subset S_{s_0} = S_{s_0+1}$ and $\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1 \in S_{s_0}, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2 \in S_{s_0}$. There is $s \leq s_0$ such that $\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1 \in S_s$ and $\lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2 \in S_s$. It follows that $(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) \in Q_s \subseteq dom(u_2 \oplus u_3)$, which is not true. Now, from (13) we obtain

$$\overline{u_2 \oplus u_3}(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) = \begin{cases} u_2 \oplus u_3(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) & \text{if} \\ (\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) \in dom(u_2 \oplus u_3) \\ \overline{u_2}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) & \text{if} \\ (\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) \notin dom(u_2 \oplus u_3) \end{cases}$$
(14)

But $u_2 \oplus u_3(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) = \overline{u_2}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ if $(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) \in dom(u_2 \oplus u_3)$ and thus from (14) we obtain (12).

Proposition 2.16.

$$(u_1 \oplus u_2) \oplus u_3 = u_1 \oplus (u_2 \oplus u_3)$$

Proof. We prove that for every $k \ge 0$ we have

$$P_k = U_k \tag{15}$$

For k = 0 the relation (15) is true by [12]. Suppose that (15) is true. We verify that

$$P_{k+1} \subseteq U_{k+1} \tag{16}$$

Consider $\mu \in P_{k+1}$. We have the following two cases:

1) If $\mu \in P_k$ then $\mu \in U_k$, therefore in this case $\mu \in U_{k+1}$ and (16) is true.

2) Suppose that $\mu \in P_{k+1} \setminus P_k$. There are $\theta_1 \cup \omega_1 \in P_k$ and $\theta_2 \cup \omega_2 \in P_k$ such that

$$\mu = \overline{u_1 \oplus u_2}(\theta_1, \theta_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \tag{17}$$

From (6) we have $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in N_k$ and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in T_3^k$. But $N_k \subseteq T_1^k \cup T_2^k$, therefore there are $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in T_1^k \cup \{\emptyset\}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in T_2^k \cup \{\emptyset\}$ such that

$$\theta_1 = \lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \ \theta_2 = \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2$$

As a consequence we have $\theta_1 \cup \omega_1 = \lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1 \cup \omega_1$. But $\theta_1 \cup \omega_1 \in P_k$ and $P_k \subseteq N_k \cup T_3^k$. It follows that $\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1 \cup \omega_1 \in P_k$. But $\omega_1 \in T_3^k$ and thus we obtain $\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1 \in N_k$. Similarly we have $\lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2 \in N_k$. Applying Lemma 2.14 we obtain

$$\overline{u_1 \oplus u_2}(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) = \overline{u_1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$$
(18)

From (17) and (18) we obtain

$$\mu = \overline{u_1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$$

We come back to (17). We have $\theta_1 = \lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1$, $\theta_1 \cup \omega_1 \in P_k$, $\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1 \cup \omega_1 \in P_k = U_k \subseteq T_1^k \cup S_k$ and $\lambda_1 \in T_1^k$. It follows that $\gamma_1 \cup \omega_1 \in S_k$. Similarly we have $\gamma_2 \cup \omega_2 \in S_k$.

We can apply Lemma 2.15 and obtain

$$\overline{u_2 \oplus u_3}(\gamma_1 \cup \omega_1, \gamma_2 \cup \omega_2) = \overline{u_2}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$$

It follows that

$$\mu = \overline{u_1 \oplus u_2}(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \overline{u_1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\omega_1, \omega_2) =$$
$$= \overline{u_1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup (\overline{u_2 \oplus u_3})(\gamma_1 \cup \omega_1, \gamma_2 \cup \omega_2)$$

Thus $\mu \in U_{k+1} \setminus U_k$, therefore in this case (16) is true.

The converse inclusion

$$U_{k+1} \subseteq P_{k+1} \tag{19}$$

is proved in a similar manner. Consider $\mu \in U_{k+1}$. We have the following two cases:

1) If $\mu \in U_k$ then $\mu \in P_k$, therefore in this case $\mu \in P_{k+1}$ and (19) is true.

2) Suppose that $\mu \in U_{k+1} \setminus U_k$. There are $\rho_1 \cup \omega_1 \in U_k$ and $\rho_2 \cup \omega_2 \in U_k$ such that

$$\mu = \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2 \oplus u_3}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$$
(20)

From (8) we have $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in T_1^k$ and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in S_k$. But $S_k \subseteq T_2^k \cup T_3^k$, therefore there are $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in T_2^k \cup \{\emptyset\}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in T_3^k \cup \{\emptyset\}$ such that

$$\omega_1 = \lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \ \omega_2 = \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2$$

We have $\rho_1 \cup \omega_1 = \rho_1 \cup \lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1 \in U_k$ and $U_k = P_k$, therefore $\rho_1 \cup \lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1 \in P_k$. But $\gamma_1 \in T_3^k$, $P_k \subseteq N_k \cup T_3^k$, and $T_i^k \cap T_j^k = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. It follows that $\rho_1 \cup \lambda_1 \in N_k$. From (20) we have

$$\mu = \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2 \oplus u_3}(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2)$$
(21)

But $\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1 \in S_k$ because $\omega_1 \in S_k$ and $\omega_1 = \lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1$. Similarly we have $\lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2 \in S_k$. We can apply Lemma 2.15 and obtain

$$\overline{u_2 \oplus u_3}(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) = \overline{u_2}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$$
(22)

We have $\omega_1 = \lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1$, $\rho_1 \cup \omega_1 = \rho_1 \cup \lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1 \in U_k = P_k \subseteq N_k \cup T_3^k$ and $\gamma_1 \in T_3^k$. It follows that $\rho_1 \cup \lambda_1 \in N_k$. Similarly we have $\rho_2 \cup \lambda_2 \in N_k$. We can apply Lemma 2.14 and obtain

$$\overline{u_1 \oplus u_2}(\rho_1 \cup \lambda_1, \rho_2 \cup \lambda_2) = \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$
(23)

From (21), (22) and (23) we obtain

$$\mu = \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2 \oplus u_3}(\lambda_1 \cup \gamma_1, \lambda_2 \cup \gamma_2) = \overline{u_1}(\rho_1, \rho_2) \cup \overline{u_2}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\gamma_2, \gamma_2) =$$
$$= \overline{u_1 \oplus u_2}(\rho_1 \cup \lambda_1, \rho_2 \cup \lambda_2) \cup \overline{u_3}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$$

Thus $\mu \in P_{k+1} \setminus P_k$, therefore in this case (19) is true and finally (15) is true. It follows that $V_k = R_k$ for every $k \ge 0$. But

$$dom(u_1 \oplus (u_2 \oplus u_3)) = \bigcup_{k \ge 1} V_k$$
$$dom((u_1 \oplus u_2) \oplus u_3) = \bigcup_{k \ge 1} R_k$$

therefore $dom(u_1 \oplus (u_2 \oplus u_3)) = dom((u_1 \oplus u_2) \oplus u_3)$ and the proposition is proved.

3 Conclusion

In this paper we used the concept of stratified graph, introduced for the first time in [7]. We know by ([9]) that a mapping u can uniquely define a

stratified graph \mathcal{G} over a labeled graph G. We used two mappings u_1 and u_2 that define two stratified graphs \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 , respectively and we defined the mapping $u_1 \oplus u_2$. This mapping will be used in further research to generate the least upper bound of stratified graphs \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 over the labeled graph $sup\{G_1, G_2\}$ ([12]). We proved a few properties of the operation \oplus , including the associativity.

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by the strategic grant POSDRU/ 88/1.5/S/52826, Project ID52826 (2009), co-financed by the European Social Fund - Investing in People, within the Sectoral Operational Programme Human Resources Development 2007-2013.

References

- V. Boicescu, A. Filipoiu, G. Georgescu and R. Rudeanu, Lukasiewicz-Moisil Algebra, Annals of Discrete Mathematics, 49, North-Holland, (1991).
- [2] V.E. Căzănescu, *Course of Computer Science Fundamentals*, Bucharest University, Lesson I, University Publishing Center (in Romanian), 1975.
- [3] Paul Moritz Cohn, Universal algebra, Springer, 1981.
- [4] George Grätzer, Universal algebra, Springer, 2008.
- [5] Tudor (Preda) Irina-Valentina, Labelled Stratified Graphs can Generate Formal Languages, European Conference for the Applied Mathematics and Informatics, Athens, Greece, 29–31 December, 2010, ISBN 978-960-474-260-8, (2010), 184–189.
- [6] Tudor (Preda) Irina-Valentina, Considerations Regarding Formal Languages Generation Using Labelled Stratified Graphs, International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, University Press, 5(2), (2011), 101–108.

- [7] N. Ţăndăreanu, Knowledge Bases with Output, Knowledge and Information Systems, 2(4), (2000), 438–460.
- [8] N. Ţăndăreanu, Proving the Existence of Labelled Stratified Graphs, Annals of the University of Craiova, XXVII, (2000), 81–92.
- [9] N. Ţăndăreanu, Distinguished Representatives for Equivalent Labelled Stratified Graphs and Applications, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 144(1), (2004), 183–208.
- [10] N. Ţăndăreanu, Knowledge Representation by Labeled Stratified Graphs, The 8th World Multi-Conference on Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics, July 18-21, 2004, Orlando, Florida, USA, Computer Science and Engineering, V(2), (2004), 345-350.
- [11] N. Ţăndăreanu, M. Ghindeanu, Hierarchical Reasoning Based on Stratified Graphs. Application in Image Synthesis, 15th International Workshop on Database and Expert Systems Applications, Proceedings of DEXA2004, Zaragoza, Spania, IEEE Computer Society, Los Alamitos California, 3, (2004), 498–502.
- [12] Nicolae Ţăndăreanu, Irina-Valentina Tudor (Preda), The Join Semilattice of Labeled Graphs, (to appear), 1, (2012).
- [13] Nicolae Ţăndăreanu, Irina-Valentina Tudor (Preda), Join Semilattices of Labeled Graphs and Algebraic Properties of the Embedding Mappings, International Conference on Mathematical Sciences and Computer Engineering, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 29-30 November, 2, (2012).